PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
California Public Utilities Commission Energy Division
Data Response

Proprietary and Confidential Pursuant to PU Code Section 583 Do Not Disclose

PG&E Data Request No.:	005		
PG&E File Name:	PG&E CCA Data Response – Generation Rates		
Request Date:	April 28, 2010	Requester DR No.:	
Date Sent:	May 5, 2010	Requesting Party:	Energy Division
PG&E Contact:	Redacted	Requester:	Steve Roscow

Subject: PG&E Generation Rate Data Request

Question 1:

a.   Please provide a workpaper, in the form of an Excel spreadsheet, that documents the calculation of the "Average Generation Rate" shown in the table, 8.15 cents/kWh.

b.   Please provide a citation to the PG&E Advice Letter that contains the 8.15 cents/kWh value provided in the table.

Response 1:

a.   Please see the attached workpaper named "SausalitoMunicipalAnalysis.xls". This workpaper contains specific customers account information that supports the generation rate presented by PG&E.

b.   The "average generation rate" presented to the City of Sausalito reflects a customer-specific generation rate reflecting the usage and account characteristic of the City of Sausalito's municipal account. To prevent distortionary effects, PG&E removed fixed accounts and net-metered accounts from the rate analysis.

Question 2:

a.   Please provide a workpaper, in the form of an Excel spreadsheet, that documents the calculation of the "Applicable NBC" shown in the table, 0.93 cents/kWh.

Response 2:

a.   Please see the workpaper referenced in Response 1a. The applicable NBC is derived using the individual rate schedule and usage characteristic of the City of Sausalito's municipal accounts. Changes in rate schedules, customer departure dates and/or usage characteristics would likely change the customer specific NBC.

Question 3:

a.   Please provide a full rate model, in the form of an Excel spreadsheet or spreadsheets that fully documents the calculation of a 2011 generation rate that is 6% lower than 8.15 cents/kWh, i.e., 7.66 cents per kWh.

b.   If PG&E does not have any such quantitative calculation, please indicate this fact in your response.

c.   If PG&E has no quantitative calculation of a 2011 generation rate equal to 7.66 cents per kWh, please describe the basis for PG&E's statement in its April 1 letter that "Our 2011 estimated generation charges assume a 6% decrease in our generation rates"

Response 3:

a.   Please see the attached workbook named "GenerationRate-January2011.xls".

This calculation demonstrates the estimated generation rate for January 2011. PG&E applied the estimated system wide generation decrease to the City of Sausalito accounts to approximate the expected decrease in the City of Sausalito specific generation rate.

In addition, PG&E noted the potential reduced generation revenue requirement in p.2 of our GRC application.

Redacted

emphasis)

b.   n/a

c.   n/a

Question 4:

a.   Energy Division already has a copy of the January 2010 letter to Mr. McGlashan, but THAT letter in turn references a March 5, 2008 letter from Redacted to Mr. McGlashan. If possible, please provide a copy of that March 5, 2008 letter.

b.   According to the January 4, 2010 letter, the March 5, 2008 letter states that *"over the prior 10 years PGE's generation electric rate changes that would be avoided by a CCA have averaged between 1.0% to 2.0%*, not the 3.4% presented by MEA". Please provide full documentation for the italicized portion of this statement, including a breakout of annual generation rates for each of the 10 years.

Response 4:

a.   Please see the attached letter named "MarinCCAResponse3-5-2008.pdf"

b.   In response to a May 29, 2007 letter written by David Orth, General Manager of Kings River Conservation District (KRCD), PG&E provided historic generation information confirming that the change in generation rate components to be avoided by CCA customers for the study period were 1.5%. At the time of the letter to Mr. McGlashan on March 5, 2008, that information was the most recently developed information.

Table 1 – Historic System Average Bundled Generation Rates (Method 1)

Rate Component	($/kWh)
Redacted	

Redacted

Table 2 – Historic System Average Bundled Generation Rates (Method 2)

Calendar Year	Redacted
1998	
1999	
2000	
2001	
2002	
2003	
2004	
2005	
2006	
2007	