

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 6/1/2010 4:35:19 PM
To: 'Deal, Matthew' (matthew.deal@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: CPUC-PGE Summit Agenda

That works. I'm not worried about the discussion, just how to frame it and who the lead officer will be on it. We can always do better - except it seems, in Sacramento.

Lunch the 7th or the 8th works.

From: Deal, Matthew [mailto:matthew.deal@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 4:05 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: RE: CPUC-PGE Summit Agenda

Just as it sounds – let's talk about various relationships. How are they? Are folks getting along and working together? Is any relationship in disrepair? Any of them challenging? Be open & honest. The majority of the time will be focused on PUC/Leg relationships but given the increasing need to interact with the feds it would be good to hear how those relationships are going. Especially if there are areas in which PUC can help/assist.

If you want to grab coffee/lunch sometime in the next few weeks to talk about this more let me know. We can probably find a time that works.

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@PGE.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 3:47 PM
To: Deal, Matthew
Subject: RE: CPUC-PGE Summit Agenda

Matt - what is your intent regarding item VII ? What do you want us to address there ?

From: Deal, Matthew [mailto:matthew.deal@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 10:12 AM

To: Cherry, Brian K
Cc: Deal, Matthew
Subject: FW: CPUC-PGE Summit Agenda

Brian,

Agenda for the Summit attached. There is room to move a bit on the agenda if folks on your side want to include other items, etc. Since the Summit is a log way off we have some time to work. Let me know.

-M