

From: [Redacted]  
Sent: 6/11/2010 6:32:20 PM  
To: jweil@aglet.org (jweil@aglet.org); rmp@cpuc.ca.gov (rmp@cpuc.ca.gov); ljt@cpuc.ca.gov (ljt@cpuc.ca.gov); 'ckt@cpuc.ca.gov' (ckt@cpuc.ca.gov); Bob Finkelstein (bfinkelstein@turn.org); nms@cpuc.ca.gov (nms@cpuc.ca.gov); jab@cpuc.ca.gov (jab@cpuc.ca.gov); 'Hayley Goodson' (hayley@turn.org)  
Cc: Yura, Jane (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JKY1); Singh, Amrit P (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=APS5)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Meeting today -- TURN's numbers

Confidential

Haley:

Thanks for providing this information.

I do have a couple of questions.

1. Is TURN's recommended reduction of \$208 million for depreciation rates included in the expense reduction of the \$818.939 million?
2. Does your capital reduction of \$598.585 million represent capital expenditures? If yes, we will estimate the revenue requirement impact using a mid year convention. If no, then please let me know.

[Redacted]

**From:** Hayley Goodson [mailto:hayley@turn.org]  
**Sent:** Friday, June 11, 2010 4:02 PM  
**To:** [Redacted]; ljt@cpuc.ca.gov; Bob Finkelstein; jweil@aglet.org; rmp@cpuc.ca.gov; nms@cpuc.ca.gov; jab@cpuc.ca.gov  
**Cc:** Singh, Amrit P; Yura, Jane  
**Subject:** RE: Meeting today -- TURN's numbers

[Redacted]

Here's the high level summary of TURN's case, as best as I can provide it today.

Test Year Expense Reduction: \$818,939,235 (\$28,362,000 overlap with DRA in A&G, Elec Dist, Gas Dist\*)  
Test Year Capital Reduction: \$598,585,404  
Attrition Years Capital Reduction: \$475,000,000 (roughly)

Write-off of Gross Plant for BT Foundational Project: \$97,000,000 (approx.)  
Adjustment of Depreciation Expense Going Forward for BT Foundational Project Write-off:  
\$33,300,000 (approx.)

\*I have not confirmed the extent of TURN's overlap with DRA on Customer Care.

Let me know if this provides you what you need.

Thanks,  
Hayley

At 09:35 AM 6/11/2010, Hayley Goodson wrote:

Redacted

TURN will have our high level number for you today.

Hayley

At 06:53 PM 6/8/2010, Redacted wrote:

Laura, Bob, James, Nicholas, Jonathan, Mark, Clayton:

Confidential  
Please Do Not Forward

I just pressed "send" without finishing the note below. Here it is again.

Confidential

Thanks for meeting with Jane, Amrit and me today.

PG&E is prepared to put a number on the table early next week, assuming TURN can provide at a high level an indication of where it stands on its current position (i.e., revenue requirement number) so that we can factor in that information into our internal discussions related to an offer we would make next Monday or Tuesday.

Proposed next steps.

TURN provides a high level number (no need for details) of its position by the end of this week.

PG&E comes to a meeting on Monday or Tuesday at the CPUC with an offer.

Laura, I'd appreciate your getting a room if DRA, TURN and Aglet agree to meet.

Thanks

Redacted

---

**From:** Redacted

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 08, 2010 6:45 PM

**To:** ljt@cpuc.ca.gov; hayley@turn.org; Bob Finkelstein; jweil@aglet.org; rmp@cpuc.ca.gov; nms@cpuc.ca.gov; jab@cpuc.ca.gov

**Cc:** Singh, Amrit P; Yura, Jane

**Subject:** Meeting today

Confidential

Thanks for meeting with Jane, Amrit and me today.

PG&E is prepared to put a number on the table early next week, assuming TURN can provide at a high level an indication of where it stands on the overall number so that we can factor in that information in our internal discussions related to an offer we would make next Monday or Tuesday.

Proposed next steps.

TURN provides a high level number (no need for details) of its position by the end of this week.

PG&E comes to a meeting on Monday or Tuesday at the