

From: Hayley Goodson

Sent: 6/11/2010 7:23:09 PM

To: Redacted

Cc: jweil@aglet.org (jweil@aglet.org); ljt@cpuc.ca.gov (ljt@cpuc.ca.gov); Bob Finkelstein (bfinkelstein@turn.org); Hayley Goodson (hayley@turn.org); Yura, Jane (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JKY1); Singh, Amrit P (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=APS5); rmp@cpuc.ca.gov (rmp@cpuc.ca.gov); ckt@cpuc.ca.gov (ckt@cpuc.ca.gov); nms@cpuc.ca.gov (nms@cpuc.ca.gov); jab@cpuc.ca.gov (jab@cpuc.ca.gov)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Meeting today -- TURN's numbers

Redacted

1. Yes.

2. Yes.

Hayley

> Confidential

>

> Haley:

>

> Thanks for providing this information.

>

> I do have a couple of questions.

>

> 1. Is TURN's recommended reduction of \$208 million for depreciation rates included in the expense reduction of the \$818.939 million?

>

> 2. Does your capital reduction of \$598.585 million represent capital expenditures? If yes, we will estimate the revenue requirement impact using a mid year convention. If no, then please let me know.

>

> Redacted

>

>

> \_\_\_\_\_

>

> From: Hayley Goodson [mailto:hayley@turn.org]

> Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 4:02 PM

> To: Redacted ljt@cpuc.ca.gov; Bob

> Finkelstein; jweil@aglet.org; rmp@cpuc.ca.gov; nms@cpuc.ca.gov;

> jab@cpuc.ca.gov

> Cc: Singh, Amrit P; Yura, Jane  
> Subject: RE: Meeting today -- TURN's numbers

>  
>  
> [Redacted]

> Here's the high level summary of TURN's case, as best as I can provide  
> it today.

- > Test Year Expense Reduction: \$818,939,235 (\$28,362,000 overlap with DRA  
> in A&G, Elec Dist, Gas Dist\*)
- > Test Year Capital Reduction: \$598,585,404
- > Attrition Years Capital Reduction: \$475,000,000 (roughly)
- > Write-off of Gross Plant for BT Foundational Project: \$97,000,000  
> (approx.)
- > Adjustment of Depreciation Expense Going Forward for BT Foundational  
> Project Write-off: \$33,300,000 (approx.)

> \*I have not confirmed the extent of TURN's overlap with DRA on Customer  
> Care.

> Let me know if this provides you what you need.

> Thanks,  
> Hayley

> At 09:35 AM 6/11/2010, Hayley Goodson wrote:

> [Redacted]

> TURN will have our high level number for you today.

> Hayley

> At 06:53 PM 6/8/2010, [Redacted] wrote:

> Laura, Bob, James, Nicholas, Jonathan, Mark, Clayton:

> Confidential  
> Please Do Not Forward

>  
> I just pressed "send" without finishing the note below.  
> Here it is again.  
>  
> Confidential  
>  
> Thanks for meeting with Jane, Amrit and me today.  
>  
> PG&E is prepared to put a number on the table early next  
> week, assuming TURN can provide at a high level an indication of where  
> it stands on its current position (i.e., revenue requirement number) so  
> that we can factor in that information into our internal discussions  
> related to an offer we would make next Monday or Tuesday.  
>  
> Proposed next steps.  
>  
> TURN provides a high level number (no need for details)  
> of its position by the end of this week.  
>  
> PG&E comes to a meeting on Monday or Tuesday at the  
> CPUC with an offer.  
>  
> Laura, I'd appreciate your getting a room if DRA, TURN  
> and Aglet agree to meet.  
>  
> Thanks  
>  
> Redacted  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> 

---

  
> From: Redacted  
> Sent: Tuesday, June 08, 2010 6:45 PM  
> To: ljt@cpuc.ca.gov; hayley@turn.org; Bob  
> Finkelstein; jweil@aglet.org; rmp@cpuc.ca.gov; nms@cpuc.ca.gov;  
> jab@cpuc.ca.gov  
>  
> Cc: Singh, Amrit P; Yura, Jane  
> Subject: Meeting today  
>  
> Confidential  
>  
> Thanks for meeting with Jane, Amrit and me today.  
>  
> PG&E is prepared to put a number on the table early next  
> week, assuming TURN can provide at a high level an indication of where  
> it stands on the overall number so that we can factor in that

> information in our internal discussions related to an offer we would  
> make next Monday or Tuesday.

>

> Proposed next steps.

>

> TURN provides a high level number (no need for details)  
> of its position by the end of this week.

>

> PG&E comes to a meeting on Monday or Tuesday at the

>

>