

From: Fogel, Cathleen A.  
Sent: 6/4/2010 1:57:25 PM  
To: Lai, Peter (peter.lai@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]; [Redacted]; Zelmar, Karen (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=KJZ1); Wiggins, Don (DWiggins@semprautilities.com); amri.christianto@sce.com (amri.christianto@sce.com); Cheryl.Wynn@sce.com (Cheryl.Wynn@sce.com); PFord@semprautilities.com (PFord@semprautilities.com); EKirchhoff@semprautilities.com (EKirchhoff@semprautilities.com); [Redacted]; [Redacted]; fable@bki.com (fable@bki.com); RBashar@semprautilities.com (RBashar@semprautilities.com); RBashar@semprautilities.com (RBashar@semprautilities.com); Ricson.Chude@sce.com (Ricson.Chude@sce.com); [Redacted]; [Redacted]; CHarmstead@semprautilities.com (CHarmstead@semprautilities.com); Baker, Simon (simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov); Shore, Kevin M. (KShore@semprautilities.com); [Redacted]; [Redacted]; Steven.Long@sce.com (Steven.Long@sce.com); marlin.addison@doe2.com (marlin.addison@doe2.com)  
Cc: Wiggins, Don (DWiggins@semprautilities.com); [Redacted]; [Redacted]; Mendoza, Julia (JMendoza@semprautilities.com); Swaim, Cynthia M. (CMSwaim@semprautilities.com); Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); Lai, Peter (peter.lai@cpuc.ca.gov); don.arambula@sce.com (don.arambula@sce.com); Alyssa.Cherry@sce.com (Alyssa.Cherry@sce.com); Shore, Kevin M. (KShore@semprautilities.com); Athena Besa (ABesa@semprautilities.com); Ruiz, Carlos (CRuiz@semprautilities.com)  
Bcc:  
Subject: Tues June 8th call on PWHRP - focus on workpapers

All,

Energy Division is completing our review of the workpapers submitted May 17th by the IOUs and hopes to have written feedback to provide back to IOUs shortly.

Due to the importance of the workpaper issue, I would like it to be the focus of our upcoming call on Tuesday June 8th at 2 pm (invitation came from Michele Thomson, SCE: call in number is [Redacted] access code: [Redacted]). If at all possible, I would like us to plan on a 1.5- 2 hour call as there will be much to discuss (Michele, could you resend the appt with this longer time frame?).

Engineers that prepared the workpapers should plan to join this call, as well as program managers and if at all possible regulatory managers. Regulatory manager participation may be helpful because the workpaper results are well below 20% av. energy savings/home and it appears that a PTM may be necessary.

The agenda for the call will be:

- 1) presentation of ED review results
- 2) presentation of additional ED modeling results
- 3) Discussion
- 4) Next steps and timeline.

I know that all of us are eager to finalize approval of the workpaper and measures, and that many of the SEP recipients are asking for this information. Tuesday's call should move us further along that path.

Cheers,

Cathy

Cathy Fogel

Energy Efficiency Planning  
Section

Climate Strategies Branch,  
Energy Division

California Public Utilities  
Commission

[cf1@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:cf1@cpuc.ca.gov)

ph: 415-703-1809