PG&E Comments to Appendix I: Guidance for Determination of Baseline for Gross Savings

- 1. The four explanatory paragraphs are very helpful. Can these be created for other boxes in flowchart?
- 2. Can we get some examples of projects that don't meet program eligibility requirements?
- 3. Can the "Claimed baselines" boxes be deleted? We're assuming these guidelines will be used for both pre-application and ex post baseline determination.
- 4. What is meant by natural turnover?
- 5. Who/how will RUL be determined for each project?
 - a. This will be very difficult. May be simpler to use a standard/default RUL for most projects as proposed in the KEMA DEER 2008 EUL-RUL Analysis.
 - b. A provision for exceptions could be allowed where meaningful evidence of RULs other than the default exists.
 - c. Note that PG&E's current MDSS tracking system does not readily support stepped savings over time, generated by two different baselines.
- 6. "Compelling evidence replacement is program induced?" has "yes/no" paths.
 - a. Not clear how this would function. During the 06-08 impact evaluations we saw this type of attribution question rarely received a yes (100%) or no (0%) answer; partial influence was extremely common. Baselines need to be determined with greater clarity.
 - b. Should customer options be considered for determining baselines?
- 7. How will code or regulation baselines be determined when the code or regulation has multiple options and the customer is not changing technology types?
- 8. How will code or regulation baseline be determined when the code or regulation has multiple options and the customer <u>is</u> changing technology types? (E.g., converting from a steam to hot water system.)
- 9. When regulation or codes are required, but compliance rates are low, is code still the appropriate baseline? [Probably yes, but evaluators need to be careful in savings accounting across evaluations.]
- 10. The "Minimum production/service requirement" would benefit from additional explanation in cases where existing equipment is oversized.
- 11. Appendix I should recognize that there will exist projects and programs that fall outside the scope of the baseline guidance, e.g., RCx, RNC, NRNC, MBCx.