

From: Thomas Long  
Sent: 6/3/2010 9:56:15 AM  
To: Garber, Stephen (Law) (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SLG0)  
Cc: Redacted; Redacted  
Redacted  
mcampbell@sfgwater.org (mcampbell@sfgwater.org); Redacted  
Redacted; Horner, Trina  
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC); Hartman, Sanford  
(Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SLHb); Roscow, Steve  
(steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov); rasmith@sfgwater.org (rasmith@sfgwater.org)

Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Draft Advice Letter re CCSF Service Agreement

Steve,  
These changes are fine. We also agree with your timing suggestion, i.e., protests due June 9 and replies due June 11.  
We'll look forward to the submission of the advice letter today.  
Tom

Tom Long  
Deputy City Attorney  
Office of City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera  
City Hall, Room 234  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Tel: (415) 554-6548  
Fax: (415) 554-4763  
thomas.long@sfgov.org

The information in this email is confidential and protected by the attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you received this email inadvertently, please permanently delete it.

From: "Garber, Stephen (Law)" <SLG0@PGE.COM>

To: "Thomas Long" <Thomas.Long@sfgov.org>

Redacted

Cc: [Redacted], <mcampbell@swater.org>, <rasmith@swater.org>, "Hartman, Sanford (Law)" <SLHb@PGE.COM>, "Roscow, Steve" <steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov>, "Horner, Trina" <TNHc@PGE.COM> [Redacted], [Redacted]  
[Redacted]

Date: 06/03/2010 08:41 AM

Subject: RE: Draft Advice Letter re CCSF Service Agreement

Tom,

We're very close, and only a few minor edits to your version. Please carefully review. This was reformatted for filing (Randy & I had used an old template), so procedural, not substantive changes were made (e.g., signed by and respond to Jane Yura, not Brian Cherry; date, etc). I redlined the changes I made, but not the changes our folks made to update the format.

Probably the most important remaining question is timing. We are looking to file today if we can your concurrence this morning. One loose end we never discussed is timing of replies and protests:

**Anyone wishing to protest this filing may do so by letter sent via U.S. mail, by facsimile or electronically, any of which must be received no later than [Date] with replies to protests due [a few days afterwards].**

We are shooting for a Resolution at the June 24 decision conference, but I'm sensitive to jamming people on timing. I suggest a five (or six if we file today) calendar day protest period with replies due two afterwards, but would appreciate your thoughts.

Steve

**From:** Thomas Long [<mailto:Thomas.Long@sfgov.org>]

**Sent:** Wednesday, June 02, 2010 11:24 AM

**To:** Garber, Stephen (Law)

**Cc:** [Redacted]; mcampbell@swater.org; rasmith@swater.org; Hartman, Sanford (Law); Roscow, Steve; Horner, Trina

**Subject:** Re: Draft Advice Letter re CCSF Service Agreement

Steve,

Attached is a draft with our proposed edits to your draft. The two principal clarifications we propose are:

(1) To clarify that the parties are not proposing to change Sample Form 79-1029, which would remain unchanged in PG&E's tariffs, but rather are seeking CPUC approval of our specific negotiated Service Agreement; and

(2) To clarify that, pursuant to GO 96-B, Section 8.2.3, most provisions of our agreement (except for the four provisions for which we're seeking Commission approval) became effective upon execution.

Our changes avoid the tedious task of having to list all the provisions that have already gone into effect.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns regarding our proposed edits. With those edits, PG&E may represent that CCSF supports the advice filing.

Tom

Tom Long

Deputy City Attorney

Office of City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera

City Hall, Room 234

San Francisco, CA 94102

Tel: (415) 554-6548

Fax: (415) 554-4763

thomas.long@sfgov.org

The information in this email is confidential and protected by the attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you received this email inadvertently, please permanently delete it.

"Garber, Stephen (Law)"  
<SLG0@PGE.COM>  
From:

"Thomas Long" <Thomas.Long@sfgov.org>  
To:

Redacted  
Redacted, "Hartman, Sanford (Law)" <SLHb@PGE.COM>, "Homer, Trina" <TNHc@PGE.COM>, <mcampbell@sfgwater.org>, <rasmith@sfgwater.org>, "Roscow, Steve" <steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov>  
Cc:

06/01/2010 03:41 PM  
Date:

Draft Advice Letter re CCSF Service Agreement  
Subject:

Tom,

As you know, I am covering for Randy Litteneker. Attached for your review is a draft of our proposed advice letter, and a redline showing all changes from the Form 79-1029. I just received the redline and haven't had a chance to proof it yet. I look forward to your thoughts, and in particular need to know if I have your permission to represent that CCSF supports this advice filing, as stated on page 3.

There is a blank in the attached draft advice filing for me to list all provisions that go into effect immediately. I will go through the redline and list all changes, but I didn't want to delay sending you the draft advice filing. We will indicate that all changes will be effective immediately except for the ones you negotiated, i.e., except for sections 11.2, 22.1(c), 22.2 (with respect to release of the identity of customers who have opted out prior to mass enrollment) and 23.8.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Steve Garber 415.973.8003 [attachment "clean\_WSComparison\_79-1029\_2784-E\_5-2-08\_clean-CCSF Revised CCA SA Clean Final.doc" deleted by Thomas Long/CTYATT] [attachment "clean\_CCSF CCA Service Agreement Advice Filing 06-01-10.doc" deleted by Thomas Long/CTYATT] [attachment "clean\_CCSF CCA Service Agreement Advice Filing 06-03-10.doc" deleted by Thomas Long/CTYATT]