From: Marc D. Joseph Sent: 6/9/2010 3:39:06 PM To: Como, Joe (joe.como@cpuc.ca.gov); Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC); Middlekauff, Charles (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CRMd); mflorio@turn.org (mflorio@turn.org); Redacted Redacted Gray Jeffrey (JeffreyGray@dwt.com) Redacted Cc: Bcc: Subject: RE: RCEC - Final Draft Joint Motion to Withdraw SB695 Treatment Agreed. And thanks. Marc D. Joseph Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo (650) 589-1660 mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com This e-mail may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies. From: Como, Joe [mailto:joe.como@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 3:33 PM To: Redacted mflorio@turn.org; Gray Jeffrey; Marc D. Joseph; Middlekauff, Charles (Law); Horner, Trina Cc: Redacted Subject: RE: RCEC - Final Draft Joint Motion to Withdraw SB695 Treatment Yes, looks fine. Thanks Reda Joe Como Chief Counsel, DRA 415-703-2381 voice 415-703-2905 facsimile

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION:

This e-mail message is for the sole use of the designated recipient(s) and may contain legally confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, distribution or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message

From: Redacted Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 1:28 PM To: mflorio@turn.org; Gray Jeffrey; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com; Como, Joe; Middlekauff, Charles (Law); Horner, Trina Cc: Redacted Subject: RE: RCEC - Final Draft Joint Motion to Withdraw SB695 Treatment I have now received comments from everyone - final draft is attached and will be filed on 6/10

Alice

Redacted

Attorney

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

From: Mike Florio [mailto:mflorio@turn.org] **Sent:** Wednesday, June 09, 2010 12:30 PM

To: Grav Jeffrey; Mike Florio; ; joc@cpuc.ca.gov; Middlekauff, Charles (Law); Horner, Trina;

Redacted

Redacted

Cc Redacted

Subject: RE: RCEC - Draft Joint Motion to Withdraw SB695 Treatment

Fine with me. I guess I was exercising my annoying habit of tellling the truth, even when it's

not convenient. Everyone will know that's why we're doing this, but I suppose there's no need to confess Mike Mike Florio Senior Attorney The Utility Reform Network 115 Sansome St., Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94104 Phone: 415-929-8876, x302 Redacted On Wed 09/06/10 12:01 PM, sent: I am ok with deleting the yellow highlighted text -Mike - ok with you? Redact ed From: Gray, Jeffrey [mailto:JeffreyGray@dwt.com] Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 11:43 AM To: Redacted Mike Florio; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com; joc@cpuc.ca.gov; Middlekauff, Charles (Law); Horner, Trina **Cc:** Redacted Gray, Jeffrey Subject: RE: RCEC - Draft Joint Motion to Withdraw SB695 Treatment Redacte d Thanks for drafting. I have two proposed revisions in red-line. Let me know if you have any questions. Jeff

To: Mike Middleka Cc: SEN Subject	Redacted uesday, June 08, 2010 5:25 PM e Florio; Gray, Jeffrey; mdjosepl auff, Charles (Law); Horner, Trir Mn@PGE.COM t: RE: RCEC - Draft Joint Motior ance: High	n@adamsbroadwell.co na	
AII -			
please p	d is another draft reflecting in re- provide any additional comments ne 10 filing - thanks.		
Redacted			
Redacted Attorney Pacific C	y Gas and Electric Company		

From: Mike Florio [mailto:mflorio@turn.org]
Sent: Tuesday, June 08, 2010 10:18 AM
To: Redacted

Cc: Gray, Jeffrey; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com; joc@cpuc.ca.gov; Middlekauff,

Charles (Law); Horner, Trina

Subject: Re: RCEC - Draft Joint Motion to Withdraw SB695 Treatment

Thanks, Redact We can go with your simple TURN footnote or the longer one that I sent you a short time ago. I'd also prefer that the motion be a bit more explicit about exactly what is meant by "without prejudice" in the last sentence. I'm concerned that AReM or another proponent of cost shifting to bundled customers will argue that because the amended contract is being approved without an explicit cost allocation finding that the right to apply 695 in the future has somehow been waived, or that such treatment would be "retroactive." I'm thinking about something along the following lines:

"In light of these developments in the LTPP Rulemaking, the controversy that the SB 695 implementation proposal has created in this proceeding, and the fact that the costs of the RCEC project will not impact PG&E's rates until several years from now, the Joint Parties now respectfully move to withdraw their request in the Joint Petition that D.09-04-010 be modified to implement SB 695 for the RCEC PPA at this time, on the condition that the Commission affirm that such withdrawal is without prejudice to any party's right to seek implementation of SB 695 for the RCEC Project in the LTPP Rulemaking proceeding (*i.e.*, R.10-05-006) 4/2 or other appropriate proceeding."

I hope this (or something similar) works for everyone. THANKS, Mike

At 03:29 PM 6/8/2010, you wrote:

All - Attached is the draft joint motion to withdraw our request for SB 695 treatment for the RCEC PPA. Please provide any comments by noon tomorrow -- we'll shoot to file this on June 10.

Alice

Redacted

Attorney

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

 \Diamond