From: Fitch, Julie A.

Sent: 6/21/2010 5:15:13 PM

To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)

Cc: Ikle, Judith (judith.ikle@cpuc.ca.gov); Strauss, Robert L.

(robert.strauss@cpuc.ca.gov); Dahlgren, Joan (joan.dahlgren@cpuc.ca.gov)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: LTRFO evaluation methodology

I suspect it's not a lack of understanding in ED, but we could still meet. Early next week is better for me. Howa bout 11 a.m. on the 29<sup>th</sup>? Monday is also currently free, but I have some personal stuff that could interfere on Monday, so if I scheduled for then, there's a risk I could end up not being there. But that might be fine, too, if Bob and Judith are available. Copying Joan, who handles my calendar, so you can coordinate with her.

Julie

**From:** Horner, Trina [mailto:TNHc@pge.com] **Sent:** Monday, June 21, 2010 4:56 PM

**To:** Fitch, Julie A. **Cc:** Strauss, Robert L.

Subject: LTRFO evaluation methodology

## Julie,

Following up on my voicemail, I'd like to come talk with you and Bob about some of the findings in ALJ Farrar's PD on PG&E's Long Term Request for Offers regarding PG&E's evaluation methodology. PG&E is concerned that the PD doesn't reflect a robust understanding of the LTRFO and wants to be sure the Commission understands the processes, criteria, and calculations we used. If it works for you, I'd like to schedule some time for Roy or Todd and I to come talk with you and your team about it. Would late this week work, or early next?

Thanks,

Trina