

From: Churchill, Susannah
Sent: 6/10/2010 5:25:10 PM
To: [Redacted] Allen,
Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)
Cc: Simon, Sean A. (sean.simon@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: questions re. Eurus contracts

Hi [Redact] Thanks for your data response. I just left you a voice mail. I have a couple more questions re. Eurus AL that I hope will be quick to answer:

1) I'd like to note that Eurus has an MOU for equipment procurement with a panel supplier who have confirmed module availability, in the public section of the AL, since that seems like a question many might ask for projects with such a 2011 online date. I note PG&E did not include that info in the redacted AL. Should I stick with only the language PG&E includes?

2) In Appendix A, **Figure 3A. Mean and Median Price by Technology**, are those prices TOD-adjusted? It seems they are, since the dotted line for the Eurus contracts is at \$240.

Thanks very much,
Susannah

Susannah Churchill
Renewable Procurement & Resource Planning
Energy Division, California Public Utilities Commission
(415) 703-3072
susannah.churchill@cpuc.ca.gov

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 2:23 PM
To: Churchill, Susannah; Allen, Meredith
Cc: Simon, Sean A.
Subject: RE: questions re. Eurus contracts

CONFIDENTIAL

Susannah:

As we discussed during today's call, here is our response to your questions.

Thanks,
[Redact]

From: Churchill, Susannah [mailto:susannah.churchill@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, June 07, 2010 2:01 PM
To: [Redacted] Allen, Meredith
Cc: Simon, Sean A.
Subject: questions re. Eurus contracts

Thanks, [Redac] Here's my first set of questions re. the Eurus contracts. (I may have more in the next day or two.) Please respond by end of day tomorrow, Tues 6/8 if possible, or let me know if that's not doable and you need until Wednesday.

Responses will be kept confidential, of course. Apologies for the quick turnaround.

Transmission

1) Is there an update on ISO's completion of the necessary facilities studies? Appendix D states they were due earlier this year.

2) What transmission upgrades are needed in 12/2010? Table in Appendix D, pp. D5-D6 is somewhat confusing. Is the only upgraded needed a reconductoring for Avenal Park? Please note latest timeline for any needed transmission upgrades.

3) Is it OK to say in the public version of the resolution what transmission upgrades are needed?

Project Viability

4) What exactly are the viability scores for each of the projects? I could find only points on graphs indicating approximately 80, but no actual listed viability scores.

Price

5) Why is PPA price so high given that PV panel prices are low? Please relay any information from the developer in defense of why their prices are so high compared to some other PV projects.

Susannah Churchill
Renewable Procurement & Resource Planning
Energy Division, California Public Utilities Commission
(415) 703-3072
susannah.churchill@cpuc.ca.gov

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 07, 2010 9:34 AM
To: Churchill, Susannah; Allen, Meredith
Subject: RE: questions re. Eurus contracts

Hi Susannah:

You can email the questions to both of us and we will determine which one of us follows up with you.

Thanks,
[Redacted]

From: Churchill, Susannah [mailto:susannah.churchill@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, June 07, 2010 9:30 AM
To: [Redacted] Allen, Meredith
Subject: questions re. Eurus contracts

Hi [Redacted] Meredith,

I'm reviewing (AL) 3610-E and have a few questions. Who's the best person to email them to?

Thanks,
SC

Susannah Churchill
Renewable Procurement & Resource Planning
Energy Division, California Public Utilities Commission
(415) 703-3072
susannah.churchill@cpuc.ca.gov