

From: Roscow, Steve  
Sent: 6/3/2010 5:19:47 PM  
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);  
Redacted  
Cc: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Different question/"data request" re Marin data

Brian, thanks, I will take a look at that material and let you know.

If PG&E has not analyzed MEA's cash flows, then I may just request the data I need to do that—that would be the same data Marin has been using, I suppose. I have to say I would be very surprised if PG&E hasn't put anything together (or hired someone else to do it?), actually, given the BTL reports I've been reviewing. All those ratepayer-supported staff listed in the report must be analyzing something. So this might be something best discussed in person so we don't have any misunderstandings, should something come to light later.

I'll be back in touch, most likely next week.

Steve

**From:** Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@PGE.COM]  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 03, 2010 5:07 PM  
**To:** Roscow, Steve; Redacted  
**Cc:** Horner, Trina  
**Subject:** RE: Different question/"data request" re Marin data

Steve - I don't believe that we have done an analysis of this issue. However, Redac will prepare one if that is what you request. Before we do that, I would like to refer you to MEA's own analysis of the issue which was provided by their consultant as part of their Board packet. Scroll through the Pdf and towards the end, you will see that the economic impact on MEA is \$1.3 million. Is that enough for you to satisfy your request ?

BTW, the document comes from MEA's website.

<http://www.marinenergyauthority.org/PDF/Supplemental Board Packet 6.1.10.pdf>

**From:** Roscow, Steve [mailto:steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 03, 2010 4:56 PM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** Cherry, Brian K; Horner, Trina  
**Subject:** Different question/"data request" re Marin data

Hi again, [Redacted]

As you probably heard, the draft decision on PG&E's proposed "conservation incentive adjustment" was held today. One of the internal questions that has come up in response to the comments filed by MEA and CCSF has been whether we can put any numbers on the degree to which MEA is relying on high usage residential customers for its early cash flow, and how sensitive those cash flows might be to a change to a flat generation rate.

I imagine that PG&E has run these numbers, so I'd like to request any such analyses from PG&E. Is this note sufficient as a "data request" or do you need more?

We'd like to receive that material by the of next week.

Steve Roscow

CPUC Energy Division

415-703-1189