#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Consider Revising Energy Utility Tariff Rules Related to Deposits and Adjusting Bills as They Affect Small Business Customers

Rulemaking 10-05-005 (Filed May 6, 2010)

REPLY COMMENTS OF SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION (U 905 G)

> Catherine M. Mazzeo Senior Counsel Southwest Gas Corporation 5241 Spring Mountain Road Las Vegas, NV 89150-0002 Phone: (702) 876-7250

Fax: (702) 252-7283

Email: catherine.mazzeo@swgas.com

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Consider Revising Energy Utility Tariff Rules Related to Deposits and Adjusting Bills as They Affect Small Business Customers

Rulemaking 10-05-005 (Filed May 6, 2010)

## REPLY COMMENTS OF SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION (U 905 G)

# I. INTRODUCTION

On May 12, 2010, the California Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") issued an Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Consider Revising Energy Tariff Rules Related to Deposits and Adjusting Bills as They Affect Small Business Customers ("OIR") and Preliminary Scoping Memo, to determine if utility tariff rules should be revised and/or updated. The proposed revisions consider whether micro-businesses, as defined by Government Code Section 14837<sup>1</sup>, should be treated the same as residential customers for specific billing and deposit purposes. The Commission requested that parties file opening comments on or before June 14, 2010, and reply comments on or before June 28, 2010<sup>2</sup>. Southwest Gas Corporation ("Southwest" or "Company") submits the following Reply Comments in response to the comments filed by the other parties to this rulemaking.

Although footnote 1 of the OIR defines micro-businesses as having average annual gross receipts of two million seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$2,750,000) or less over the previous three years, Southwest is informed and believes that in 2009 the Department of General Services ("DGS") increased this amount to three million five hundred thousand dollars (\$3,500,000) in accordance with Government Code Section 14837(3). For purposes of these comments, Southwest will assume the Commission intends for the \$3,500,000 figure to apply.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Initially, the Commission requested that opening comments be filed by June 7, 2010, with reply comments filed on or before June 21, 2010. However, on May 27, 2010, the Commission's Executive Director granted the parties a seven (7) day extension in order to accommodate any utility and/or Commission staff working simultaneously on this docket and docket 10-02-005.

# II. REPLY COMMENTS

The Commission's Preliminary Scoping Memo requests that the parties comment on the primary question of whether it is "good and fair policy to treat a 'micro-business'...the same as residential customers only in terms of back-billing and deposit requirements", by way of changes to each utility's tariff rule 1 (Definitions), as well as each utility's tariff rules concerning bill adjustments (Rule 17 of Southwest's tariff) and deposit requirements (Rule 7 of Southwest's tariff)(collectively, "proposed tariff changes")<sup>3</sup>. The proposed tariff changes would require utilities to only back-bill micro-business customers for periods of up to 3 months, rather than 3 years, consistent with back-billings for residential customers. Further, the proposed tariff changes would prevent the utilities from charging micro-business customers additional deposits to re-establish credit upon slow-payment or non-payment of bills, or following a disconnection.

### 1) Identifying Micro-business Customers.

A majority of the other parties suggest that utilities should identify micro-business customers according to their energy usage, rather than following the established definition set forth in the California Government Code and utilizing the mechanisms developed by DGS to determine if a customer has been certified as a micro-business. Essentially, a customer class would be created that affords any business customer (regardless of its revenues) the benefit of residential treatment for back-billing and deposits, based upon the number of kilowatts or therms it uses in a given period. However, because there is no connection between a customer's revenues and its energy usage, this proposal appears to generate more problems than it solves.

#### a) Risk of over-inclusion.

A significant risk associated with the "customer class" approach is that it will almost certainly extend beyond the scope of the OIR by including businesses that would not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Preliminary Scoping Memo also addresses the Commission's desire to explore, through the workshop, the rationale for refunding billing errors for a period of up to three (3) years of bills, and refunding metering errors for a period of only six (6) months.

otherwise satisfy the micro-business definition.<sup>4</sup> This is especially true for Southwest who, based on usage, has little diversity amongst its non-residential customers. For instance, using a model that creates a customer class based upon annual usage of 10,000 therms or less<sup>5</sup>, the proposed tariff changes would apply to 94% of the non-residential customers in Southwest's California service territories. Similarly, a model that creates a customer class based upon usage of 4,000 therms or less per month<sup>6</sup> would encompass 99% of the non-residential customers located within Southwest's California service territories.

Southwest does not believe that the Commission intends for the proposed tariff changes to apply so broadly that virtually all non-residential customers are treated the same as residential customers for the purposes of back-billing and deposits. To do so would not only lessen the benefit that the Commission seeks to provide to a specified group of small businesses, but would potentially increase the utilities' bad debt and create an undue burden on other ratepayers.

## b) Other questions raised by this approach.

Creating a customer class based on usage poses many other issues for consideration including, but not limited to, the following:

- 1. How to treat a customer that qualifies for the new customer class under one utility's criteria, but not another's.<sup>7</sup>
- 2. How to address customers who frequently move in and out of the customer class due to their usage, and/or seasonal customers who might be in the new customer class for several months while usage is low and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> To a lesser degree, a customer class based on usage also has the potential to exclude qualifying micro-business customers from the proposed tariff changes. Under the "customer class" approach, which does not consider revenue, it is possible that some small business customers who are struggling financially will be unaffected by the proposed tariff changes for the sole reason that they use more energy than other small business customers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Opening Comments of San Diego Gas & Electric Company and Southern California Gas Company, at pg. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Opening Comments of Pacific Gas & Electric Company, at pg. 3.

A possible resolution to this issue would be to establish data sharing between the electric and gas utilities so that once a customer is confirmed to be a micro-business for one utility's purposes, the customer's account can be flagged accordingly in the other utility's records.

then move out of the new class for several months as their usage increases.

3. How to treat customers with multiple meters. Since multiple meters are generally treated as individual customers for billing purposes, a customer may be included within the new customer class based upon readings from one meter when, in actuality, its usage may be much greater.

## 2) Implementing the Proposed Tariff Changes.

As discussed in its Opening Comments, Southwest does not believe that blanket tariff changes are necessary or appropriate in this instance, given the unique aspects of each utility's customer base and service territories. With specific regard to the proposed deposit rule (that would require utilities to waive deposits to re-establish credit for microbusiness customers upon slow-payment or non-payment of bills, or following a disconnection), Southwest believes that those utilities not subject to the Proposed Interim Decision in R.10-02-005, should not be subject to the application of a similar rule in this OIR. Although it appreciates the Commission's efforts to provide assistance to this target group of small business customers, Southwest reiterates its position that, in lieu of the proposed tariff changes, utilities should be allowed the continued flexibility to work with their micro-business customers on an individual basis to resolve specific back-billing and deposit concerns. This position is shared by several other respondent utilities.

#### III. CONCLUSION

Southwest appreciates the opportunity to provide Reply Comments regarding the issues raised in this rulemaking. As set forth in its Opening Comments, Southwest believes that the California Government Code provides a clear and workable definition of a micro-business, and that the DGS has mechanisms already in place that will enable the utilities to identify their micro-business customers in a uniform manner that is consistent with the definition. However, irrespective of how micro-businesses would be identified, Southwest continues to oppose the idea of tariff changes that apply to all of the utilities in

the same manner. Southwest believes that, given its success in working with its customers on a case-by-case basis to resolve back-billing and deposit concerns, it should be exempt from any rules adopted by the Commission as a result of this rulemaking. Southwest will continue to actively participate in this docket and looks forward to working with the Commission and the other parties at the upcoming workshop.

Dated this 28<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2010 at Las Vegas, Nevada.

#### SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION

/s/ Catherine M. Mazzeo

Catherine M. Mazzeo Senior Counsel Southwest Gas Corporation 5241 Spring Mountain Road Las Vegas, Nevada 89150-0002

Phone: (702) 876-7250 Fax: (702) 252-7283

E-Mail: catherine.mazzeo@swgas.com

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing REPLY COMMENTS OF SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION (U 905 G) upon the individuals on the established service list in proceeding R.10-05-005 by electronic mail (email) service. Those individuals without an email address were served by regular, first-class mail.

Dated this 28<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2010 at Las Vegas, Nevada.

/s/ Valerie J. Ontiveroz

Valerie J. Ontiveroz State Regulatory Affairs Southwest Gas Corporation 5241 Spring Mountain Road Las Vegas, Nevada 89150-0002

Phone: (702) 876-7323

E-mail: valerie.ontiveroz@swgas.com



# CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

**Service Lists** 

PROCEEDING: R1005005 - CPUC- OIR TO CONSIDE

FILER: CPUC LIST NAME: LIST

**LAST CHANGED: JUNE 14, 2010** 

# DOWNLOAD THE COMMA-DELIMITED FILE ABOUT COMMA-DELIMITED FILES

#### Back to Service Lists Index

#### **Parties**

CATHERINE MAZZEO
SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION
PO BOX 98510
LAS VEGAS, NV 89193-8510
FOR: SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION

NGUYEN QUAN
MGR - REGULATORY AFFAIRS
GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY
630 EAST FOOTHILL BOULEVARD
SAN DIMAS, CA 91773
FOR: GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY

KIM F. HASSAN
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
101 ASH STREET, HQ-12
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

NINA SUETAKE
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
115 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
FOR: THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

FADIA RAFEEDIE KHOURY
ATTORNEY
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE., PO BOX 800
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770
FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

DANIEL A. KING
SEMPRA GENERATION
101 ASH STREET, HQ 14
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
FOR: SEMPRA GENERATION

MARION PELEO
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
LEGAL DIVISION
ROOM 4107
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
FOR: DIVISION OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES

DANIEL F. COOLEY
STAFF COUNSEL
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
BOX 7442, MC B30A / 77 BEALE ST.NO3161
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94120
FOR: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# **Information Only**

GREGORY HEALY SOCALGAS/SDG&E EMAIL ONLY EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000 STEPHANIE C. CHEN THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE EMAIL ONLY EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000 FOR: THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

DONALD L. SODERBERG VP - PRICING & TARIFFS SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION 5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD / BOX 98510 LAS VEGAS, NV 89150 LAS VEGAS, NV 89150 FOR: SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION

VALERIE J. ONTIVEROZ

BROOKS CONGDON SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION PO BOX 98510 LAS VEGAS, NV 89193-8510

TREVOR DILLARD SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY 6100 NEIL ROAD, MS S4A50 / PO BOX 10100 RENO, NV 89520-0024 FOR: SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY

F. E. JOHN

VP - REGULATORY AFFAIRS

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

720 WEST 8TH STREET

CASE ADMINISTRATION

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE

ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017 FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

RONALD MOORE

KEITH SWITZER SR. REGULATROY ANALYST

GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY

630 EAST FOOTHILL BLVD.

SAN DIMAS, CA 91773

FOR: GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY

FOR: GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY

FOR: GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY

KENNETH J. DEREMER

DIR., TARIFF & REGULATORY ACCTS
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC CO.

8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP32C
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1548

CPUC FILE ADMINISTRATION
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, B30A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1548 FOR: SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS

425 DIVISADERO ST. STE 303

VP - REGULATORY RELATIONS

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94117-2242

PAGE 17,70000 / 77 BEALE ST. MC PO BOX 770000 / 77 BEALE ST., MC B10C SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 FOR: PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177

CASE COORDINATION

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000; MC B9A

SAN FRANCISCO CA 04177

PO BOX 770000; MC B10B SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177

ALICIA MILLER

THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR

THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR

THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR

THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94704

BERKELEY, CA 94704

MIKE LAMOND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

JOY A. WARREN MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT ALPINE NATURAL GAS OPERATING CO. #1 LLC 1231 11TH STREET PO BOX 550

VALLEY SPRINGS, CA 95252

FOR: ALPINE NATURAL GAS OPERATING

MODESTO, CA 95354

JOHN DUTCHER MOUNTAIN UTILITIES PO BOX 205 KIRKWOOD, CA 95646 FOR: MOUNTAIN UTILITIES

RAYMOND J. CZAHAR CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER WEST COAST GAS COMPANY 9203 BEATTY DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95826 FOR: WEST COAST GAS COMPANY

CATHIE ALLEN DIR., REGULATORY AFFAIRS PACIFICORP 825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET, SUITE 2000 PORTLAND, OR 97232 FOR: PACIFICORP

#### **State Service**

CONSUMER SERVICE AND INFORMATION DIVISIO DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES 320 WEST 4TH STREET SUITE 500 ROOM 5043 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013

DRISHA MELTON BRUCE DEBERRY
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION CALIF PUBLIC ENERGY PRICING AND GUSTON ENERGY PRICING AND CUSTOMER PROGRAMS BRA ENERGY PRICING AND CUSTOMER PROGRAMS BRA ROOM 4104 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION ROOM 4102 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

MARZIA ZAFAR CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION PUBLIC ADVISOR OFFICE ROOM 2-B 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

STEPHANIE GREEN CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION PUBLIC ADVISOR OFFICE AREA 2-B 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

### TOP OF PAGE **BACK TO INDEX OF SERVICE LISTS**