

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 6/24/2010 11:23:44 AM
To: Stock, William (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=WCS3);
'karen.shea@cpuc.ca.gov' (karen.shea@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Re: Follow up on concern re: impact of cng rate revision

Karen,

Thanks for following up and clarifying the issue.

[Redacted]

From: Shea, Karen M. <karen.shea@cpuc.ca.gov>
To: [Redacted] Stock, William
Cc: Shea, Karen M. <karen.shea@cpuc.ca.gov>
Sent: Thu Jun 24 10:49:13 2010
Subject: Follow up on concern re: impact of cng rate revision

I worked with the ALJ on the issue Megan raised yesterday and as a followup obtained feedback from the ALJ that Ordering Par. 4 provides that an AL is to be filed "to implement and carry out the terms of the Partial Settlement and the adopted compression cost component of PG&E's Schedule G-NGV2 rate, and to present the necessary tariff revisions..." Since the Partial Settlement did not resolve the compression cost issue and only used PG&E's proposed number of \$0.744 in their illustrative rates, given this OP3 is ok.