

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 6/17/2010 10:18:27 AM
To: '(efile-help@cpuc.ca.gov)' (efile-help@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAE);
'dyk@cpuc.ca.gov' (dyk@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: Re: 0000033107 – CPUC Rejection of Filing – A0912002 (PG&E’s Notice of Ex parte Communication)

To whom it may concern:

On 6/15/10, PG&E timely E-filed its Notice of Ex parte Communication and the associated attachments from a meeting with Andrew Schwartz (Advisor to Commission President Peevey), and was given Confirmation Number 0000033107. On that same day, PG&E electronically served all parties to this proceeding (A.09-12-002) with the letter of Notice of Ex parte Communication, and three separate address links to the attachments were provided.

On 6/16/10, PG&E received a Rejection notice from the CPUC due to the document not being PDF/A compliant as required by Resolution ALJ-188, and is being asked to re-file within seven working days, from this date, pursuant to Rule 1.14(d).

In order to re-file the various attachments in the PDF/A compliant format, the documents will exceed the CPUC's 20 MB limit. Therefore, PG&E proposes to re-file our Notice of Ex parte Communication and provide address links to our attachments so that all of the large attachments will be accessible on the CPUC's website.

Please confirm whether this is an acceptable way to re-file the Notice of Ex parte Communication in order to meet the CPUC's ex parte requirements. If not, please consider this as our request to re-file our Notice of Ex parte Communication and attachments via hard copy (1 original +3) to the CPUC, which will be filed as soon as we hear back from your office. Either way, please respond to this email to provide needed direction.

Thank you.

[Redacted]
Regulatory Relations
[Redacted]