

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 6/3/2010 5:07:27 PM
To: 'Roscow, Steve' (steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]
Cc: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Different question/"data request" re Marin data

Steve - I don't believe that we have done an analysis of this issue. However, [Redacted] will prepare one if that is what you request. Before we do that, I would like to refer you to MEA's own analysis of the issue which was provided by their consultant as part of their Board packet. Scroll through the Pdf and towards the end, you will see that the economic impact on MEA is \$1.3 million. Is that enough for you to satisfy your request ?

BTW, the document comes from MEA's website.

<http://www.marinenergyauthority.org/PDF/Supplemental Board Packet 6.1.10.pdf>

From: Roscow, Steve [mailto:steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 03, 2010 4:56 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Cherry, Brian K; Horner, Trina
Subject: Different question/"data request" re Marin data

Hi again, Calvin—

As you probably heard, the draft decision on PG&E's proposed "conservation incentive adjustment" was held today. One of the internal questions that has come up in response to the comments filed by MEA and CCSF has been whether we can put any numbers on the degree to which MEA is relying on high usage residential customers for its early cash flow, and how sensitive those cash flows might be to a change to a flat generation rate.

I imagine that PG&E has run these numbers, so I'd like to request any such analyses from PG&E. Is this note sufficient as a "data request" or do you need more?

We'd like to receive that material by the of next week.

Steve Roscow

CPUC Energy Division

415-703-1189