From: Caulson, Megan

Sent: 6/24/2010 11:16:53 AM

To: Redacted (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EHW2); Redacted

Redacted ; Darrah.Morgan@sce.com

(Darrah.Morgan@sce.com); Hayes, John (JHayes@semprautilities.com)

Garber, Stephen (Law) (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SLG0); Hughes

John (Reg Rel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J8HS); Redacted

Redacted);

werner.blumer@cpuc.ca.gov (werner.blumer@cpuc.ca.gov); lisa.ornelas@sce.com (lisa.ornelas@sce.com); Loring.Fiske-phillips@sce.com (Loring.Fiske-

phillips@sce.com)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Rule 15 - Developers as Permanent Customers

Dara,

SDG&E considers a

developer as an Applicant (but not necessarily permanent) from both the definition of Applicant in Rule 15 and the language in the Basis of Allowances Section (15.C.2).

Since Rule

15 defines an Applicant as a "person or agency requesting utility to supply electric service", we consider a developer as one who would qualify as a "person requesting electric service" and therefore qualifies as an applicant. Basically, developers request the utility to supply electric service for projects, which ultimately results in service to an applicant for permanent service.

Rule 15.C.2 addresses allowances, and specifically addresses an applicant for a subdivision or development. 15.C.2.b specifies conditions which provide evidence that permanent service will be established. As you noted, 15.C.2 grants allowances to both applicants for permanent service OR to an applicant for a subdivision or development. Because of this language in red (and the definition) we consider a developer also an applicant -- not necessarily the permanent applicant, but one acting on behalf

of the subdivision or development.
Thanks,
Megan Caulson
SDG&E Regulatory Tariffs
From: Redacted
From: Redacted Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2010 12:16 PM
To:
Darrah.Morgan@sce.com; Caulson, Megan; Hayes, John; Redacted Cc:
Hughes, John (Reg Rel); Redacted Garber, Stephen (Law);
werner.blumer@cpuc.ca.gov; Lisa.Ornelas@sce.com; Loring.Fiske-phillips@sce.com
Subject: RE: Rule 15 - Developers as Permanent Customers
Permanent Customers
Darah,
An Applicant is defined in
PG&E's Rule 15 as:
"ADDI ICANIT: A parson or
"APPLICANT: A person or agency requesting PG&E to supply electric service"
I would say
that a developer is ultimately the agent of the (end-use) customer and
therefore can be an Applicant.
Redacted

From: Darrah.Morgan@sce.com

[mailto:Darrah.Morgan@sce.com]

Sent: Monday, June 21, 2010 3:14

PM

To: Redacted ; MCaulson@semprautilities.com;

JHayes@semprautilities.com; Redacted

Cc: Hughes, John (Reg Rel);

Redacted Garber, Stephen (Law); werner.blumer@cpuc.ca.gov;

Lisa.Ornelas@sce.com; Loring.Fiske-phillips@sce.com

Subject: Rule 15

- Developers as Permanent Customers

As you might recall, SCE filed

Advice 2453-E to begin considering and treating developers as a permanent Rule 15 Applicants, rather than treating the eventual end-use customer as the permanent applicant. We received a Data Request and exchanged several e-mails with Werner Blumer of the Energy Division as a result. Both SDG&E and PG&E were also kind enough to agree to file an advice letter and include "developer" in their respective Rule 15 Applicant definitions; however, I'm not sure that will be necessary.

I believe all our Rule 15.C.2. Basis of Allowances provisions are the same; therefore, can you tell me why you consider a developer as the permanent Applicant or do you consider a developer as an Applicant (not necessarily permanent) by virtue of the tariff language below in red font?

2.

BASIS OF ALLOWANCES. Allowances shall be granted to an Applicant for Permanent Service, or to an

Applicant for a subdivision or development under the following conditions:

a.

SCE is provided evidence that construction will proceed promptly and financing is adequate, and

b.

Applicant has submitted evidence of building permit(s) or fully-executed home purchase contract(s) or lease agreement(s), or

c. Where there is

equivalent evidence of occupancy or electric usage satisfactory to SCE.

Dara Morgan SCE - Regulatory Policy & Affairs Pax 22086 / 626 302-2086 Fax 21626 / 626 302-1626 Darrah.Morgan@sce.com

```
Redacted
                                    "Blumer, Werner M."
           04/09/2010 01:09 PM
                                   <werner.blumer@cpuc.ca.gov>
                                   <Darrah.Morgan@sce.com>, "Garber, Stephen (Law)"
                                   <SLG0@pge.com>. Redacted
                                                                                Reda
                                  Redacted
                                                         "Hughes, John (Reg Rel)"
                                   </
                                   <MCaulson@semprautilities.com>
                                   Subject:
                                   RE: DATA REQUEST: Compliance with R. 92-03-050 standard
                               of
                                   Rules 15 and 16 and SCE AL
                                2453-E
```

Werner.

Along that same vein and for the sake of constitency, PG&E agrees to modify the definition sections of its Rule 15 and Rule 16 to conform with the "Applicant" language proposed by SCE. The new definition will read.

```
Applicant: A person,
    developer, or agency requesting utility to supply
    electric service
```

To further clarify this new Rule 15/16 definition of "Applicant", with respect to the definition of "Applicant" found elsewhere in PG&E's tariffs, it is likely that we will also file for changes to the definition of "Applicant" in our Rule 1. These changes will be along the lines of the more expansive definition of Applicant found in SCE's Electric Rule 1.

We expect to file these changes in the next couple of weeks.

Please call if you have any questions.

Regulation and
Rates
Manager, Gas and Electric
Tariffs
Redacted

From: Caulson, Megan [mailto:MCaulson@semprautilities.com]
Sent: Friday, April 09, 2010 12:41 PM

To:

'Blumer, Werner M.'

Cc: Redacted

'Darrah.Morgan@sce.com'

Subject: FW: DATA REQUEST: Compliance with

R. 92-03-050 standardization of Rules 15 and 16 and SCE AL 2453-E

Werner,

Hope

you've been doing well...

Per your note

below, SDG&E has reviewed it's definition of "Applicant" in both Electric Rules 15 & 16 and is in agreement with the recommendation from the ED to clarify our current understanding/processes by adding the word "developer" to the current definition of Applicant.

I'll get an

Advice Letter drafted to modify SDG&E's Electric Rule 15 -- Distribution Line Extensions (Section J - Definitions) & Electric Rule 16 -- Service Extensions (Section H - Definitions) so that they will read:

<u>Applicant:</u> A person, <u>developer</u>, or agency requesting utility to supply electric service

Please

let me know if anything changes or we need to do anything further.

Thanks, Megan Caulson SDG&E Rates, Regulations & Tariffs

From: Blumer, Werner M. <werner.blumer@cpuc.ca.gov> **To**: Hughes, John (Reg Rel)

<J8HS@pge.com>; Redacted
Cc: Darrah.Morgan@sce.com

<Darrah.Morgan@sce.com>; Schumacher, Brian D.

<brian.schumacher@cpuc.ca.gov>

Sent: Mon Apr 05 11:04:49

2010

Subject: DATA REQUEST: Compliance with R. 92-03-050 standardization of Rules 15 and 16 and SCE AL 2453-E Dear Mr.. Hughes and Redacted

Subject SCE AL requests inclusion of "developer" in the "Applicant" definition reflecting SCE's changed treatment of those with regards to Line and Service extensions in a manner already practice with PG&E and SDG&E since 1992.

Evaluation of this proposal

revealed however that PG&E's and SDG&E's tariff does not define "developer" specifically as Applicant. For the sake of clarity and compliance with R. 92-03-050 for tariff consistency we suggest that PG&E and SDG&E consider amending their tariffs accordingly and request your plan on this issue.

Thank you very much for your response by April 12, 2010.

Sincerely,

Werner Blumer CPUC - Energy Division