From:	Caulson, Megan			
Sent:	6/24/2010 11:16:53 AM			
To:	Redacted	; Redacted		
	Redacted); Darrah.Morgan@sce.com		
	(Darrah.Morgan@sce.com); Hayes, John (JHayes@semprautilities.com)			
Cc:	Garber, Stephen (Law) (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SLG0); Hughes, John (Reg Rel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J8HS); Redacted			
	Redacted);		
	werner.blumer@cpuc.ca.gov (werner.blumer@cpuc.ca.gov); lisa.ornelas@sce.com			
	(lisa.ornelas@sce.com); Loring.Fiske-phillips@sce.com (Loring.Fiske- phillips@sce.com)			
Bcc:				

Subject: RE: Rule 15 - Developers as Permanent Customers

Dara,

SDG&E considers a developer as an Applicant (but not necessarily permanent) from both the definition of Applicant in Rule 15 and the language in the Basis of Allowances Section (15.C.2).

Since Rule 15 defines an Applicant as a "person or agency requesting utility to supply electric service", we consider a developer as one who would qualify as a "person requesting electric service" and therefore qualifies as an applicant. Basically, developers request the utility to supply electric service for projects, which ultimately results in service to an applicant for permanent service.

Rule 15.C.2 addresses allowances, and specifically addresses an applicant for a subdivision or development. 15.C.2.b specifies conditions which provide evidence that permanent service will be established. As you noted, 15.C.2 grants allowances to both applicants for permanent service OR to an applicant for a subdivision or development. Because of this language in red (and the definition) we consider a developer also an applicant -- not necessarily the <u>permanent</u> applicant, but one acting on behalf

of the subdivision or development.

Thanks,

Megan Caulson

SDG&E Regulatory Tariffs

From: Redacted
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2010 12:16 PM
To:
Darrah.Morgan@sce.com; Caulson, Megan; Hayes, John; Redacted
Cc:
Hughes, John (Reg Rel); Redacted
Garber, Stephen (Law);
werner.blumer@cpuc.ca.gov; Lisa.Ornelas@sce.com;
Loring.Fiske-phillips@sce.com
Subject: RE: Rule 15 - Developers as
Permanent Customers

Darah,

An Applicant is defined in PG&E's Rule 15 as:

"APPLICANT: A person or agency requesting PG&E to supply electric service"

I would say that a developer is ultimately the agent of the (end-use) customer and therefore can be an Applicant.



From: Darrah.Morgan@sce.com [mailto:Darrah.Morgan@sce.com] Sent: Monday, June 21, 2010 3:14

PM.

To: Redacted MCaulson@semprautilities.com;

JHayes@semprautilities.com; Redacted

Cc: Hughes, John (Reg Rel);

Redacted Garber, Stephen (Law); werner.blumer@cpuc.ca.gov; Lisa.Ornelas@sce.com; Loring.Fiske-phillips@sce.com

Subject: Rule 15

- Developers as Permanent Customers

As you might recall, SCE filed

Advice 2453-E to begin considering and treating developers as a permanent Rule 15 Applicants, rather than treating the eventual end-use customer as the permanent applicant. We received a Data Request and exchanged several e-mails with Werner Blumer of the Energy Division as a result. Both SDG&E and PG&E were also kind enough to agree to file an advice letter and include "developer" in their respective Rule 15 Applicant definitions; however, I'm not sure that will be necessary.

I believe all our Rule 15.C.2. Basis of Allowances

provisions are the same; therefore, can you tell me why you consider a developer as the permanent Applicant or do you consider a developer as an Applicant (not necessarily permanent) by virtue of the tariff language below in red font?

2.

BASIS OF ALLOWANCES. Allowances shall be granted to an Applicant for Permanent Service, or to an

Applicant for a subdivision or development under the following conditions:

а

SCE is provided evidence that construction will proceed promptly and financing is adequate, and

b.

Applicant has submitted evidence of building permit(s) or fully-executed home purchase contract(s) or lease agreement(s), or c. Where there is

equivalent evidence of occupancy or electric usage satisfactory to SCE.

Dara Morgan SCE - Regulatory Policy & Affairs Pax 22086 / 626 302-2086 Fax 21626 / 626 302-1626 Darrah.Morgan@sce.com

Redacted	
	To:
04/09/2010 01:09 PM	"Blumer, Werner M."
	<werner.blumer@cpuc.ca.gov></werner.blumer@cpuc.ca.gov>
	cc:
	<darrah.morgan@sce_com> "Garber_Stephen (Law)"</darrah.morgan@sce_com>
	< <u>SLG0@page.com></u> , Redacted, Reda
	Redacted "Hughes, John (Reg Rel)"
	<j8hs@pge.com>, "Caulson, Megan"</j8hs@pge.com>
	<mcaulson@semprautilities.com></mcaulson@semprautilities.com>
	Subject:
	RE: DATA REQUEST: Compliance with R. 92-03-050 standardi of
	Rules 15 and 16 and SCE AL
	2453-E
	2400 2

Werner,

Along that same vein and for the sake of constitency, PG&E agrees to modify the definition sections of its Rule 15 and Rule 16 to conform with the "Applicant" language proposed by SCE. The new definition will read.

<u>Applicant:</u> A person, <u>developer</u>, or agency requesting utility to supply electric service

To further clarify this new Rule 15/16 definition of "Applicant", with respect to the definition of "Applicant" found elsewhere in PG&E's tariffs, it is likely that we will also file for changes to the definition of "Applicant" in our Rule 1. These changes will be along the lines of the more expansive definition of Applicant found in SCE's Electric Rule 1.

We expect to file these changes in the next couple of weeks.

Please call if you have any questions.

Redacted			
Regulatio	n and	t	
Rates			
Manager,	Gas	and	Electric
Redacted			

From: Caulson, Megan [mailto:MCaulson@semprautilities.com] Sent: Friday, April 09, 2010 12:41 PM To: 'Blumer, Werner M.' Cc: Redacted

'Darrah.Morgan@sce.com' **Subject:** FW: DATA REQUEST: Compliance with R. 92-03-050 standardization of Rules 15 and 16 and SCE AL 2453-E

Werner,

Hope

you've been doing well ...

Per your note

below, SDG&E has reviewed it's definition of "Applicant" in both Electric Rules 15 & 16 and is in agreement with the recommendation from the ED to clarify our current understanding/processes by adding the word "developer" to the current definition of Applicant.

I'll get an

Advice Letter drafted to modify SDG&E's Electric Rule 15 -- Distribution Line Extensions (Section J - Definitions) & Electric Rule 16 -- Service Extensions (Section H - Definitions) so that they will read:

<u>Applicant:</u> A person, <u>developer</u>, or agency requesting utility to supply electric service

Please

let me know if anything changes or we need to do anything further.

Thanks, Megan Caulson SDG&E Rates, Regulations & Tariffs

From: Blumer, Werner M. <werner.blumer@cpuc.ca.gov> To: Hughes, John (Reg Rel) <J8HS@pge.com>; Redacted Cc: Darrah.Morgan@sce.com <Darrah.Morgan@sce.com>; Schumacher, Brian D. <brian.schumacher@cpuc.ca.gov> Sent: Mon Apr 05 11:04:49 2010 Subject: DATA REQUEST: Compliance with R. 92-03-050 standardization of Rules 15 and 16 and SCE AL 2453-E Dear Mr.. Hughes and Redacted

Subject SCE AL requests inclusion of "developer" in the "Applicant" definition reflecting SCE's changed treatment of those with regards to Line and Service extensions in a manner already practice with PG&E and SDG&E since 1992. Evaluation of this proposal

revealed however that PG&E's and SDG&E's tariff does not define "developer" specifically as Applicant. For the sake of clarity and compliance with R. 92-03-050 for tariff consistency we suggest that PG&E and SDG&E consider amending their tariffs accordingly and request your plan on this issue.

Thank you very much for your response by April 12, 2010.

Sincerely,

Werner Blumer CPUC - Energy Division