PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY General Rate Case 2011 Phase I Application 09-12-020 Data Response

| PG&E Data Request No.: | DRA_260-01 | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| PG&E File Name: | GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_DRA_260-Q01 | | |
| Request Date: | May 4, 2010 | Requester DR No.: | DRA-260-MRK |
| Date Sent: | June 4, 2010 | Requesting Party: | DRA |
| PG&E Witness: | Bruce Fraser | Requester: | Marek Kanter |

EXHIBIT REFERENCE: PG&E-2, CHAPTER 12, WORKPAPERS

SUBJECT: SAP ACCOUNT 5091100

QUESTION 1

DRA-246-MRK requested that PG&E provide a spreadsheet of the itemized 2008 expenditures for the meals (\$15,744,375) listed in WP12-399 supporting Chapter 12. DRA-256-MRK requested that PG&E provide a spreadsheet of the itemized 2008 expenditures and extra items of information (such as the number of attendees) for meals over \$1000 in expense contributing to the (\$15,744,375) listed in WP12-399. PG&E's response to DRA-256-MRK contained spreadsheets which exclude all meals with a bill exceeding \$75 per person, as PG&E has elected not to seek rate recovery of such expenses in the 2011 GRC. DRA again asked for the complete information for all meals with expense over \$1000. DRA now requests that PG&E includes one extra item of information for the itemized 2008 expenditures for meals over \$1000 in expense contributing to the (\$15,744,375). This extra item should indicate for each meal expense whether it is reflective of the expenses included in PG&E's 2011 GRC forecast, or whether it is booked to below-the-line orders, or whether it is recovered through the Transmission Owner, Gas Transmission and Storage, and Nuclear Decommissioning Triennial Cost Proceeding filings or whether it is recovered through advice letters and other mechanisms incorporating balancing accounts. This extra item should have a numeric code as well as a verbal description. This item should specify the cost recovery of meals in a way that does not allow for overlap. That is, the same numeric code should not be assigned to meals that have different cost recovery. PG&E should use its judgment in how many categories it deems as reasonable to specify cost recovery in this context.

ANSWER 1 SUPP01

Please see attachment GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_DRA_260-Q01_Supp01_Atch01.xls. It has been supplemented to include Excel formulas to show the calculation of three results (\$130,277, \$9,832, \$71,634) shown in GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_DRA_263-Q01Atch01.xls.

GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_DRA_260-Q01

GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_DRA_263-Q01Atch01.xls has been included in Attachment GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_DRA_260-Q01_Supp01_Atch01.xls with links to the calculations of the three results (\$130,277, \$9,832, \$71,634).