

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
General Rate Case 2011 Phase I
Application 09-12-020
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	DRA_271-03		
PG&E File Name:	GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_DRA_271-Q03		
Request Date:	June 10, 2010	Requester DR No.:	DRA-271-TXB
Date Sent:	June 17, 2010	Requesting Party:	DRA
PG&E Witness:	Stephanie Maggard	Requester:	Truman Burns

EXHIBIT REFERENCE: PG&E-18, VOLUME 5

SUBJECT: ENERGY SUPPLY

QUESTION 3

Referring to p. 47-35, Table 47-9, regarding Chalk Mountain, previously the installed capacity had been described by PG&E as 2.2 MW, but Table 47-9 shows 2.1 MW. Please explain this difference.

ANSWER 3

The 2.2 MW, which was included in the testimony, reflected the best information available at that time. The installed capacity was updated based on the Phase 2 feasibility study that was completed in late December 2009.