PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY General Rate Case 2011 Phase I Application 09-12-020 Data Response

| PG&E Data Request No.: | DRA_271-05 | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| PG&E File Name: | GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_DRA_271-Q05 | | |
| Request Date: | June 10, 2010 | Requester DR No.: | DRA-271-TXB |
| Date Sent: | June 17, 2010 | Requesting Party: | DRA |
| PG&E Witness: | Stephanie Maggard | Requester: | Truman Burns |

EXHIBIT REFERENCE: PG&E-18, VOLUME 5

SUBJECT: ENERGY SUPPLY

QUESTION 5

Referring to p. 47-35, Table 47-9, regarding McCloud Dam, previously the installed capacity had been described by PG&E as 5.6 to 7.5 MW, but Table 47-9 shows 5 to 8 MW. Please explain this difference.

ANSWER 5

The 5.6 to 7.5 MW was based on the Phase 2 – Preliminary Feasibility Report completed in July 2007, provided in GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_DRA_068-Q10-Atch10-CONF.pdf. The rebuttal Table 47-9 was updated to include the data filed with FERC in mid 2009. The FERC application can be accessed at the internet site http://www.mccloud-pitrelicensing.com/ under "License Application", "Final License Application", Volume 1, Exhibit A, section A.3.1, page A-14.