

From: Wheeler, Michael
Sent: 7/13/2010 9:51:59 AM
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)
Cc: Campbell, Andrew (andrew.campbell@cpuc.ca.gov); Cho, Theresa (theresa.cho@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: PG&E at the Wednesday All Party

Trina,

I am available this morning to speak with you. In lieu of a conversation, I can try to clarify our request. These are a series of complex applications with all-or-nothing bundled contracts, "if-then" ordering paragraphs referencing other PDs, applications for greater than the total need determination - forcing keen awareness of the outcome of other PDs, a larger backdrop of economic decline, possible frustration with CA LTRFO process, desire for early retirement of OTC plants, etc. - all of which weaves together a tapestry which must be considered in sum when discussing PG&E's applications.

My hope is that PG&E can present this lay of the land. If this is not where PG&E would like to take its comments, we may need to seek another party or entity to provide that framework.

Thanks

Michael Wheeler

Advisor to Commissioner Dian M.
Grueneich

California Public Utilities
Commission

From: Horner, Trina [mailto:TNHc@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2010 7:32 AM
To: Wheeler,
Michael
Cc: Campbell, Andrew; Cho, Theresa
Subject: Re:
PG&E at the Wednesday All Party

Hi Michael,
Thanks for reaching out
with this clarification. I believe that PG&E's remarks will give a sense of
the different and roles these projects are intended to fill, at least that is
the goal. We want to make sure we are responsive to your questions though. Is
there something specific you have in mind by "interconnectedness"?

I am
out of the office this week but will try to call you later this morning.

Trina

From: Wheeler, Michael
<michael.wheeler@cpuc.ca.gov>
To: Horner, Trina
Cc:
Campbell, Andrew <andrew.campbell@cpuc.ca.gov>; Cho, Theresa
<theresa.cho@cpuc.ca.gov>
Sent: Mon Jul 12 17:27:11
2010
Subject: PG&E at the Wednesday All Party

Hi
Trina,

Sorry for the late
contact- I've been hoping to get in touch with you all day. I wanted to
communicate to you that PG&E was being relied upon to deliver a summary of
the interconnectedness of the three Applications being addressed at the
Wednesday All-Party meeting. This responsibility was one of the reasons
that we gave PG&E three times as much speaking time as anyone else.

It is my hope that
PG&E, as the applicant, had already planned to provide this summary of the
landscape to Comrs. Grueneich and Ryan, and that this request does not detract
from the pre-planned remarks.

Please feel free to
contact me to discuss.

Many
thanks,

Michael Wheeler

Advisor to Commissioner Dian M.
Grueneich

California Public Utilities
Commission

(415) 703-5147