

From: Tisdale, Matthew  
Sent: 7/7/2010 11:32:51 AM  
To: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: PG&E Gas AMI Status

We understand. The funny thing: NARUC thinks they're exciting enough for a panel!

**From:** Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 07, 2010 11:32 AM  
**To:** Tisdale, Matthew  
**Subject:** Re: PG&E Gas AMI Status

Matthew --

Yes, I am the right guy. I'll get back to you with answers, but I'll warn you, gas meters are not as exciting as electric, to say the least.

yours,

sid

-----  
Do I seem terse? Blame the thumb keyboard.

**From:** Tisdale, Matthew <matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov>  
**To:** Dietz, Sidney  
**Sent:** Wed Jul 07 11:26:00 2010  
**Subject:** PG&E Gas AMI Status

Hi Sid.

In late July Commissioner Grueneich will be on a NARUC panel that is covering gas AMI. She would like to be able to provide the latest on PG&E's gas AMI experience.

Are you the right Reg person? If not, could you pass the message the appropriate PG&E staff?

We'd like to know how many gas smart meters have been deployed so far, how the new meters have affected the customers experience, and what benefits the utility is getting from the meters. We'll be providing comparable updates for SDG&E and SCG.

Thanks.

Matthew

