From: Besa, Athena

Sent: 8/5/2010 9:33:13 PM

- To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); Clinton, Jeanne (jeanne.clinton@cpuc.ca.gov); Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G. (zenaida.tapawan-conway@cpuc.ca.gov); Arambula, Don - Edison (Don.Arambula@sce.com); 'Baker, Simon' (simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov)
- Cc: Villegas, Pedro (PVillegas@semprautilities.com); Gaines, Mark (MGaines@SempraUtilities.com)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: ED/IOU Call - July 13 Notes

Jeanne and Simon,

I will be on vacation next week for our monthly call so

I wanted to respond to item no. 4 below regarding the Commissioner Eggert/Douglass advocacy. No one on our staff has had discussions with either Commissioner Eggert or Commissioner Douglass regarding the attribution issue.

Regards,

Athena

From: Baker, Simon [mailto:simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2010 4:18 PM To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R; Clinton, Jeanne; Arambula, Don - Edison; Besa, Athena; Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G. Subject: RE: ED/IOU Call -July 13 Notes

Shilpa,

Great summary.

One edit to item #2: "IOUs request that IOUs and Energy Division get together for further discussion and consideration after ED reviews the data request response." We hear the IOU request, but ED has yet to decide a course of action.

Best,

Simon Eilif Baker

Supervisor, Energy Efficiency Planning

Climate Strategies Branch

California Public Utilities Commission

- Energy Division

seb@cpuc.ca.gov

415-703-5649

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Ramaiya, Shilpa R [mailto:SRRd@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2010 3:02 PM
To: Clinton, Jeanne; Baker, Simon; Don.Arambula@sce.com; Besa, Athena; Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G.
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R
Subject: ED/IOU Call - July 13 Notes

Folks,

Attached are the notes and follow-up items from today's call. Let me know if you have any corrections.

Thanks.

July 13, 2010 ED/IOU Conference Call

1. Energy audit tool

- PG&E will be presenting its audit tool to ED staff on Friday, July 16.

- The other IOUs have seen PG&E's presentation and will be consistent on the business requirements, though may select a different vendor.

2. Program Performance Metrics

 IOUs and Energy Division will be getting together after Energy Division reviews the data response for further discussion and consideration.

- Background was provided on the metrics process in the 1990s. Don voiced concerns around the metrics in place during that time. He said interpretation of metrics (especially after-the-fact) was very time-intensive and ended up being subjective in some cases. Don and Athena provided names of folks that Jeanne/Simon could contact for further institutional knowledge.

3. Natalie's replacement

- Acting branch manager is Ken Lewis.

- Replacement will likely arrive in the Fall.

4. ARRA attribution issues related to whole-house retrofit program, appliance rebates, etc.

Simon described the interagency process of CPUC/CEC regarding attribution, and requested that the IOUs provide feedback to CPUC first (if there is any).

- Don described that the issue of ARRA attribution was brought up during the proceeding for the 2010-12 portfolio decision.

- Each of the IOUs will check with their staff regarding contacting the CEC, and get back to Energy Division if there are attribution issues that need to be resolved. There was some discussion about the interpretation of the decision and whether there is or is not an issue.

- At the next joint meeting, the IOUs will provide

their findings regarding the Commissioner Eggert/Douglass advocacy and Energy Division will present their interpretation of the ARRA evaluation and how they will evaluate any ARRA-related projects.

5. Status of PFM of D.09-09-047 and need to address residential retrofit savings targets

Simon said that Energy Division needs to address the 20% whole house target. He suggests that the change be included in the PFM that the IOUs are already working on.

Don will circulate the Table of Contents to the PFM for further discussion. The target filing date is July 30.
Whole House: IOUs expressed concern over coordination in Energy Division between technical (Peter/Jeff) and planning (Cathy/Marlin) staff. Simon expressed concern over the lack of a consistent IOU format for the re-submitted Whole House workpapers. Athena stated that part of the lack of "same look and feel" may result from the questions that we were asked of the IOUs.

6. Reporting

Jeorge led a 2-day reporting meeting, and stated that reporting issues were being brought to the ED management of the planning and EM&V staff.

- Simon stated that Anne is the lead for the planning team on reporting requirements.

The IOUs request a more collaborative process for developing reporting requirements, and that a collaborative process occur before formal reporting requirements are released by Energy Division.

- Simon will touch base with Zeny on next steps and feedback for the reporting.