

From: Lai, Peter  
Sent: 8/12/2010 8:32:32 AM  
To: [Redacted]  
Cc: ABesa@SempraUtilities.com (ABesa@SempraUtilities.com);  
steve.galanter@sce.com (steve.galanter@sce.com); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]; Steven.Long@sce.com (Steven.Long@sce.com);  
Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd);  
LSidibe@semprautilities.com (LSidibe@semprautilities.com)

Bcc:

Subject: Re: Question on non-DEER measures

Morning Grant,

For non-DEER HIMs, please see below highlighted in yellow.

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 12, 2010 7:21 AM  
**To:** Lai, Peter  
**Cc:** Steve.Galanter@sce.com; Steven.Long@sce.com; [Redacted]  
abesa@semprautilities.com; LSidibe@semprautilities.com; Ramaiya, Shilpa R  
**Subject:** Re: Question on non-DEER measures

Good morning Peter

Yes, my question was for all the nonDEER, nonHIM workpapers but I am interested in Mark's question.  
Does the same approach apply for HIM workpapers?

Thank you  
Grant

**From:** Lai, Peter <peter.lai@cpuc.ca.gov>  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** Steve.Galanter@sce.com <Steve.Galanter@sce.com>; Steven.Long@sce.com  
<Steven.Long@sce.com>; [Redacted] [Redacted]  
abesa@semprautilities.com <abesa@semprautilities.com>; LSidibe@semprautilities.com  
<LSidibe@semprautilities.com>; Ramaiya, Shilpa R  
**Sent:** Wed Aug 11 14:18:37 2010  
**Subject:** RE: Question on non-DEER measures

Grant/Mark:

Grant, you are speaking to non-DEER non-HIM workpapers, and Mark I believe you are speaking in general. So I need to clarify.

For non-DEER non-HIM workpapers, climate zone adjustments are acceptable, however, those adjustments should be based upon reliable methods such as those used in approved HIM workpapers. These do not have to be submitted under Phase 2 as a new measure. However, those non-DEER non-HIM workpaper may be subject to review if the measure or measure group becomes a HIM or appears during the cycle to likely reach HIM level by the end of the cycle.

On the other hand, if it is a HIM that has gone through the review under Phase 1 and was accepted or accepted with recommendation by ED, then the CZ adjustment must be the same adjustment methodology used in the accepted WP. If it is a new adjustment methodology, then it needs to be submitted for review under Phase 2.

In either case, the utility must inform ED what the measure/measure group is, what is the projected number of installations, and what is the climate zone adjustment methodology. For example, if tables with adjustment values are submitted, the back-up calculations and derivations that were used to develop those numbers must also be submitted. It is not acceptable to simply supply a table with a bunch of numbers without complete documentation as to the methodology utilized to produce the extrapolation to additional climate zone.

Thanks,

Peter

**From:** [Redacted]

**Sent:** Thursday, August 05, 2010 11:01 AM

**To:** Lai, Peter

**Cc:** Steve.Galanter@sce.com; Steven.Long@sce.com; [Redacted]

abesa@semprautilities.com; LSidibe@semprautilities.com; Ramaiya, Shilpa R  
**Subject:** Question on non-DEER measures

Hi Peter-

I have a question on non-DEER workpapers which would apply to all the IOUs.

If one or two of the IOUs have submitted a non-DEER, non-HIM workpaper (not reviewed by the ED) which has become frozen as part of the Phase one process and one of the other IOUs wants to offer the exact same measure (still not a HIM), can we use the frozen workpaper (adjusting for climate zone if necessary)? Or do we have to submit the workpaper through the full Phase two review process?

Thanks

Redacted  
Manager, Technical Product Support  
Pacific Gas & Electric Company  
P.O. Box 770000, mailcode N6G  
San Francisco CA 94177

Redacted