From: Como, Joe

Sent: 8/17/2010 10:42:53 AM

To: mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com (mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com); Redacted

Redacted Mike Florio

(mflorio@turn.org); Gray, Jeffrey (JeffreyGray@dwt.com)

Cc: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);

Middlekauff, Charles (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CRMd)

Bcc:

Subject: Re: FW: A.08-09-007: CARE's Motion to file reply comments under seal and

CARE's Public Version of Reply Comments

sounds like the right approach. Thanks Alice.

Joe Como

Chief Counsel, DRA

415-703-2381 voice

415-703-2905 facsimile

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION:

This e-mail message is for the sole use of the designated recipient(s) and may contain legally confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, distribution or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Redacted

Sent: Monday, August 16, 2010 3:32 PM

To: Mike Florio;

Gray, Jeffrey; Como, Joe; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com

Cc: Middlekauff,

Charles (Law); Horner, Trina

Subject: RE: FW: A.08-09-007:CARE's

Motion to file reply comments under seal and CARE's Public Version of Reply

Comments

Just got a voice message from Carol Brown, Chief of Staff for Assigned Cmmr. Peevey. The Commission intends to accept CARE's comments for filing but will, in her words, "give them no weight" - accordingly, she indicated that parties should not file responses.

I believe she stated that a ruling will be issued on this shortly.

Redacted

From: Mike Florio [mailto:mflorio@turn.org] **Sent:** Monday, August 16, 2010 12:25 PM

To: Redacted

(Law); Gray, Jeffrey; joc@cpuc.ca.gov; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com

Cc:

Middlekauff, Charles (Law); Horner, Trina

Subject: Re: FW:

A.08-09-007: CARE's Motion to file reply comments under seal and CARE's Public

Version of Reply Comments

My first response was mild outrage and the urge to file a motion to strike. But then I thought: why call more attention to what is otherwise an ineffective and untimely submission? Sometimes just leaving things like this alone allows them to die a quiet death, rather than becoming a focus of PUC

attention. I can go either way depending on what others think, but I do believe that both approaches are worth considering. THANKS, $\,$ Mike

At 11:49 AM 8/16/2010, Redacted wrote:

I have a call in to ALJ Darling on this - procedurally, CARE's comments

```
do not
   constitute a permissible reply as they neither address nor
 even mention our
   opening comments - I'll keep everyone posted
Redacted
   From: Michael Boyd [
 mailto:michaelboyd@sbcqlobal.net]
 Sent: Friday, August 13, 2010
  4:50 PM
 To: martinhomec@gmail.com; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com;
  kpp@cpuc.ca.gov; mflorio@turn.org; ieffreygray@dwt.com; Reid, Alice L (Law);
  iewellhargleroad@mac.com; rob@redwoodrob.com; mrw@mrwassoc.com;
  sue.mara@rtoadvisors.com; liddell@energyattornev.com;
  CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com; WKeilani@SempraUtilities.com;
  hayley@turn.org; Lucha, Ed; Zimmermann, Mark; Horner, Trina;
  will.mitchell@cpv.com; bcragg@goodinmacbride.com;
 Diane.Fellman@nrgenergy.com;
  cem@newsdata.com; Middlekauff, Charles (Law); RegRelCPUCCases;
  kerry.hattevik@nrgenergy.com; vacationpombo@aol.com; Sean.Beatty@mirant.com;
  dmarcus2@sbcglobal.net; erasmussen@co.marin.ca.us; wem@igc.org;
  sarveybob@aol.com; LauckhartR@bv.com; dcarroll@downeybrand.com;
  iluckhardt@downeybrand.com; kdw@woodruff-expert-services.com;
  abb@eslawfirm.com; glw@eslawfirm.com; jdh@eslawfirm.com;
```

ska@cpuc.ca.gov **Subject:** A.08-09-007:CARE's Motion to file reply comments under seal and CARE's Public Version of Reply Comments

mjd@cpuc.ca.gov; md2@cpuc.ca.gov; unc@cpuc.ca.gov;

A.08-09-007:CARE's Motion to file reply comments under seal and CARE's Public Version of Reply Comments

californiadockets@pacificorp.com; dws@r-c-s-inc.com; CCE@cpuc.ca.gov; MWT@cpuc.ca.gov; mjh@cpuc.ca.gov; dbp@cpuc.ca.gov; joc@cpuc.ca.gov;