

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 8/18/2010 5:14:00 PM
To: mrw@mrwassoc.com (mrw@mrwassoc.com); julien.dumoulin-smith@ubs.com (julien.dumoulin-smith@ubs.com); jdibble@calpine.com (jdibble@calpine.com); Yim@ZimmerLucas.com (Yim@ZimmerLucas.com); william.tomlinson@elpaso.com (william.tomlinson@elpaso.com); bday@sparkenergy.com (bday@sparkenergy.com); eva_neufeld@transcanada.com (eva_neufeld@transcanada.com); francesca.ciliberti@elpaso.com (francesca.ciliberti@elpaso.com); doug.vanbrunt@credit-suisse.com (doug.vanbrunt@credit-suisse.com); kziobler@sparkenergy.com (kziobler@sparkenergy.com); Stock, William (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=WCS3): [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted] filings@a-klaw.com (filings@a-klaw.com); klatt@energyattorney.com (klatt@energyattorney.com); ek@a-klaw.com (ek@a-klaw.com); marcie.milner@shell.com (marcie.milner@shell.com); tkaushik@manatt.com (tkaushik@manatt.com); tsolomon@winston.com (tsolomon@winston.com); michael.alexander@sce.com (michael.alexander@sce.com); ray.welch@navigantconsulting.com (ray.welch@navigantconsulting.com); JLSalazar@SempraUtilities.com (JLSalazar@SempraUtilities.com); peteresposito@earthlink.net (peteresposito@earthlink.net); jarmstrong@gmssr.com (jarmstrong@gmssr.com)
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: FW: E-Mail Ruling Granting Motion to Amend the Procedural Schedule in A.09-09-013

From: Wong, John S.

Sent: Wednesday, August 18, 2010 5:13 PM

To: 'keith.mccrea@sutherland.com'; 'joe.paul@dynegy.com'; 'trish.french@kernrivergas.com'; 'JPong@SempraUtilities.com'; 'npedersen@hanmor.com'; 'jleslie@luce.com'; 'map@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'mflorio@turn.org'; 'sls@a-klaw.com'; 'bcragg@goodinmacbride.com'; 'mday@goodinmacbride.com'; 'dhuard@manatt.com'; 'jkarp@winston.com'; 'kck5@pge.com'; 'service@spurr.org'; 'Sean.Beatty@mirant.com'; 'tomb@crossborderenergy.com'; 'bmcc@mccarthyLaw.com'; 'dcarroll@downeybrand.com'; 'glw@eslawfirm.com'; 'schon@smud.org'; 'atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com'; 'pinney@capp.ca'; 'MNelson@MccarthyLaw.com'; 'rothenergy@sbcglobal.net'

Subject: E-Mail Ruling Granting Motion to Amend the Procedural Schedule in A.09-09-013

To the Service List in A.09-09-013:

On August 13, 2010, SoCalGas and SDG&E filed a motion to amend the procedural schedule in PG&E's gas transmission and storage rate case (A.09-09-013). Shortly after receiving the motion, I issued an e-mail ruling on August 13, 2010 granting the request in the motion to shorten the time for parties to respond to the motion. The responses to the motion were due by 5:00 pm today.

I have not been served with any responses to the motion.

Under the current procedural schedule, the DRA and intervenor testimony is to be served on August 20, 2010, and evidentiary hearings are to begin on Sept. 20, 2010. However, it is anticipated that a settlement of the issues, and a motion to adopt the settlement will be filed in the coming days. SoCalGas and SDG&E, who are not parties to the settlement, may contest some parts of the settlement.

In order to give all the parties sufficient time to prepare and file the motion to adopt the settlement, to review the settlement, and to allow parties to prepare their testimony, today's e-mail ruling grants the motion of SoCalGas and SDG&E to amend the procedural schedule. Since the motion to adopt the settlement has not yet been filed, the alternative schedule that SoCalGas and SDG&E proposed in the motion will be adopted, and this adopted schedule will replace the current procedural schedule (as described at p. 2 of the motion). Thus, the DRA and intervenor testimony for this proceeding is to be served on September 8, 2010, and the concurrent rebuttal testimony is to be served on September 30, 2010. (The testimony to be served on September 8, 2010 should set forth the party's pre-settlement position and the party's agreement or disagreement with the settlement, assuming the motion to adopt the settlement is filed before then. The testimony will also serve as the basis for the Commission to determine if the settlement is in the public interest.) In anticipation that a settlement will be filed and that parts of the settlement may be contested, and in accordance with Rule 12.4(a), an evidentiary hearing will be scheduled to begin at the CPUC's hearing room on Monday, Oct. 25, 2010 at 10 am, and continue through October 27, 2010 as needed.

A written ruling confirming this e-mail ruling will be issued sometime next week.

John S. Wong

ALJ

CPUC

415 703-3130