

From: Wong, John S.

Sent: 8/27/2010 1:19:15 PM

atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com (atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com);
pinney@capp.ca (pinney@capp.ca); mrw@mrwassoc.com (mrw@mrwassoc.com);
To: rothenergy@sbcglobal.net (rothenergy@sbcglobal.net); julien.dumoulin-
smith@ubs.com (julien.dumoulin-smith@ubs.com); jdibble@calpine.com
(jdibble@calpine.com); Yim@ZimmerLucas.com (Yim@ZimmerLucas.com);
eva_neufeld@transcanada.com (eva_neufeld@transcanada.com);
doug.vanbrunt@credit-suisse.com (doug.vanbrunt@credit-suisse.com);
mnelson@mccarthy.com (mnelson@mccarthy.com); Stock, William
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=WCS3); Redacted
Redacted
Redacted filings@a-
klaw.com (filings@a-klaw.com); klatt@energyattorney.com
(klatt@energyattorney.com); ek@a-klaw.com (ek@a-klaw.com);
marcie.milner@shell.com (marcie.milner@shell.com); michael.alexander@sce.com
(michael.alexander@sce.com); ray.welch@navigantconsulting.com
(ray.welch@navigantconsulting.com); JLSalazar@SempraUtilities.com
(JLSalazar@SempraUtilities.com); peteresposito@earthlink.net
(peteresposito@earthlink.net); william.tomlinson@el Paso.com
(william.tomlinson@el Paso.com); bday@sparkenergy.com
(bday@sparkenergy.com); jarmstrong@gmsr.com (jarmstrong@gmsr.com); Reda
Redacted

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: FW: E-mail ruling on shortened time in PG&E's August 27, 2010 Motion To Amend
Procedural Schedule

To the Service List in A.09-09-013:

Earlier this afternoon PG&E submitted a motion to amend the procedural schedule that was set forth in the August 25, 2010 ALJ "Ruling Regarding the Process to Address the August 20, 2010 Motion for Approval of Gas Accord V Settlement Agreement." PG&E's motion seeks to change the date for when the DRA and Intervenor testimony is due (currently Sept. 8, 2010) to coincide with the September 20, 2010 filing date for any comments contesting all or part of the Gas Accord V Settlement Agreement. The motion also requests that the date for submitting concurrent rebuttal testimony, and reply to comments contesting all of part of the settlement, be changed to October 11, 2010. The evidentiary hearing dates, if needed, would remain unchanged.

PG&E's motion also requests that the time to respond to PG&E's August 27, 2010 motion be shortened so that any response to PG&E's motion be filed and served by August 30, 2010.

This e-mail ruling grants PG&E's request to shorten the time for parties to respond to PG&E's Motion to Amend Procedural Schedule. Any party who wants to respond to PG&E's August 27, 2010 motion to amend the procedural schedule in this proceeding shall serve and file its response by 5:00 pm August 30, 2010. I then plan to issue an e-mail ruling on PG&E's motion shortly after that.

John S. Wong

ALJ

CPUC

415 703-3130