

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

_____))
Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company)
(U 39 G) Proposing Cost of Service and Rates for)
Gas Transmission and Storage Services for Period)
2011-2014.)
_____)

A.09-09-013
(Filed September 18, 2009)

**RESPONSE OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY (U 904 G) AND
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902 M) TO THE MOTION OF
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY TO AMEND PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE**

JOHNNY J. PONG

Attorney for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
555 West Fifth Street, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, California 90013
Telephone: (213) 244-2990
Facsimile: (213) 629-9620
E-mail: jpong@semprautilities.com

August 30, 2010

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

_____))
Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company)
(U 39 G) Proposing Cost of Service and Rates for)
Gas Transmission and Storage Services for Period)
2011-2014.)
_____)

A.09-09-013
(Filed September 18, 2009)

**RESPONSE OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY (U 904 G) AND
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902 M) TO THE MOTION OF
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY TO AMEND PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE**

Pursuant to Rule 11.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Southern California Gas Company ("SCG") and San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("SDG&E") (jointly, "SCG/SDG&E") hereby respond to the August 27, 2010 motion to amend the procedural schedule filed by Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E"). PG&E's motion was filed in response to the August 25, 2010 *Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Regarding the Process to Address the August 20, 2010 Motion for Approval of Gas Accord V Settlement Agreement* ("August 25 Ruling"). Specifically, PG&E seeks to clarify the August 25 Ruling so that the rebuttal period set forth by the Commission's August 18, 2010 ruling on SCG/SDG&E's own motion to amend the procedural schedule (filed August 13, 2010) would not be shortened to 10 days as a result of the process set by the August 25 Ruling.

SCG/SDG&E do not oppose PG&E's proposed amended schedule. However, SCG/SDG&E would also like to seek clarification of the August 25 Ruling through this response. The August 25 Ruling states on page 1: "[t]he Gas Accord V Settlement Agreement, which was attached to the joint motion, proposes to settle all of the issues in this proceeding except for two

issues. The two issues that have not been settled pertain to issues that are of concern to [SDG&E] and [SoCalGas].” SCG/SDG&E seek clarification that the August 25 Ruling does not pre-determine that there are only two contested issues in this proceeding. SCG/SDG&E previously identified no less than four additional issues of concern in their Prehearing Conference Statement, which the Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) received at the prehearing conference held on December 2, 2009. At this juncture, SCG/SDG&E have identified, and plan to address, more than two issues in their testimony. SCG/SDG&E may address specific provision or provisions contained in the Gas Accord V settlement agreement itself. SCG/SDG&E may also address issues which are not contained in the Gas Accord V settlement agreement but are nonetheless within the scope of this proceeding. In addition, in SCG/SDG&E’s August 13, 2010 motion to amend the procedural schedule, which the ALJ approved (on August 18, 2010), SCG/SDG&E stated that issues may arise in the course of reviewing and performing discovery on the Gas Accord V settlement that will be addressed in testimony. SCG/SDG&E are still in the process of performing discovery on the settlement.

In short, SCG/SDG&E respectfully seek clarification that the August 25 Ruling does not preclude or limit SCG/SDG&E from addressing in testimony the issues in this proceeding that may impact them and their ratepayers, and from conducting hearings on those issues, whether those hearings pertain to the adoption of the Gas Accord V settlement, contested issues outside that settlement, or a combination of both.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the foregoing **RESPONSE OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY (U 904 G) AND SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902 M) TO THE MOTION OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY TO AMEND PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE** by electronic mail to all parties to this proceeding and by Federal Express to Commissioner Simon and Administrative Law Judge Wong.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this 30th day of August 2010.

/s/ Rose Mary Nava

Rose Mary Nava

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION **Service Lists - Proceeding: A.09-09-013 - Last changed: August 27, 2010**

keith.mccrea@sutherland.com; joe.paul@dynegey.com; kzibler@sparkenergy.com; francesca.ciliberti@elpaso.com; trish.french@kernrivergas.com; JPong@SempraUtilities.com; npedersen@hanmor.com; jleslie@luce.com; map@cpuc.ca.gov; mflorio@turn.org; sls@a-klaw.com; bcragg@goodinmacbride.com; mday@goodinmacbride.com; dhuard@manatt.com; jkarp@winston.com; kck5@pge.com; ken@in-houseenergy.com; service@spurr.org; Sean.Beatty@mirant.com; JerryL@abag.ca.gov; tomb@crossborderenergy.com; bmcc@mccarthylaw.com; dcarroll@downeybrand.com; glw@eslawfirm.com; scohn@smud.org; atowbridge@daycartermurphy.com; pinney@capp.ca; MNelson@MccarthyLaw.com; rothenergy@sbcglobal.net; mrw@mrwassoc.com; julien.dumoulin-smith@ubs.com; Yim@ZimmerLucas.com; jheckler@levincap.com; doug.vanbrunt@credit-suisse.com; jdibble@calpine.com; eva_neufeld@transcanada.com; bday@sparkenergy.com; william.tomlinson@elpaso.com; peteresposito@earthlink.net; JLSalazar@SempraUtilities.com; michael.alexander@sce.com; klatt@energyattorney.com; marcie.milner@shell.com; ek@a-klaw.com; CDO1@pge.com; filings@a-klaw.com; ray.welch@navigantconsulting.com; RAG5@pge.com; WMLb@pge.com; WCS3@pge.com; jarmstrong@gmsr.com; tkaushik@manatt.com; tsolomon@winston.com; lcottle@winston.com; cem@newsdata.com; kmmj@pge.com; MDP5@pge.com; RegRelCPUCCases@pge.com; RegRelCPUCCases@pge.com; KJBh@pge.com; Grant.kolling@CityofPaloAlto.org; Grant.Kolling@cityofpaloalto.org; karla.Dailey@CityofPaloAlto.org; beth@beth411.com; kowalewska@calpine.com; ceyap@earthlink.net; bill@jbsenergy.com; bsb@eslawfirm.com; jdh@eslawfirm.com; smoorma@smud.org; rnevis@daycartermurphy.com; Julie.Morris@iberdrolausa.com; jason.dubchak@niskags.com; adf@cpuc.ca.gov; cpe@cpuc.ca.gov; jnm@cpuc.ca.gov; jsw@cpuc.ca.gov; kms@cpuc.ca.gov; kcl@cpuc.ca.gov; pzs@cpuc.ca.gov; rxr@cpuc.ca.gov; ram@cpuc.ca.gov; tmr@cpuc.ca.gov; tas@cpuc.ca.gov; rmnava@semprautilities.com; centralfiles@semprautilities.com;

AUDRA HARTMANN
DYNEGY
4140 DUBLIN BLVD., STE. 100
DUBLIN, CA 94568