

From: Simon, Sean A.
Sent: 8/6/2010 2:36:58 PM
To: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=ME Ae)
Cc: Douglas, Paul (paul.douglas@cpuc.ca.gov); Miram, Richard (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=RAMk)
Bcc:
Subject: Re: CONFIDENTIAL: RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST RE GENESIS PPA

Meredith,

You're right about CUE vs. CURE.

Regards,
Sean

Sean A. Simon | Energy Division - Analyst | CA Public Utilities Commission | Tel (415) 703-3791

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables>

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and it may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone call at the number listed above.

From: Allen, Meredith [mailto:ME Ae@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, August 06, 2010 1:25 PM
To: Simon, Sean A.
Cc: Miram, Richard; Douglas, Paul
Subject: RE: CONFIDENTIAL: RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST RE GENESIS PPA

Sean,

We will work with NextEra on making the changes to the spreadsheet.

My understanding is that CUE submitted the comments with TURN on the draft resolution, while CURE had submitted testimony in the CEC proceeding on the Genesis project. That is the reason that we

reference CUE in our data response.

Thanks,
Meredith

From: Simon, Sean A. [mailto:sean.simon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 06, 2010 1:15 PM
To: Allen, Meredith
Cc: Miram, Richard; Douglas, Paul
Subject: RE: CONFIDENTIAL: RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST RE GENESIS PPA

Meredith,

I will look at PG&E's response and supporting data today and on Monday. In the meantime, I would like PG&E to reformat the spreadsheet, or include a legend, so that PG&E's analysis is more clearly identified within CURE's spreadsheet. For example, it is not entirely clear what changes PG&E made to CURE's spreadsheet, is it data that is highlighted green and/or yellow? Also, the document "Response to CUE final" confusingly references data in the spreadsheet by Tabs that don't exist, e.g., "corrected Tab 1." I would also note that "CUE" should be "CURE" in all cases throughout PG&E's data response.

Regards,
Sean

Sean A. Simon | Energy Division - Analyst | CA Public Utilities Commission | Tel (415) 703-3791

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables>

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and it may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone call at the number listed above.

From: Allen, Meredith [mailto:MEAe@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, August 06, 2010 12:13 PM
To: Simon, Sean A.
Cc: Miram, Richard
Subject: CONFIDENTIAL: RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST RE GENESIS PPA

CONFIDENTIAL

Sean,

Attached is the confidential response to the data request. We are available to discuss, as is the IE.

Thanks,
Meredith