

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 8/31/2010 8:52:39 AM
To: 'Peter Lai' (PPL@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); [Redacted]
[Redacted]

Bcc:

Subject: RE: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section

Hello Peter,

To follow up on my email from a couple of weeks ago, will the ED be able to review the cost portion of the TV workpaper? I would appreciate any guidance you could provide.

Best Regards,
[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2010 11:36 AM
To: 'Peter Lai'
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section

Peter,

I wanted to follow up with you to see if you had received my message below that was sent on August 13. Will the ED be able to review this portion of the revised PG&E TV workpaper?

Thank you,
[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, August 13, 2010 3:27 PM
To: 'Peter Lai'
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section

Peter,

The PG&E Energy Efficient TV workpaper was revised per ED recommendations and resubmitted to basecamp in mid-June. The cost section of the workpaper was also revised independent of ED recommendations, and this was noted when the workpaper was posted in June.

We would appreciate if this new cost section could be reviewed. The workpaper is attached, and the cost section can be found in Section 4 on page 26. Please note that this version of the workpaper contains a few corrections to the one that was submitted to base camp in mid-June (referenced above); the corrections were to fix errors in tables and the therm interactive effect calculations.

Thank you and please contact me with questions.

<< File: TV PGECOAPP104 R3_v4.doc >>

Regards,

Redacted

Redacted

Program Engineer

Pacific Gas & Electric Company

IDSMS Products / Product Lifecycle Team

Technical Product Support

Redacted