From: Redacted

Sent: 8/16/2010 3:31:43 PM

To: mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com (mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com);

joc@cpuc.ca.gov (joc@cpuc.ca.gov); Mike Florio (mflorio@turn.org); Gray, Jeffrey

(JeffreyGray@dwt.com)

Cc: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);

Middlekauff, Charles (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CRMd)

Bcc:

Subject: Re: FW: A.08-09-007:CARE's Motion to file reply comments under seal and CARE's Public Version of Reply Comments

Just got a voice message from Carol Brown, Chief of Staff for Assigned Cmmr. Peevey. The Commission intends to accept CARE's comments for filing but will, in her words, "give them no weight" - accordingly, she indicated that parties should not file responses.

I believe she stated that a ruling will be issued on this shortly.

Alice

From: Mike Florio [mailto:mflorio@turn.org] **Sent:** Monday, August 16, 2010 12:25 PM

To: Redacted Gray, Jeffrey; joc@cpuc.ca.gov; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com

Cc: Middlekauff, Charles (Law); Horner, Trina

Subject: Re: FW: A.08-09-007:CARE's Motion to file reply comments under seal and CARE's Public

Version of Reply Comments

My first response was mild outrage and the urge to file a motion to strike. But then I thought: why call more attention to what is otherwise an ineffective and untimely submission? Sometimes just leaving things like this alone allows them to die a quiet death, rather than becoming a focus of PUC attention. I can go either way depending on what others think, but I do believe that both approaches are worth considering. THANKS, Mike


At 11:49 AM 8/16/2010	Redacted	wrote:

I have a call in to ALJ Darling on this - procedurally, CARE's comments do not constitute a permissible reply as they neither address nor even mention our opening comments - I'll keep everyone posted

Alice

From: Michael Boyd [mailto: Redacted

Sent: Friday, August 13, 2010 4:50 PM



A.08-09-007: CARE's Motion to file reply comments under seal and CARE's

Public Version of Reply Comments