

From: Jenab, Reza
Sent: 8/20/2010 4:55:43 PM
To: 'Danforth, Christopher' (christopher.danforth@cpuc.ca.gov)
Meadows, James L (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J7M2);
Cc: Devereaux, William
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=WFD4); Gupta, Alope
(aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov); Kaneshiro, Bruce (bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov); Redacted
Redacted Nwamu, Chonda
(Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CJN3); Redacted
Redacted Dietz, Sidney
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); Redacted
Redacted Redacted
Redacted
stephen.p.lechner@us.pwc.com (stephen.p.lechner@us.pwc.com); Redacted
Redacted Ouborg, Peter
(Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=PXO2); Paull, Karen P.
(karen.paull@cpuc.ca.gov); Tan, Lee-Whei (lee-whei.tan@cpuc.ca.gov)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: DRA Follow-up Data Request to PG&E's Response to Smart Meter Data Request DRA-12/ED-XX (Bakersfield)

Thanks Chris. This is to confirm receipt per your request. I will be in touch next week regarding timing for the response if different from your request.

Reza
415 973 5058

From: Danforth, Christopher [mailto:christopher.danforth@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 20, 2010 4:51 PM
To: Jenab, Reza
Cc: Kaneshiro, Bruce; Gupta, Alope; Meadows, James L; Devereaux, William; Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Dietz, Sidney; stephen.p.lechner@us.pwc.com; Redacted
Redacted Ouborg, Peter (Law); Paull, Karen P.; Tan, Lee-Whei
Subject: DRA Follow-up Data Request to PG&E's Response to Smart Meter Data Request DRA-12/ED-XX (Bakersfield)

Reza, we have a followup to this data response. We didn't know the proper way to number it. Let me know you received it. Thanks.

From: Jenab, Reza [mailto:RxJs@PGE.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2010 10:25 PM
To: Danforth, Christopher
Cc: Kaneshiro, Bruce; Gupta, Alope; Meadows, James L; Devereaux, William; Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Dietz, Sidney; stephen.p.lechner@us.pwc.com; Redacted

Nakayama, Quinn J; Aquino, Rafael; Ouborg, Peter (Law)
Subject: RE: Smart Meter Data Request DRA-12/ED-XX (Bakersfield)

This message's attachments have been archived by the Barracuda Message Archiver.
SM_DRA_01 and SM_ED_01 Supplemental-01.zip (139.6K)

Chris,

Attached please find a zip file containing PG&E's supplemental response containing the second part of your data request associated with the moratorium (numbering sequence updated as before to SM-DRA_01/SM_ED_01). This file also contains eight attachments that include the detailed calculations and material referenced in the DR. As before, this response is being provided under CPUC Code Section 583 due to its confidential nature.

Thank you and please let me know if you have any questions
Reza
925-973-5058

From: Jenab, Reza
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 4:45 PM
To: 'Danforth, Christopher'
Cc: Meadows, James L; Devereaux, William; Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Dietz, Sidney; stephen.p.lechner@us.pwc.com; Redacted
Redacted
Subject: RE: Smart Meter Data Request DRA-12/ED-XX (Bakersfield)

Chris,

Per our previous discussions, please find PG&E's response to the first part of your data request associated with the moratorium question. The actual model and the assumptions are also provided for your further utilization. Please note that due to its confidential nature, this response has been provided under CPUC Code Section 583. Please also note that we have updated the numbering sequence per our previous discussions.

Please let me know if you have any further questions.

Thank you
Reza
925-973-5058

From: Jenab, Reza
Sent: Wednesday, June 02, 2010 11:11 AM
To: 'Danforth, Christopher'
Cc: Meadows, James L; Devereaux, William; Nwamu, Chonda (Law)
Subject: RE: Smart Meter Data Request DRA-12/ED-XX (Bakersfield)

Hi Chris,

On the first one, we are targeting obtaining final review comments this week and providing you the response by Wednesday June 9 (earlier if possible).

On the second part, the draft is being completed this week after initial reviews of all contracts and project documentation are complete and the data for the analysis has been compiled. We are targeting providing you the response by Wednesday June 23 (earlier if possible) after the reviews within business teams and legal teams are completed.

As we discussed on May 10, this has required a large analysis effort and has required the involvement of many subject matter experts and project/contract documentation. That is the reason why I had first asked for confirmation that you had indeed requested an up to date analysis rather than previous data. If there is any possibility to expedite the answers, we will.

Please let me know any further questions
Thanks
Reza
415 973-5058

From: Danforth, Christopher [<mailto:christopher.danforth@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 5:56 PM
To: Jenab, Reza
Subject: RE: Smart Meter Data Request DRA-12/ED-XX (Bakersfield)

Reza,

This morning you indicated that PG&E will be answering this data request in two parts:

1. An analysis of the lost benefits from a moratorium on the AMI deployment (will answer next week).
2. An analysis of the contract penalty and other costs from a moratorium (will answer 2 - 3 weeks from now).

My boss would like specific due dates for both parts. She is questioning me why the answers are taking so so. So anything you could do to expedite these responses would be appreciated.

Thanks,
Chris