From: Rubin, David

Sent: 8/16/2010 10:25:00 AM

To: 'Murtishaw, Scott' (scott.murtishaw@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc: Redacted Allen,

Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Proposed "Parcel" Tariff Language for VNEM

Scott: thanks for the quick input.

We'll be filing later today, and ed will let you know once we hit "send".

Thanks again.

David

From: Murtishaw, Scott [mailto:scott.murtishaw@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Monday, August 16, 2010 10:21 AM

To: Rubin, David

Cc: Redacted Allen, Meredith

Subject: RE: Proposed "Parcel" Tariff Language for VNEM

Hi

I enjoyed meeting you as well. We really do appreciate PG&E's efforts to resolve this issue quickly. The language you propose below is an excellent start. I would not be opposed to additional text on "common ownership," but I'm not sure it is necessary. It may be helpful to prevent any ambiguity about what constitutes a "single low income housing enterprise."

From: Rubin, David [mailto:DER1@PGE.COM] **Sent:** Sunday, August 15, 2010 11:58 AM

To: Murtishaw. Scott

Cc: Redacted Allen, Meredith

Subject: Proposed "Parcel" Tariff Language for VNEM

Scott: I enjoyed meeting you on Wednesday.

Per our discussion with you, Andy and President Peevey, we are preparing to make a filing tomorrow which would allow for production of solar energy from pv unit(s) located within a given MASH or MASH-eligible development to be deemed to be consumed by tenants and common area accounts within that development -- not just those behind a given service delivery point -- provided that they are interconnected/operational by 12.31.11.

One of the issues that we discussed was the current tariff language that requires that the accounts be located on a single "parcel". We are proposing to substitute "Eligible Low Income Development" in this regard, with the following definition:

• An "Eligible Low Income Development" is defined as all of the real property and apparatus employed in a single low income housing enterprise on contiguous parcels of land. These parcels may be divided by a dedicated street, highway or public thoroughfare or railway, so long as they are otherwise contiguous and part of the same single low income housing enterprise.

This language is still being refined, and I may also add the phrase: "under common ownership", but wanted to share it with you before we file. If you have any thoughts or concerns, please let me know as early on Monday as possible.

Thanks much,

Redacted