

From: Fogel, Cathleen A.
Sent: 8/27/2010 10:23:42 AM
To: Baker, Simon (simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov); don.arambula@sce.com (don.arambula@sce.com); Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); Baker, Simon (simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov); Clinton, Jeanne (jeanne.clinton@cpuc.ca.gov); Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G. (zenaida.tapawan-conway@cpuc.ca.gov); Athena Besa (ABesa@semprautilities.com)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: FW: Pending PFM of D.09-09-047 - Inclusion of proposed changes to whole-house retrofit targets

Don,

The IOUs/LA County presented some new proposed Performance incentive structure to me/ED for the first time during a meeting Aug. 19th (see attached). These proposed changes are of course quite different than the change to the prescriptive program target from 20% to 10%, as we have been discussing since May and you and Simon discussed below.

Soft launch (contractor trainings start) and Hard launch (rebates can be applied for/processed) for both the performance and the prescriptive whole house programs are scheduled now by all IOUs for September 1st and October 1st respectively. CPUC, Energy Commission and SEP recipients of course quite interested in NOT seeing either of these dates slip further; as you know the September 1st date was previously agreed to by IOUs for the hard launch.

Can you share current thinking on the IOU strategy to have Energy Upgrade Performance program rebate amounts locked down and ready to process by October 1st? Timing on a possible PFM just on this? Not sure when the next IOU-ED managers meeting is, but this may be an appropriate topic to discuss further as I think its fair to say that any slippage from the Oct. 1 hard launch would be problematic.

Thanks,

Cathy

From: Don.Arambula@sce.com
[mailto:Don.Arambula@sce.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2010 9:30 AM
To: Baker, Simon; Athena Besa; Shilpa R Ramaiya
Cc:
Clinton, Jeanne; Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G.; Fogel, Cathleen A.
Subject: Re: Pending PFM of D.09-09-047 - Inclusion of proposed changes to whole-house retrofit targets

Simon
It is included in the upcoming PFM. Yesterday, we received Cathy's request to file a separate PFM on Whole House so we can stay on track with the implementation of the program. We are working with our attorneys to make this happen.

Don Arambula
SCE
626.633.3146
Pax
43146

From: "Baker, Simon" [simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 08/24/2010 02:26 PM MST
To: Don Arambula;
<ABesa@semprautilities.com>; "Ramaiya, Shilpa R"
<SRRd@pge.com>
Cc: "Clinton, Jeanne"
<jeanne.clinton@cpuc.ca.gov>; "Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G."
<zenaida.tapawan-conway@cpuc.ca.gov>; "Fogel, Cathleen A."
<cathleen.fogel@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: Pending PFM of
D.09-09-047 - Inclusion of proposed changes to whole-house retrofit targets

Hi
All,

Just checking to make sure that changing the whole-house retrofit savings targets from 20% to 10% is still going to be included in the pending PFM, as we discussed in a previous ED/IOU EE mgmt call. We noticed after the fact from our last call that it was missing from the list of 7 issues you outlined.

Please confirm. Thanks!

Best,

Simon Eilif Baker

Supervisor, Energy Efficiency
Planning

Climate Strategies Branch

California Public Utilities Commission -
Energy Division

seb@cpuc.ca.gov

415-703-5649