

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 9/3/2010 2:48:44 PM
To: 'Peevey, Michael R.' (michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: SF Chamber Statement on CPUC Independent Evaluation of PG&E Smart Meters

Thanks for the feedback Mike. I will pass along your thoughts along on keeping the old meter if they can produce some documentation of ill effect. However, I do worry that this policy, no matter how discrete we try to make it, will surface and town councils and cities in our territory will ask for similar treatment. That said, we will take the matter up and get back to you with our feedback.

From: Peevey, Michael R. [mailto:michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, September 03, 2010 1:08 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: RE: SF Chamber Statement on CPUC Independent Evaluation of PG&E Smart Meters

The press coverage was very good and helps PG&E big time, overall, as well as other companies, etc. One thought for the company: If it were my decision I would let anyone who wants to keep their old meter keep it, if they claim they suffer from EMF and/or related electronic-related illnesses and they can produce a doctor's letter saying so (or expressing concern about the likelihood of suffering same). I would institute such a policy quietly and solely on an individual basis. There really are people who feel pain, etc., related to EMF, etc., and rather than have them becoming hysterical, etc., I would quietly leave them alone. Kick it around. And, it sounds like the company may already have taken this step, based on a couple of the comments at yesterday's public hearing.

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@PGE.COM]
Sent: Thu 9/2/2010 3:17 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.; Clanon, Paul; Brown, Carol A.
Subject: Fw: SF Chamber Statement on CPUC Independent Evaluation of PG&E Smart Meters

From the SFO C of C.

From: Kiyota, Travis
To: Pruet, Greg S.; Burt, Helen; Bottorff, Thomas E; Kiraly, Gregory; Cherry, Brian K; Devereaux, William; Bedwell, Ed; Lokey, Felecia K; Parnell, Renee; Hartman, Sanford (Law); Dietz, Sidney; Foley, Beth; DeRosa, Darleen; Gleicher, Cliff (Law); Loduca, Janet C.
Cc: Telliano, Steve <Steve.Telliano@edelman.com>
Sent: Thu Sep 02 15:14:16 2010
Subject: FW: SF Chamber Statement on CPUC Independent Evaluation of PG&E Smart Meters

Team: Below is a copy of the press statement just issued by the SF Chamber of Commerce in regards to the Structure report. If I missed anyone on this, please feel free to forward.

Thanks
Travis

From: Gwen Oldham
Sent: Thursday, September 02, 2010 2:28 PM
To: Gwen Oldham
Subject: SF Chamber Statement on CPUC Independent Evaluation of PG&E Smart Meters

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

September 2, 2010

MEDIA CONTACT:
Gwen Oldham, 415.352.8839

**SAN FRANCISCO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE STATEMENT ON CPUC
INDEPENDENT EVALUATION OF PG&E SMART METERS**

SAN FRANCISCO – The San Francisco Chamber of Commerce urges San Francisco City Attorney Dennis Herrera to reconsider the city’s petition to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) calling for a moratorium of PG&E’s Smart Meter technology in light of the independent evaluation released today finding that PG&E’s smart meter technology is “performing accurately” and “consistent with industry standards.”

The evaluation – conducted by The Structure Group and commissioned by CPUC – included nearly 900 meters in laboratory testing, field meter testing, and end-to-end system testing. The study found that all of the tested Smart Meters and systems were working accurately and that customer billing matched the expected results.

“Based on the findings of this report, we can now move forward with confidence to implement smart grid technology in California to the benefit of consumers, our economy and our environment,” said Chamber Senior Vice President of Public Policy Jim Lazarus. “If we want to use less power, speed adoption of renewable energy sources and move toward new technologies like electric vehicles, we need to embrace smart grid improvements like smart meters. Ultimately high wage-green jobs require a green grid – and smart meters are the foundation of a modern utility grid.”

The Structure Group evaluation demonstrates that there is no basis for a petition against PG&E over its smart meters. In fact, the petition will only slow the adoption of safe, green technology across California.

“The city attorney’s petition is pure hypocrisy,” Lazarus added. “The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) is implementing the same smart meter technology as PG&E. We hope the City Attorney reads this report and makes the correct decision to drop this unwise and expensive action against the same smart meter technology benefiting the SFPUC.”

More information on The Structure Group report is available at: www.cpuc.ca.gov. More information on the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce is available at www.sfchamber.com.

###

Founded in 1850, the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce is recognized as the pre-eminent business organization for advocacy, networking and economic growth. The Chamber delivers on its mission to attract, develop and retain business in San Francisco by representing companies and organizations that make San Francisco a preferred destination for businesses and visitors – a great place to live and work.