From: Cherry, Brian K

Sent: 9/15/2010 8:31:49 PM

To: 'paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov' (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc:

Subject: RE: Draft CPUC Response

Let's try 930 ? Sorry. Indisposed.

From: Clanon, Paul <paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov>

To: Cherry, Brian K

Sent: Wed Sep 15 19:52:26 2010 **Subject**: Re: Draft CPUC Response

Call me when you can. I'm available right now, then on the road, then available again around 9:30.

On Sep 15, 2010, at 5:03 PM, "Cherry, Brian K" < BKC7@PGE.COM > wrote:

Paul - FYI. Very confidential but I asked that we share with you. The only real issue we have is item 3. We think it will take until December to use traditional methods for Class 1 and 2. Let me know ASAP if this works for you. It is still in draft. I've asked folks to finalize it and send it to you before the resolution is issued - or wait - depending on your guidance. Can you let me know?

Also, Peter things TURNs behavior has bordered on the irresponsible and wants to know if the PUC is willing to say so. I told Tom that the optics were against that, but I'm asking the question anyway. Protocol, of course.

From: Bottorff, Thomas E

Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2010 4:56 PM

To: Cherry, Brian K

Subject: Draft CPUC Response

Brian,

Attached is our draft repsonse to the CPUC's questions.

Tom

<< Draft Response to CPUC Letter Dated 9-13-10 Updated 9-15-10.doc>>

<Draft Response to CPUC Letter Dated 9-13-10 Updated 9-15-10.doc>