SAN BRUNO APPROVED Q&A

Updated: 9/18/2010 - 10:00 a.m.

About the Pipeline in Question

1. Is 132 the pipeline that ruptured? Yes.

2. How long is the pipeline?

Line 132 is 51.5 miles long.

3. Where does (the line) go?

Line 132 begins in the City of Milpitas (Redacted) and
ends in San Francisco at Redacted	

4. Is it buried underground, above ground or in a tunnel?

The pipeline is buried underground.

5. Is a 30" steel line typical for gas transmission?

Yes. PG&E gas transmission pipelines range in diameter from 4" to 42"

6. What is the age of the ruptured pipe?

The section of transmission Line 132 where the incident occurred was installed in 1956.

7. How old is the pipeline itself, was it all installed in 1956?

Yes.

8. When did the pipe last have maintenance performed?

A corrosion check was performed in November 2009. A routine inspection was also performed in March 2010.

9. Is it typical to have such a large gas pipeline going through a residential neighborhood?

It is not unusual for homes to be built in an area subsequent to a pipeline installation. In this case the pipeline was installed in 1956.

10. Is it possible for a SmartMeter to cause an explosion in a gas transmission pipeline, such as occurred in San Bruno?

PG&E is participating in the NTSB's investigation. Under the rules and procedures of the NTSB we are not permitted to comment upon the investigation or any issues at all regarding causation. However, it was reported on 9/16/2010 that the NTSB does not believe SmartMeters contributed to the San Bruno incident

2011 Gas Transmission & Storage Rate Case Document

11. We have a document that says you deemed this segment of the pipeline to be at an unacceptably high risk. Why didn't you fix it immediately?

The document includes a request for funding for a project to replace a segment of pipeline on Line 132. This is not the segment that ruptured in the San Bruno incident. The segment identified in this filing was checked for leaks on September 10 and no leaks were found. The funding process through rate cases is separate from the daily operations and maintenance of our system. We take action on a daily basis, evaluating equipment and facilities and repairing or replacing equipment as needed. PG&E takes a proactive approach toward the maintenance of its gas lines and its filings are forward-looking projections. We constantly monitor our system and if at any time we identify a threat to public safety we act to repair it immediately.

12. Is this the line that exploded?

Line 132 ruptured in San Bruno. However, the segment of the line identified in the filing is not the segment that ruptured.

13. Where is the segment located?

It is located several miles north of the San Bruno incident in South San Francisco.

14. Has it been checked again?

The segment was checked for leaks on September 10 and no leaks were found.

15. Your filing says "the risk of failure at this location is unacceptably high." If that's true, why are you waiting until 2012 to replace it?

The unacceptably high risk referred to not replacing it in 2012 in accordance with our projection.

Auto-Shut Off Valve

16. Do we have emergency equipment that allows automatic shutdown of pipes? For example, check valves, overpressure relief valves, etc.?

PG&E has hundreds of automatic over pressure protection control valves that protect pipelines from exceeding their maximum operating pressure. PG&E also has some lines with rupture control valves for specific needs and the 24 hour control center has the ability to shut down some pipeline systems via remote control.

17. Was there an automatic shut off valve near the site of the incident?

There is no automatic shut off valve near the site of the recent San Bruno incident.

18. Was there an automatic shutoff on this segment?

No.

19. Should there have been an automatic shut off valve in a highly populated area?

Under NTSB regulations, its ongoing investigation precludes the company from discussing specific details related to this matter at this time.

20. Do we have any plans of going automatic detection on our lines? Is that even possible?

The PG&E gas system is monitored by our Gas Control Center on a 24 hour, 7 day a week basis to detect and respond to abnormal operating conditions. PG&E is examining the use of different kinds of technologies on its pipelines

21. How many valves are there on the company's transmission lines and on Line 132?

PG&E has twenty mainline shut-off valves on Line 132. [NOTE: Working to determine a precise count of the number of mainline shut-off valves in the entire system]

22. How far apart are they typically spaced?

The spacing of shut-off valves on transmission pipelines varies according to population density. In accordance with federal regulations, PG&E has shut-off valves no more than twenty miles apart in rural areas on transmission lines, and has shut-off valves no more than five miles apart in densely populated urban areas. In general PG&E has more shut off valves than required by federal regulations.

23. How many are manual and how many are automatic on line 132?

On Line 132 there are twenty manual valves. PG&E has remotely operated valves in the terminal stations that feed Line 132.

24. What determines whether a valve is manual or automatic?

It depends upon operational needs. If operational needs require immediate shut down of a pipeline at a specific location, a remotely controlled valve would be installed.

25. Is it expensive and or difficult to replace a manual valve with an automatic one? Yes.

26. Is the company replacing manual valves with automatic ones?

PG&E does not have a plan to replace all manual valves. Manual valves are a perfectly acceptable approach throughout the industry and under federal regulations. PG&E monitors these valves 24 hours per day, seven days a week. PG&E does replace manual valves with remotely controlled valves when appropriate for operational purposes.

27. If so, can you provide any information on the status of that process? Not applicable.

28. Where are the valves located that were turned off on Thursday night?

Under NTSB regulations, its ongoing investigation precludes the company from discussing specific details related to this matter at this time.

29. How is a valve turned off? What is the process?

The process is different for each type of valve: remotely controlled, automatic, manual.

- Remotely controlled valves: these are mainline valves operated by remote control from our 24-hour manned Gas Control Center
- Automatic valves: these are mainline valves with control programs triggered to operate via a specified change in pipeline conditions and do not require remote control or personnel on site
- Manual valves: these are valves hand-operated by wheel and gear assembly or by wrench with an indicator to show whether it is open or closed

30. San Bruno Fire says workers also had to turn off distribution line valves. How many needed to be turned off? How long did that take?

Under NTSB regulations, its ongoing investigation precludes the company from discussing specific details related to this matter at this time.

31. Are we retrofitting older pipes for shut off valves for transmission lines?

PG&E does not currently have a program to retrofit older pipes for shut-off valves. Rather, PG&E's program employs a system of corrosion protection, frequent leak surveys, regular inspections and integrity assessments to monitor pipe conditions and prioritize pipeline maintenance and replacements to prevent service disruptions. PG&E is evaluating its existing system design and will report the results of that evaluation back to the CPUC as directed in their September 13, 2010 letter.

Pipeline Replacement Program

32. Describe the pipeline replacement program.

PG&E does have a pipeline replacement program which considers many factors including type of pipe material, age of pipe, maintenance history and threats such as seismic and landslide to prioritize which sections to replace so as to mitigate those risks.

Impact of Incident

33. How many gas customers lost service as a result of this incident? Approximately 300.

General Safety

34. Can this kind of accident happen again?

We will be working with local, state and federal agencies to determine the cause of the event and taking appropriate actions based on the findings of those investigations.

35. What are "suspect leak trends"?

Suspect leak trends is an internal phrase we used to describe our research methodology. It is not related to actual leaks being suspect. We looked at historical averages for each division and if there were changing trends, we focused our assessment there first. Ultimately, we looked at the whole system.

36. Why aren't you providing more details about your gas system and safety practices?

Now that NTSB's investigation has begun, we cannot be as responsive as we would like about questions relating to our natural gas system. Under federal law, all information concerning this accident obtained by anyone participating in the investigation must be reviewed and approved by the NTSB before being provided to any outside individual. Unless and until we obtain clearance from the NTSB we will need to restrict our discussion of anything related to the accident. We will keep you informed of our progress in restoring service to customers and helping the community recover from this terrible accident.

37. Isn't that area one where there are typically landslides and other natural earth movement, and was that taken into account when the pipeline was first sited there? PG&E's gas transmission and distribution system was engineered and designed considering soil conditions and potential earth movements. PG&E has examined seismic activity in the area and concluded the pipeline segment involved in the accident has not been impacted.

38. Are there any seismic concerns or issues with this particular location? Any faults, slippage, landslide concerns? Has PG&E done geological studies and risk assessments of this area for pipelines?

PG&E's geosciences department continuously studies our service territory for seismic activity. We have no information to suggest there would be seismic concerns with the gas transmission piping in the incident area.

39. Is there a document available that explains our pipeline maintenance schedule?

There is no one document that explains all of the maintenance PG&E performs on our transmission and distribution pipelines, although federal and state regulations establish minimum maintenance tasks and schedules for pipeline operators. PG&E standards further specify maintenance tasks and schedules, establishing the framework for a comprehensive pipeline safety program.

- These codes specify design, construction, maintenance and operation requirements for natural gas pipelines such as:
 - PG&E provides immediate 24 hour response to gas odor calls
 - All gas pipelines are leak surveyed at regular intervals
 - PG&E conducts periodic patrols of our pipelines

- Pipeline assessments are conducted periodically on critical pipelines
- Pipelines are cathodically protected to prevent external corrosion
- PG&E strongly supports Underground Service Alert, #811, the one call system used to locate underground pipelines and facilities before excavation by others
- PG&E personnel stand by when known excavation is occurring in close proximity to pipelines
- PG&E's pipeline system is continuously monitored on a 24 hour basis
- Gas is odorized to allow easy leak detection by the public

40. Have you surveyed the transmission lines in San Bruno?

Two days after the incident in San Bruno, we began surveying the three transmission lines that feed the San Francisco Peninsula. As an added safety measure, we have also reduced pressure by 20 percent on these three lines. The leak surveys were completed on September 10.

41. What designates a "high risk" pipeline? What does PG&E need to do to address these pipelines?

It is unclear whether this is referring to a "high consequence area" or PG&E's internal work prioritization risk ranking.

High Consequence Areas (HCAs) are defined by federal regulation. HCAs are areas of higher population density with 20 or more dwellings, public gathering places or structures difficult to evacuate, such as nursing homes, hospitals, day cares, etc.). Being in a High Consequence Area does NOT mean that the transmission pipeline is less safe, or creates greater risk, or that there is a higher likelihood of failure. What it means is that more people are in close proximity to the line.

If this is a reference to PG&E's internal "Top 100" list, this is a list of gas transmission pipeline segments that have been identified for further work as part of PG&E's ongoing pipeline assessment process. This dynamic list is regularly updated as work is completed and new items are added. As part this risk analysis we take into account, (among things), population density and environmental impact. As with a HCA designation, being on the list for replacement does not mean the pipeline is unsafe or that there is a high likelihood of failure. The high risk term is applied if the project is not completed on the established schedule based on the information available at the time the assessment is completed.

42. What is the schedule of replacement for older pipes?

PG&E has a Gas Pipeline Replacement Program (GPRP) to replace distribution pipelines based not only on the age of the line but also on recognized risk factors such as pipe material, maintenance histories, and proximity to seismic and landslide areas. The program began in 1985. Since its inception through the end of 2009, PG&E has replaced approximately 2111 miles of pipeline system-wide, and PG&E has spent approximately \$1.5 billion. PG&E's transmission pipelines are now included in the transmission pipeline integrity management program, not the GPRP. PG&E's transmission pipeline replacement decisions are based on a variety of pipeline factors, including, among other things, pipe material and design, soil resistivity, pipe coating, pressure, potential for third-party damage, seismicity or the potential for ground movement, water crossings and number of customers served.

43. Can I see copies of safety inspection reports for the past 5 years on the following: PG's two parallel lines Nos. 34 running through the western edge of Bakersfield, the lines No. 10 and No. 6 running through the heart of Bakersfield?

PG&E makes copies of its extensive inspection, maintenance and operations records available to the California Public Utilities Commission and other governmental agencies but for security reasons does not publicly release copies of those records. However, PG&E provides an overview of its inspection, maintenance and operations practices in response to your question below.

44. Can I see reports on what portions of any of these lines have been replaced or upgraded from 1989 to present?

Since 2005, PG&E has completed eight transmission pipeline projects in the greater Bakersfield area to accommodate population growth in the area and is in the process of completing two additional projects. All pipelines within the PG&E system, including Kern County and the city of Bakersfield, are in compliance with Part 192 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Many currently exceed the minimum guidelines. PG&E's transmission pipelines are assessed as part of its transmission pipeline integrity management program and are leak surveyed on at least an annual basis.

45. If copies can't be obtained quickly, could you let me know verbally what safety inspections have been done and the results?

PG&E follows maintenance and operations practices required by Part 192 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) and California Public Utilities Commission General Order 112-E. In addition to these regulatory requirements, PG&E has its own operating and maintenance standards to ensure compliance with the regulations. PG&E routinely conducts leak surveys of all our natural gas transmission and distribution lines. In 2008, we accelerated the distribution leak survey program to complete it in three years instead of the usual maximum five.

PG&E's transmission pipeline replacement decisions are based on a variety of pipeline factors, including, among other things, pipe material and design, soil resistivity, pipe coating, pressure, potential for third-party damage, seismicity or the potential for ground movement, water crossings and number of customers served.

There are three federally approved methods to complete a transmission pipeline integrity management baseline assessment: In-Line Inspections, Pressure Testing and External Corrosion Direct Assessment (ECDA).

In-line inspection involves a tool (commonly known as a "pig") inserted into the pipeline, which identifies areas of concern such as potential metal loss (corrosion) or geometric abnormalities in the pipeline. Excavations are performed in areas of concern as required by federal regulations.

External Corrosion Direct Assessment is a four step process:

- Preassessment: provides guidance for selection of the pipeline segment and which indirect methods to be used.
- Indirect Examination: indirect above-ground electrical surveys are performed to detect coating defects and the level of cathodic protection.
- Direct Examination: Based on the indirect examination, points of potential interest are excavated to expose the pipe surface for metal loss measurements, and estimated corrosion growth rates.
- Post Assessment and Continuing Evaluation: sets re-inspection intervals, provides a validation check, and provides performance measures

Pressure testing involves filling the pipeline with a test medium (i.e. water, gas, air) and testing to a certain pressure for specified duration.

46. Have any portions of those lines have been replaced in the last 20 years as the community has grown past those lines?

Since 2005, PG&E has completed eight transmission pipeline projects in the greater Bakersfield area to accommodate population growth in the area and is in the process of completing two additional projects. All pipelines within the PG&E system, including Kern County and the city of Bakersfield, are in compliance with Part 192 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Many currently exceed the minimum guidelines. PG&E's transmission pipelines are assessed as part of its transmission pipeline integrity management program and are leak surveyed on at least an annual basis.

47. What is the recommended easement for natural gas lines and how is that easement applied?

For its gas transmission pipelines, PG&E will typically seek a 50 foot wide right-of-way easement, but has historically placed transmission lines in rights-of-way as wide as 100 feet and as narrow as 30 feet. PG&E attempts to place the gas line in the centerline of the right-of-way, but may need to deviate from the centerline due to construction conditions and topographical features.

Top 100

48. Will PG&E be releasing the top 100 lists to the CPUC as requested in a letter on Friday?

We are cooperating with all regulatory requests. We are trying to get clarification from the Commission on what they would like to see.

Gas Transmission Surveys/Inspections

49. Have we conducted a baseline assessment of this pipeline as required by the 2002 Pipeline Safety Improvement Act? - Within 10 years of Act or five years for "risky" lines?

Yes. The first pipeline integrity assessment was conduced in March 2005. Another assessment was done in October 2009.

50. Has the pipeline been inspected under the IMP Program?

Yes. See answer above.

51. When was the pipe last leak-surveyed?

The section of transmission Line 132 was surveyed for leaks in March 2010. The distribution network in the area was surveyed for leaks in June 2008.

52. How often is that pipe leak-surveyed?

The section of the transmission line where the incident occurred is surveyed for leaks at least every 15 months. The distribution network where the incident occurred is surveyed for leaks every five years.

53. Does PG&E usually conduct leak surveys of its natural gas transmission system?

PG&E routinely conducts leak surveys of all our natural gas transmission and distribution lines. In 2008, we accelerated the distribution program to complete it in three years instead of the usual maximum five.

54. What are the surveys designed to do?

The surveys are designed to confirm the integrity of our 6,438 miles of transmissionand 42,142 miles of distribution lines, are scheduled to be complete in December. In 2009 alone, we completed 1.9 million on-location service line inspections as part of the company's initiative to survey our entire gas distribution network on an accelerated basis.

55. Have you surveyed the transmission lines in San Bruno?

Two days after the incident in San Bruno, we began surveying the three transmission lines that feed the San Francisco Peninsula. As an added safety measure, we have also reduced pressure by 20 percent on these three lines. The leak surveys were completed on September 10.

56. PG&E has talked about accelerating gas line inspections, getting it done in 3 years instead of 5, for distribution. How does transmission fit in here?

All gas pipelines are leak surveyed at regular intervals, at least as often as dictated by regulations. Generally, the PHMSA regulations require that distribution lines in rural and suburban areas should be surveyed once every five years, in business districts at least once a calendar year, and transmission lines surveyed annually or semi-annually.

57. Do we have additional background on how we conduct our external corrosion pipeline inspection program, such as explaining the "poking ground" method?

External Corrosion Direct Assessment (ECDA) is a four step process:

- 1. Preassessment: provides guidance for selection of the pipeline segment and which indirect methods to be used.
- 2. Indirect Examination: indirect aboveground electrical surveys are performed to detect coating defects and the level of cathodic protection.
- 3. Direct Examination: Based on the indirect examination, points of potential interest are excavated to expose the pipe surface for metal loss measurements, and estimated corrosion growth rates.
- 4. Post Assessment and Continuing Evaluation: sets re-inspection intervals, provides a validation check, and provides performance measures.

One of the tools used for indirect examination that provides an indication of the condition of the protective coating on a pipeline is called direct current voltage gradient (DCVG). This is the method described as "poking the ground."

58. Referring to the 2011 GRC PowerPoint titled, PG&E's Gas Leak Survey Program – what is the relevance to San Bruno?

The Accelerated Leak Survey effort referred to in the PowerPoint was a comprehensive re-survey of all PG&E's gas distribution facilities previously surveyed in 2006 and 2007. The Accelerated Leak Survey did not include transmission facilities (such as this pipeline), since transmission pipelines such as the one in San Bruno is leak surveyed at least once a year.

Among the benefits of the leak survey program was that PG&E improved its survey process and enhanced its training for leak surveyors. On page 6, it states that on the Peninsula, four of four sampled lots did not meet the criteria in the leak survey.

PG&E sampled its territory to see if there was a significant difference between the historical results and the results using the new survey process. Four of the four Peninsula lots did not meet the criteria, which is one of the reasons PG&E decided to resurvey the entire system. PG&E has already completed the Accelerated Leak Surveys in the Peninsula Division, and, as noted above, the transmission pipelines are surveyed at least once a year.

59. Had the Peninsula portion of the gas distribution system been fixed before the pipeline rupture?

The Peninsula portion of the gas distribution system was not and is not in need of a "fix." Any Grade 1 leaks found during the Accelerated Leak Survey were promptly completed.

60. What is the difference between a direct assessment versus in-line inspection? There are three federally approved methods to complete a transmission pipeline integrity management baseline assessment: In-Line Inspections, Pressure Testing and External Corrosion Direct Assessment (ECDA).

- <u>In-line inspection</u> involves a tool (commonly known as a "pig") inserted into the pipeline, which identifies areas of concern such as potential metal loss (corrosion) or geometric abnormalities (dents) in the pipeline. Excavations are performed in areas of concern as required by federal regulations.
- <u>External Corrosion Direct</u> Assessment is a four step process:
 - *Preassessment*: provides guidance for selection of the pipeline segment and which indirect methods to be used.
 - *Indirect Examination*: indirect aboveground electrical surveys are performed to detect coating defects and the level of cathodic protection.
 - *Direct Examination*: Based on the indirect examination, points of potential interest are excavated to expose the pipe surface for metal loss measurements, and estimated corrosion growth rates.
 - *Post Assessment and Continuing Evaluation*: sets re-inspection intervals, provides a validation check, and provides performance measures
- <u>Pressure testing</u> involves filling the pipeline with a test medium (i.e. water, gas, air) and testing to a certain pressure for specified duration.

61. What is the age of the transmission lines in Fresno, Kings County and Madera County?

PG&E's transmission pipelines in the three county area have been installed from 1931 to 2009, with the majority installed in the 1950s and 1960s.

62. When were (the lines) last inspected? What was found?

PG&E inspects its transmission pipelines semi-annually or annually for leaks, quarterly for general inspection patrols and every seven years for an integrity inspection if warranted per Integrity Management program rules. No unusual or adverse conditions have been found on the transmission pipelines in those counties.

63. Were (the lines) slated for replacement or OK?

The pipelines are not scheduled for replacement.

64. How much maintenance work has PG&E done on the lines in the three counties in recent years?

PG&E has performed all required pipeline maintenance on the pipelines, as outlined above.

65. Are any transmission pipelines currently scheduled for replacement in 2010 or 2011?

PG&E's transmission pipelines in the three counties are not scheduled for replacement in 2010 or 2011 at this time.

66. Have there been any CPUC reportable incidents on these transmission pipelines since 2005?

There have been no reportable incidents on PG&E's transmission pipelines in the three county area since 2005.

67. Are there any transmission pipelines in Tulare County?

No, there are no PG&E transmission pipelines in Tulare County.

Pigging

68. PG&E did not use an internal pigging device on the San Bruno line. When Geisha Williams indicated that PG&E did not use an internal pigging device did she mean the San Bruno section only, or the entire line? The entire pipeline.

69. How many miles of PG&E lines are pigged?

PG&E pigs approximately 25% of its transmission pipelines that require an integrity management assessment under the federal regulations, 49 CFR 192, Subpart O.

70. How important is pigging as a means of detecting potential problems? Pigging or In-Line Inspections are one of three of the three federally approved methods within 49 CFR Part 192, Subpart O to complete an Integrity Management assessment. The other two federally approved methods are External Corrosion Direct Assessment (ECDA) and pressure testing.

Reports/Claims/Accusations

71. Customers in the area have reported that they smelled gas and called PG&E to report it. Are there any records of customers reporting gas in the area?

We take seriously all reports of gas odor or gas leaks and work to resolve these quickly – the most serious within one hour and all within the same day of receiving a call.

We have found no record of anyone reporting smelling gas in the affected San Bruno neighborhood from September 1 and September 9. We reached that conclusion after a thorough review of all calls received by our four contact centers.

We are now reviewing all calls in the affected area from July 1 - Sept 9, and we're 95 percent complete with that research. We have so far found two gas leak calls: July 23 and July 27; they were adjoining properties; a small leak was found at the meter (distribution system) of one home, which was repaired. Statistically, we've reviewed 3.1 million calls.

72. A customer whose house was destroyed claims he saw PG&E checking for gas leaks in the area days before the incident. Is this true?

In examining our records from September 1 to September 9, we have thus far found no record of PG&E performing gas leak surveys in the affected area.

73. TURN claims that PG&E ignored customer complaints about gas leaks in San Bruno. What's your response?

It does everyone a disservice to speculate before the investigation is complete. The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is on scene and has jurisdiction over the investigation. We are cooperating fully with NTSB and other agencies to identify the cause of this accident. Until then, we will not engage in speculation.

74. Regarding a statement made in the Sacramento Bee: According to PG&E's filings with the CPUC, an internal audit in 2007 of its residential distribution lines in Sonoma County found major problems in how it reported gas leaks.

In 2007, PG&E identified an incident in which one leak surveyor in one division had falsified records. PG&E took swift disciplinary action that included termination of that employee as well as management-level employees who shared accountability.

Upon discovery, PG&E immediately developed a plan for corrective action including a complete resurvey of the entire division. Further, to ensure that falsification of records was not a systemic issue; PG&E evaluated its gas leak survey activities across the system.

PG&E did not find any additional evidence of falsification.

At the same time, while performing this evaluation, PG&E found opportunities to improve consistency, tools, processes and training in survey techniques. As part of the quality improvement process, PG&E introduced an enhanced, uniform, leak-grading criteria. These enhancements led us to significantly improve the consistency of our leak detection methods. PG&E also compressed five years of routine activity into less than three; this was an unprecedented effort. We brought in additional resources, identified leaks, and repaired leaks.

PG&E also wants to emphasize that there were no accidents or safety issues related to the surveys that were falsified.

75. There are reports that you have segments of pipe that are sewn together rather than solid pieces. Is that a common practice?

PG&E, and the industry, does not "sew together" pipes; pipes are welded, not sewn. The "sewing together" of pipes is not a term used at PG&E. This term may refer to the longitudinal seam which is a common characteristic of the manufacturing process for many pipes, since many pipes originate from plate steel which is rolled and then welded to form cylindrical pipe.

76. What is PG&E's response to the Class Action Lawsuit that has been filed (seeking immediate release of the \$100 million dollar fund)? We are committed to our customers in San Bruno and will continue to be there to help rebuild the city. As part of

that process we have created the "Rebuild San Bruno Fund," in which PG&E pledged up to \$100 million for the residents and city of San Bruno to help recover from the tragic accident. We are fully complying with the NTSB's investigation because we want to get the community the answers it deserves.

If asked again about the lawsuit as a follow-up:

We are committed to our customers in San Bruno and will continue to be there to help rebuild the city, and we are currently reviewing those documents.

Falsified Gas Leak Survey

77. We have documents from TURN that say your employees falsified gas leak survey records.

In the last several years, PG&E has spent well over \$100 million to improve its gas system. In 2007, PG&E identified an incident in which one leak surveyor in one of PG&E's 18 divisions had falsified records. PG&E took swift disciplinary action that included termination of that employee as well as well as management-level employees who shared accountability. Upon discovery, PG&E immediately developed a plan for corrective action including a complete resurvey of the involved division. Further, to ensure that falsification of records was not a systemic issue; PG&E evaluated its gas leak survey activities across the system. PG&E did not find any evidence of falsification. At the same time, while performing this evaluation, PG&E found opportunities to improve consistency, tools, processes and training in survey techniques. As part of the quality improvement process, PG&E introduced an enhanced, uniform, leak-grading criteria. These enhancements led us to significantly improve the consistency of our leak detection methods.

78. How many employees were involved?

This was an isolated event involving one of several hundred employees who do this type of work. It was in no way reflective of the integrity of the vast majority of our people.

79. Were there any accidents or safety issues related to the surveys that were falsified?

No.

80. Why didn't PG&E provide this information to the public?

There was no safety threat to the public. We provided our regulator, the CPUC, this information as we discovered the deficiencies and designed and implemented the improvements.

81. Where did this occur?

It was an isolated incident in one division.

82. Will PG&E comply with the CPUC request?

PG&E is working to comply with the actions directed by the CPUC.

83. What is your response to the CPUC's Sept 13 letter directing PG&E to take action on multiple items relating to its gas system?

We have just received the letter from the CPUC, and are presently reviewing their recommendations.

84. Last September, PG&E filed a document with the CPUC for the natural gas rate case, requesting money to install separators to get water out of gas lines. A significant amount of water was getting into pipelines that connect to Milpitas, including 100, 101, 109, and 132, causing corrosion and safety problems. What kind of problems were created by this situation?

PG&E was finding liquids in filters at distribution regulator stations served from Lines 101, 109 and 132 from Milpitas Terminal. The liquids issues were localized in De Anza Division (Cupertino, Los Gatos, Mountain View, Sunnyvale etc.). The liquids were mostly compressor oil rather than water. The presence of liquids can cause corrosion and potentially damage equipment. [Note: We had collapsed filters and had an over pressure situation with a distribution system in De Anza due to liquids fouling pilot regulators.]

85. The work was scheduled to be done by November 2009. Was it ever completed?

Yes, two large filter-separators were installed at Milpitas Terminal in November 2009 and post installation testing shows that liquids are not showing up in regulator station filters in De Anza Division.

86. Since that document said PG&E was concerned about corrosion from the liquids, do you know if those lines were checked for corrosion after the separators were installed, and if so, did you find corrosion?

PG&E installed the separators on those lines to mitigate operational issues being caused by liquids clogging certain filters, not corrosion issues. PG&E has continued to perform all routine surveys on those lines.

87. How long had liquid been getting in?

As stated previously, PG&E found the presence of some liquids in filters at distribution regulator stations served from Lines 101, 109 and 132 from Milpitas Terminal localized in De Anza Division (Cupertino, Los Gatos, Mountain View, Sunnyvale etc.). Small amounts of liquids have been found in those lines for approximately 10 years, but only recently did the levels of those liquids cause the operational issues that led to PG&E's decision to install separators.

88. What were the "at least four over-pressure incidents at regulator stations feeding DFMS," as cited in our 2011 GAS TRANSMISSION AND STORAGE RATE CASE? Where did they take place (which of the 4 lines)?

The DFMs mentioned are within PG&E's De Anza and San Jose Divisions, and feed gas at transmission pressure to the cities of Milpitas, San Jose, Santa Clara, Los Gatos, Campbell, Saratoga, and Cupertino.

89. What is the significance of "over-pressure incidents" (what problems do they/could they cause)?

An over-pressure incident is when the pressure in a pipeline inadvertently rises above the Maximum Operating Pressure (MOP) of the pipeline system. The consequence of such an incident depends on how much the pipeline pressure exceeds the MOP. The great majority of the incidents do not cause any upset in the routine operation of the systems as the systems are capable of handling pressures well above the MOP (due to a design safety factor required by Federal safety regulations).

90. Is this something we deal with all the time, or is this unusual?

An over-pressure incident is an unusual event. PG&E takes over-pressuring of pipelines very seriously by following-up on the event to determine root cause and taking remedial action as warranted by the particular incident.

San Bruno Community Outreach

91. I heard PG&E is donating money to San Bruno residents – how much?

We are committed to our customers in San Bruno and will be there to help rebuild the city. One step in that direction is the "Rebuild San Bruno Fund," in which PG&E pledged up to \$100 million for the residents and city of San Bruno to help recover from last Thursday's tragic incident.

92. \$100 million is a lot of money – it sounds like you are admitting fault?

We know that no amount of money can ever make up for what's been lost and we are fully complying with the NTSB's investigation because we want to get the community the answers it deserves. This program is just one piece of our promise that PG&E will live up to its commitment to help rebuild this community and help the people of San Bruno rebuild their lives.

93. How are San Bruno residents getting the funds?

On Monday (9/13) PG&E provided San Bruno officials with an initial check for \$3 million to help compensate the city for its estimated expenses incurred to date. The company is also taking immediate steps to provide assistance to affected residents. For residents in the affected area, PG&E will provide disbursements of \$15,000, \$25,000, or \$50,000 per household depending on the extent of damage incurred.

94. If people accept PG&E's money – will they be ineligible for a full insurance claim or be forced to waive other compensatory benefits?

No. Residents are not being asked to waive any potential claims in order to receive these funds. Also, these funds are being provided in addition to the company's ongoing

provision of funds to ensure affected residents continue to have access to temporary housing and other basic necessities.

Customer Claims

95. What is our claims process for San Bruno residents in the affected area?

Our current claims process is mostly for immediate needs. Our claims representatives are at 900 Cherry Avenue every day from 9 a.m. to 6 p.m., and our claims representatives are also going door to door to assist customers. We are also streamlining our process for larger claims and longer term needs, so that it will be easier for our customers when those requests begin to come in.

96. Can customers file multiple claims, or are we encouraging them to wait and file one claim?

Whichever best meets the needs of our customers. We know that our customers may need to be reimbursed for some items now, and then file an additional claim later.

PHMSA

97. In 2004, the PHMSA ordered utilities to do risk assessments that take into account the special dangers posed by high-pressure lines that carry gas under heavily populated areas. Have we done this?

PG&E has fully implemented 49 CFR Part 192 Subpart O which mandates integrity assessments in High Consequence Areas. These regulations require all pipeline operators to identify transmission lines in high consequence areas by December 17, 2004, and to risk rank those pipelines for the purpose of prioritizing pipeline assessments. PG&E completed this activity prior to the deadline. The regulations also require fifty percent of the transmission pipelines in "High Consequence Area" to have their baseline assessments completed by December 17, 2007, and PG&E completed that activity by the deadline. The regulations require all transmission pipelines in HCAs to have their baseline assessments completed by December 17, 2012, and PG&E is on track to meet that deadline.

98. The PHMSA also said companies should be taking "extra measures" such as installing heavier pipe, automatic shut-off valves and computerized leak detection systems. Was any of this done?

Under NTSB regulations, its ongoing investigation precludes the company from discussing specific details related to this matter at this time.

99. What is your reaction to the letter Senators Feinstein and Boxer sent to PHMSA regarding inspections and disclosure and what does it mean for PG&E?

The letter sent to PHMSA focused on the 1,500 miles of interstate pipelines that exist in California. PG&E routinely conducts leak surveys of all our natural gas transmission and

distribution lines. Generally, the PHMSA regulations require that distribution lines in rural and suburban areas should be surveyed once every five years, in business districts at least once a calendar year, and transmission lines surveyed annually or semi-annually. However, in 2008, PG&E accelerated its distribution leak survey program to complete the entire five-year survey in three years. In 2009 alone, we completed 1.9 million service line inspections as part of the company's initiative to survey our entire gas distribution network on an accelerated basis.

San Bruno Wildfire Insurance Application Q&A

100. Is the San Bruno incident covered by wildfire insurance?

No. The San Bruno incident does not fall within the definition of "wildfire", and will not be covered by the wildfire insurance application.

101. Why does PG&E need wildfire insurance?

The increasing number of fires in California over the last several years has made the liability insurance market for wildfire incidents uncertain and unstable, leading to higher costs for less coverage statewide.

102. Are you asking for ratepayers to cover the cost of your wildfire insurance?

No. PG&E will not be seeking cost recovery from our customers through this funding mechanism. We have \$992 Million in general liability insurance that we will use to pay for bodily injury and property damage claims from the San Bruno tragedy.

[Only if asked]

In general, why do customers have to pay for damage caused by your equipment? This is essentially the way it is now – we recover the costs of insurance premiums in rates, as does any other business. These are costs of doing business and the CPUC has allowed recovery of those costs.

[Only if asked]

What is the rate impact?

Because this would only go into effect in the event of a wildfire that involved our equipment, there is not rate impact at this time.

About PG&E Gas System

103. What is the basic outline of your gas system?

PG&E has an extensive natural gas system, stretching from the Oregon border down to Bakersfield. This system includes 42,141 miles of natural gas distribution pipelines and 6,438 miles of transportation pipelines, serving 4.3 million natural gas customer accounts. High-pressure transmission lines transport the natural gas to the distribution system via a network of mostly underground lines. The gas in these lines provides

sufficient supply to meet short-term peak demands. The distribution system distributes gas to the customer.

104. I want a copy of PG&E's gas system map.

For security and safety reasons, we do not typically disclose sensitive operational information like our gas system map to outside agencies or third parties.

105. How many gas transmission lines do we have in San Mateo County?

Excluding connectors or distribution feeder mains, PG&E has three transmission pipelines in San Mateo County

106. What is the oldest pipeline we have?

PG&E has pipeline that was installed prior to the 1940s. This pipe is regularly inspected and maintained to ensure integrity.

107. What pressure do our gas transmission lines typically operate under?

Gas transmission lines in PG&E's system typically operate between 100 and 1040 psig. PG&E has short pipelines which interconnect to the McDonald Island Storage Facility which operate at 2160 psig.

108. What is the percentage of older to newer pipes in our system?

The bulk of PG&E's system has been installed since 1950.

109. Why aren't you providing maps or locations to the media?

We understand that many customers do have concerns regarding natural gas transmission and distribution pipelines in their area and we want to help alleviate those concerns.

PG&E gas transmission and distribution maps are highly detailed and do present a safety and security risk if they are made public.

We encourage you to visit the U.S. Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration's National Pipeline Mapping System at https://www.npms.phmsa.dot.gov for a map of transmission pipelines.

Due to the recent high volume of traffic, the site has been experiencing some access issues, but it remains one of the most comprehensive resources for pipeline information.

We are providing general location approximations to customers who have requested this information. Customers who have concerns about gas pipeline proximity to their homes should call PG&E at 1-800-743-5000.

110. Can you give us an overview of you gas control systems?

• PG&E has an extensive natural gas system, stretching from the Oregon border down to Bakersfield.

- This system includes 42,141 miles of natural gas distribution pipelines and 6,438 miles of transmission pipelines, serving 4.3 million natural gas customer accounts.
- High-pressure transmission lines transport the natural gas to the distribution system via a network of mostly underground lines. The distribution system distributes gas to the customer.
- The Milpitas Gas receives gas from Arizona and redirects the gas to our customers in the East Bay, Peninsula and San Francisco.
- In San Francisco, our Gas Control Center is a 24/7 facility that monitors PG&E's natural gas system.
- Operators in our San Francisco Gas Control Center utilize our SCADA system to monitor operating information on our gas system. SCADA stands for Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition.
- Using SCADA information and other available tools, our operators monitor compressor stations and pipelines along our natural-gas system and are able to adjust pressure and flow rate within the system, as needed.
- Sensors along our natural-gas system feed information about pressure, flow rate and other operating information to SCADA where it is used by our operators.

Only if asked:

• Our Milpitas Gas Terminal is unmanned, although crews frequently work at the site.

TURN Response

111. State regulators in 2007 gave PG&E the go-ahead to spend \$5 million of ratepayer money to replace a section of the same pipeline that exploded last week in San Bruno. But the work never got done as scheduled in 2009, and this year you asked for another \$5 million to do the same job by 2013, according to documents you submitted to the California Public Utility Commission as part of a general rate-increase request. Can you respond?

PG&E is committed to performing the work necessary to assure the safety of its gas transmission system. Accordingly, PG&E is constantly prioritizing its projects using the most recent up to date information available. In this particular case, PG&E did identify this line section as being a high priority project in its 2008 gas transmission rate case filing. Subsequent to that filing PG&E performed an External Corrosion Direct Assessment (ECDA) in 2009 and based on the updated assessment, and the assurance it provided us, we rescheduled the project accordingly. PG&E spent more on its gas transmission capital program than authorized for the period 2008 to 2009.

112. What is the status of the project and how have we spent the funds, if at all?

Rough project scope has been defined. Roughly \$1,000.00 has been spent on this project since inception. This would cover very preliminary project scope definition only.

113. When will the project be completed?

The project is scheduled to be completed in November, 2013.

Misc.

114. Was there a natural gas incident with a fatality in Madera August 22, 2003? What happened?

Yes, a farmer dug into PG&E transmission pipeline 118 while ripping his field. The line was marked for the farmer but the damage resulted in a leak that ignited causing equipment damage and the operator died 3 weeks later as a result of his injuries.

115. How much has PG&E spent on its gas system?

In recent years, PG&E has spent well over \$100 million to improve its gas system, which is in addition to money regularly invested in the system.

116. Is there a difference between a gas leak in a transmission line as compared to a distribution line? i.e. would a transmission leak be harder to detect?

There is no significant difference in gas leaks or in detecting gas leaks just because they are on the distribution system vs. being on the transmission system.

117. Have we confirmed pipeline 131, in Fremont, is one of two pipelines in the Bay Area posing the highest risk? Is in or near the Hayward Fault?

FOR FREMONT CITY OR ELECTED OFFICIALS. Line 131 runs from the Brentwood Terminal to the Milpitas Terminal. Line 131 crosses the Hayward fault in the Fremont area. PG&E seismically retrofitted this crossing in 2002.

NOT FOR FREMONT CITY OR ELECTED OFFICIALS: Line 131 runs from the Brentwood Terminal to the Milpitas Terminal. In 2002 PG&E seismically retrofitted Line 131 where it crosses the Hayward Fault.

118. What information do we have on the McDonald Island Pipeline project from 2005?

The McDonald Island Pipeline project was a 6.5 mile pipeline from the McDonald Island underground storage facility to the Brentwood Terminal that added both capacity and reliability to PG&E's system. The new line was bored under both the river and levees so that it would not be vulnerable to possible delta flooding. The pipeline was successfully put into operation in 2007.

119. Is our IMP a public document?

No.

120. Why did you cancel your contract with ServPro?

We originally had a contract with that company to do restoration work for our customers. As such, we hold Serve Pro, just like all our contractors, to the highest standards and immediately act when we hear concerns from our customers. Serve Pro is no longer on our list of approved contractors. We cannot get into the specifics of why that company is no longer on our list.

121. When was the last time the line at Redacted in Fresno was inspected?

An external corrosion direct assessment was performed in June 2010 and a leak survey was performed in March and September 2010.