From: Clanon, Paul

Sent: 9/24/2010 2:06:47 PM

To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)

Cc:

Subject: RE:

We're trying to get them to list me as the rep from the CPUC, the main witness. In any case, I'll be there, and I'll be approving the presentation.

Ask Peter how he feels about my being the PUC witness!

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com] **Sent:** Friday, September 24, 2010 2:05 PM

To: Clanon,

Paul

Subject: RE:

Whew. So you will both be on the dais. That should calm nerves over at One Market.

From: Clanon, Paul

[mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov] **Sent:** Friday, September 24, 2010

2:04 PM

To: Cherry, Brian K **Subject:** RE:

Of course he won't. Also, I'll be there.

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com] **Sent:** Friday, September 24, 2010 1:58 PM

To: Clanon,

Paul **Subject:**

Can we chat sometime? Just got a call from the General Counsel, who is worried that Richard Clark may blind-side Chris in DC. I told him I didn't think Richard would do that nor did I think he would reveal details of the San Bruno incident that were still confidential. They are wondering what Richard is going to say. Here's my guess about it: Richard is prepared to describe the facts of the incident that are publicly known - time, date of explosion; impact on the community. Then he is going to describe the process of what his investigators are doing and procedurally what the PUC is doing. Other than that, I don't seem him speculating or commenting on things out of his comfort zone.