

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 9/24/2010 2:09:40 PM
To: 'Clanon, Paul' (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE:

Also, don't forget the idea to give San Bruno impacted customers a credit. People are hot to do this - I'm just worried we don't violate any rules on it....

From: Clanon, Paul [mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, September 24, 2010 2:07 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: RE:

I'd love to see yours.

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, September 24, 2010 2:06 PM
To: Clanon, Paul
Subject: RE:

I'm assuming we aren't previewing statements with each other ?

From: Clanon, Paul [mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, September 24, 2010 2:04 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: RE:

Of course he won't. Also, I'll be there.

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, September 24, 2010 1:58 PM
To: Clanon, Paul
Subject:

Can we chat sometime ? Just got a call from the General Counsel, who is worried that Richard Clark may blind-side Chris in DC. I told him I didn't think Richard would do that nor did I think he would reveal details of the San Bruno incident that were still confidential. They are wondering what Richard is going

to say. Here's my guess about it: Richard is prepared to describe the facts of the incident that are publicly known - time, date of explosion; impact on the community. Then he is going to describe the process of what his investigators are doing and procedurally what the PUC is doing. Other than that, I don't seem him speculating or commenting on things out of his comfort zone.