

From: Cherry, Brian K  
Sent: 9/28/2010 5:10:48 PM  
To: gig@cpuc.ca.gov (gig@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: FW: ordering paragraphs are identical

FYI. Procedural boo boo

---

**From:** Dietz, Sidney  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 28, 2010 4:42 PM  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Subject:** ordering paragraphs are identical

The alternate cites the controversy, then sticks with the holdback amounts from the previous decision. But then, it leaves the ordering paragraphs the same as the PD. However, the first-page analysis says 77M for the four utilities, which doesn't match the O.P.s. I printed out copies for you, they are on your chair.