From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 9/29/2010 11:32:43 AM
To: 'Kinosian, Robert' (robert.kinosian@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: ordering paragraphs are identical

Hey, we have the same problems on our end from time to time too.

Any advice on lobbying ? Does John want us to come in and talk to him ?

From: Kinosian, Robert [mailto:robert.kinosian@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 11:32 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: RE: ordering paragraphs are identical

Awesome, guess that means steno didn't include the other couple of clean up edits that were supposed to go in.

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 28, 2010 5:11 PM
To: Kinosian, Robert
Subject: FW: ordering paragraphs are identical

FYI. Procedural boo boo

From: Dietz, Sidney
Sent: Tuesday, September 28, 2010 4:42 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: ordering paragraphs are identical

The alternate cites the controversy, then sticks with the holdback amounts from the previous decision. But then, it leaves the ordering paragraphs the same as the PD. However, the first-page analysis says 77M for the four utilities, which doesn't match the O.P.s. I printed out copies for you, they are on your chair.