

From: Clanon, Paul
Sent: 9/29/2010 2:02:07 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: SmartMeter

Don't say headache!

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 1:52 PM
To: Clanon,
Paul
Subject: FW: SmartMeter

FYI. It looks like this is the direction we will head. If it remains isolated, then we can handle it under the SmartMeter docket. If it ends up more like medical marijuana, where every doctor proscribes it, we may need to come back and seek more money. I know, another potential headache.

From: Peevey, Michael R.
[mailto:michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 29,
2010 10:41 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K; Brown, Carol A.
Subject:
RE: SmartMeter

Yes.

From: Cherry, Brian K
[mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Wed 9/29/2010 10:39 AM
To:
Peevey, Michael R.; Brown, Carol A.
Subject:
SmartMeter

Mike/Carol - regarding the RF matter, we are thinking about installing land lines or fiber to the SmartMeter in households that can provide a doctors certificate that RFs are causing them medical problems. We would use the same protocols for those that are on medical baseline. The extra cost would be socialized within the SmartMeter budget. I worry about the slippery slope issue, but folks here think this might resolve the problem to a large degree. Does this make sense to you ?