BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

)

)

)

RE: Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to address the Issue of customers' electric and natural gas service disconnection

R.10-02-005 (Filed February 4, 2010)

COMMENTS OF THE NATIONAL CONSUMER LAW CENTER ON PHASE II ISSUES PURSUANT TO ALJ RULING OF AUGUST 26, 2010

Darlene R. Wong Staff Attorney

John Howat Senior Policy Analyst

National Consumer Law Center 7 Winthrop Square, 4th Floor Boston, MA 02110-1245 Telephone: 617-542-8010 Fax: 671-542-8028

September 15, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION1
II.	COMMENTS2
	A. Customers should be allowed to choose a regular billing date for when their payments are due2
	B. The definition of "Sensitive Customers" should include the low-income participants of CARE and FERA, along with the elderly, disabled, and customers in households where a full-time resident has a serious illness
III.	CONCLUSION9

I. INTRODUCTION

The Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("Commission") issued its Order Instituting Rulemaking R.10-02-005 ("OIR") in this proceeding on February 5, 2010, directing California's regulated gas and electric utilities to immediately implement interim practices to improve customer notification and education and to decrease customer disconnections from their energy services. The OIR followed (1) a June 19, 2009 Petition by The Utility Reform Network ("TURN") submitted in another docket requesting the Commission to initiate a rulemaking to address arrearage management and shutoff prevention for residential customers, and (2) a report by the Division of Ratepayer Advocates ("DRA") on November 19, 2009 showing the number of California's lowincome customers being disconnected without a record of reconnection almost doubling compared to the previous 12 months under review.

On June 17, 2010, Commissioner Dian M. Grueneich issued a Proposed Decision ("PD") continuing certain requirements of the OIR, and discussing the various recommended practices to reduce customer disconnections submitted by the various parties in comments and reply comments.¹ On July 29, 2010, the Commission issued its Interim Decision ("ID") in which the Commission ordered changes to the utilities' disconnection practices, and further identified twelve remaining issues to be addressed in Phase II of this proceeding. By Ruling of August 26, 2010 ("ALJ Ruling"), Administrative Law Judge Bruce DeBerry identified three issues for Comment and Reply Comment: (1) allowing customers to choose a billing date; (2) waiver of deposit exceptions for customers demonstrating continued fraud or bad check activities; and (3) defining "sensitive" customers. Pursuant to that Ruling and the Commission rules and

¹ Comments and Reply Comments were filed by the following utilities: Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E"), Southern California Edison Company ("SCE"), San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("SDG&E") jointly with Southern California Gas Company ("SoCalGas") (or, jointly, "Joint Utilities"). Comments and Reply Comments were filed by the following Intervenors and advocates: National Consumer Law Center ("NCLC"), the Commission's Division of Ratepayer Advocates ("DRA"), The Utility Reform Network ("TURN"), the City and County of San Francisco, and by Greenlining ("Greenlining") and Disability Rights Advocates ("DisabRA").

regulations, the National Consumer Law Center ("NCLC") submits the following Comments.²

II. COMMENTS

A. Customers should be allowed to choose a regular billing date for when their payments are due.

The Commission in its Interim Decision of July 29, 2010 ("ID") identified as an issue for Phase II of this proceeding the question of whether customers should be allowed to choose a monthly billing date for their payments. ID at 28. The ALJ Ruling requests comment on whether there are known advantages to allowing customers to select their own billing late, and the effect on late payments. NCLC supports the Commission's examination of this issue and previous comments submitted by various intervenors recommending the adoption of customer choice for billing due dates.³ As previously noted in this proceeding by TURN, utility customers in focus groups have stated that the mismatch between income cycles and utility billing cycles puts them at risk of disconnections, and late payments are caused in part because consumers living from paycheck to paycheck cannot make timely payments under inflexible utility bill due dates.⁴ Additionally, Entergy New Orleans and Entergy Louisiana, companies with years of experience implementing the "Pick-A-Date" program, recently stated publicly that "[o]nly customers know the best time to pay their monthly bill. With Pick-A-Date, they

² The Interim Decision identifies as an issue for Phase II the question of whether there should be exceptions to demands for deposits from customers demonstrating continued fraud or bad check activities. ID at 28. While NCLC is not providing Comment on this issue at this time, it reserves the right to respond to the comments of other parties as may be necessary in Reply Comments.

³ See, e.g., Comments of the City and County of San Francisco on the Proposed Decision (July 7, 2010) at 3-4 (Payment Arrangements subsection); Comments of the City and County of San Francisco on the Order Instituting Rulemaking (Mar. 12, 2010) at 4; Reply Comments of Greenlining on the Commission's Own Motion (Apr. 12, 2010) at 15; Opening Comments of The Utility Reform Network (Mar. 12, 2010) at 32. *Cf.* Opening Comments of the Greenlining Institute on the Proposed Decision (Jul. 7, 2010) at 6 (regarding automated payments, there must be flexibility for determining when a debit on an automated payment plan is placed).

⁴ Opening Comments of The Utility Reform Network (Mar. 12, 2010) at 32.

may be able to select the date each month when their electric bill is due."⁵ While Entergy highlights its shareholders' annual contributions of \$500,000 to one Entergy low-income program, Entergy makes no such indication of additional costs incurred to implement its Pick-A-Date program.⁶

The above evidence indicates that allowing customers to select their own billing date can be done at a reasonable cost to the utility while allowing customers to exert more control over their financial obligations, thereby reducing their own risk of being disconnected from their utility services. Customer choice in selecting a billing date should aid customers with better ability to timely make payments. In Oregon, for example, if late penalties are allowed at all, they may only be imposed after certain conditions, one of which is that the customer has had opportunity to establish preferred billing options, i.e., the customer is given the chance to choose his or her monthly due date. *See* Or. Admin. R. 860-021-0126.⁷ In other words, Oregon's policy attempts to maximize the customer's ability to pay, before it imposes upon the utility customer any penalties for missing a payment. The advantages to a policy that is centered about maximizing the customer's ability to pay, rather than focused on disconnecting the services of customers who become payment-troubled, are that: (1) the utility avoids taking the premature action of cutting off a stream of revenue; and (2) customers who are

⁶ See id.

. . .

⁵ Press Release, Entergy, Local Entergy Companies Reach out to Customers Facing Tough Financial Situations (Jan. 8, 2010), available at <u>http://www.entergy.com/news_room/newsrelease.aspx?NR_ID=1652</u>.

⁷ The Oregon regulation states in relevant part:

⁽²⁾ An energy utility shall not impose late-payment charges on residential customers unless:

⁽a) The energy utility offers residential customers a preferred billing date option under which the customer can select or change a bill date. Utilities shall not be required to change a customer's bill date more than once in any 12-month period;

Or. Admin. R. 860-021-0126 (2)(a).

willing and able to pay are protected from being prematurely disconnected. Some customers may have the ability to pay but may require the right opportunity to demonstrate that ability.

In addition to supporting a monthly billing date of the customer's preference, NCLC supports an investigation into the question of whether signing up customers for an auto-pay option on the date of their choice would further reduce risk of nonpayment and reduce billing and collection costs.⁸

B. The definition of "Sensitive Customers" should include the low-income participants of CARE and FERA, along with the elderly, disabled, and customers in households where a full-time resident has a serious illness.

The Interim Decision and ALJ Ruling request comment on how sensitive customers can be defined, and how utilities can identify such customers. ID at 28; Ruling at Attachment A. In the interim, the Commission has defined sensitive customers "as those who are on medical baseline or life support as these customers are currently identified on utilities' billing systems." ID at n. 40. While the Commission did not explicitly include disabled individuals in its definition of sensitive customers, it does note that the category of sensitive customers does include customers who have disabilities. ID at 20 ("Communicating with sensitive customers who have disabilities is a challenge for all utilities.").

For the reasons stated here, NCLC submits that disabled customers should be more clearly incorporated into the definition of sensitive customers, along with the elderly, households with one or more children, and customers such as the seriously ill who are vulnerable to health and safety risks of disconnection.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") indicates that for hyperthermia (high core body temperature), primary risk factors include increasing age, children under five years of age, chronic disease including mental illness, and low-

⁸ See Comments of the City and County of San Francisco on the Proposed Decision (Jul. 7, 2010) at 3-4 (noting that utilities earlier declined to respond this part of the ALJ's prior question regarding customer choice of bill date).

income living conditions.⁹ Because "spending time in an air conditioned area is the most important factor in preventing heat-related deaths," CDC has stated that support of low-income populations with their energy costs may be necessary during the summer.¹⁰ Well-defined heat responses plans that target high risk populations are also recommended.¹¹

For hypothermia (low core body temperature), primary risk factors include advanced age and serious medical conditions; seniors and chronically ill persons are disproportionately affected.¹² CDC advises that especially for elders who may not perceive the cold or compensate for cold as well as younger persons, strategies should be implemented to provide them with protection during cold periods.¹³

Numerous states have adopted rules identifying these groups of vulnerable customers for special protection against disconnection. A few of those state provisions that protect the disabled, elderly, infants, and seriously ill are described here:

-- For gas and electric utilities in Hawaii, termination of service to an elderly or "handicapped" customer cannot be undertaken until a written report and investigation is submitted by the utility to the commission, at least five days prior to the scheduled termination date.¹⁴ Haw. Code R. § 6-60-8.

⁹ Heat-Related Deaths – Los Angeles County, California, 1999-2000, and United States, 1979-1998, MMWR Weekly (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), July 27, 2001, available at https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5029a3.htm.

¹⁰ Id.

¹¹ Id.

¹² In addition, CDC notes that infants should be monitored and that rising energy costs may mean increased attention should be paid to prevent cases of indoor hypothermia. *See* Hypothermia-Related Deaths – United States, 1999-2002 and 2005, MMWR Weekly (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), Mar. 17, 2006, available at: http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5510a5.htm

¹³ Hypothermia-Related Deaths – United States, 2003-2004, MMWR Weekly (Centers for Disease Control), Feb. 25, 2005, available at: <u>http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5407a4.htm</u>

¹⁴ In relevant part, Hawaii's procedures for termination of service states as follows:

⁽c) The utility shall provide special consideration in the handling of termination of service in the case of the elderly and handicapped customers.

-- In Massachusetts, utilities are prohibited from terminating service or refusing to restore service to a residential customer who is financially unable to pay an overdue bill, so long as it is also certified that someone living in the customer's home is seriously ill, or that a child under 12 months of age resides in the customer's home, or that all adults in the home are 65 or older and a minor resides in the home. *See* 220 Code Mass. Regs. § 25.03. Additionally, where service is to a household in which all residents are 65 years old or more, service can only be terminated only after written approval of the Department of Public Utilities.¹⁵ 220 CMR 25.05.

-- Electric and gas utilities in Arizona cannot terminate service where a customer is unable to pay and can provide medical documentation to show that termination would be especially dangerous to the health of customer or a permanent resident of the

(1) Elderly customers must show proof of age that they are sixty-two years or older by either appearing in person at the utility office or by verifying the date of birth in writing by a personal statement;

(2) Handicapped customers can be qualified by certification of their physical condition by a registered physician or by an appropriate state agency; and

(3) In no event shall termination of service to an elderly or handicapped customer commence without a written report and investigation by the utility to the commission. The report and investigation must be submitted by the utility not less than five days prior to the planned date for termination of service.

Haw. Code R. § 6-60-8(c).

¹⁵ The Massachusetts Code states in relevant part:

(3) <u>Termination Notice</u>. A company may terminate service to a household in which all residents are 65 years of age or older only after such company first secures the written approval of the Department. In addition to the application for such approval filed with the Department, the company shall concurrently give written notice to the Executive Office of Elder Affairs (or any agency designated by the Executive Office of Elder Affairs for such purposes), any third person to be notified pursuant to 220 CMR 25.05(2) and the residents of such household. Such written notice shall state that an application to terminate has been filed with the Department pursuant to 220 CMR 25.05(4). Prior to approval by the Department of such application, no company may send notices threatening termination of service to any household which has notified the company that all residents of the household are 65 years of age or older.

The notices required by 220 CMR 25.05 shall contain language in accordance with 220 CMR 25.05(5) and shall be in such form as shall be approved by the Department prior to its use.

220 CMR 25.05(3).

household.¹⁶ Ariz. Admin. Code §§ R14-2-211(A)(5) (electric), R14-2-311(A)(5) (gas). Further, Arizona provides that termination cannot occur to the ill, elderly, or disabled who are unable to pay their bills until the customer has been informed of the availability of funds from various government and social assistance agencies, and any existing third party designee has been notified and has not made arrangements to pay.¹⁷ Ariz. Admin. Code §§ 14-2-211(A)(6)(electric), 14-2-311(A)(6)(gas).

Lastly, San Diego Gas & Electric Company and Southern California Gas Company (collectively, "Joint Utilities") have demonstrated that it is possible for a California utility to create a customer database capable of allowing the utility to identify CARE, FERA, disabled, elderly and seriously ill customers for special protection, and in a way that is not cost-prohibitive to the utility.¹⁸ The Joint Utilities have agreed with

6. Residential service to ill, elderly, or handicapped persons who have an inability to pay will not be terminated until all of the following have been attempted:

a. The customer has been informed of the availability of funds from various government and social assistance agencies of which the utility is aware.

b. A third party previously designated by the customer has been notified and has not made arrangements to pay the outstanding utility bill.

Ariz. Admin. Code § R14-2-211(A)(5) to § R14-2-211(A)(6). See also Ariz. Admin. Code § R14-2-311(A).

¹⁷ Id.

¹⁸ See generally Joint Motion of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U902E), The Southern California Gas Company (U 904D), Disability Rights Advocates, the Division of Ratepayer Advocates, the Greenlining Institute, the National Consumer Law Center, and the Utility Reform Network for Adoption of

¹⁶ The Arizona Administrative Code provides in relevant part:

^{5.} A utility shall not terminate residential service where the customer has an inability to pay and:

a. The customer can establish through medical documentation that, in the opinion of a licensed medical physician, termination would be especially dangerous to the health of a customer or a permanent resident resident resident resident of a customer's premises, or

b. Life supporting equipment used in the home that is dependent on utility service for operation of such apparatus, or

c. Where weather will be especially dangerous to health as defined or as determined by the Commission.

consumer advocates and intervenors in this proceeding that, upon Commission approval of their Settlement Agreement, the Joint Utilities will apply a special structure of payment arrangements and exceptions from credit deposit demands that will be applicable to CARE, FERA, Medical Baseline and disabled customers. *See* Settlement Agreement at 6-8. For the elderly, seriously ill, and disabled customers, the Joint Utilities have agreed that remote disconnection will not be used. *See* Settlement Agreement at 12-13. These provisions demonstrate that the utilities are capable of identifying specific groups of vulnerable customers to target for additional protection, and are able to distinguish them from all other customers for whom these special protections would not necessarily apply.

the Settlement Agreement, Docket R. 10-02-005 (Cal. Pub. Util. Comm'n Sept. 9, 2010) ("Settlement Agreement").

III. CONCLUSION

NCLC respectfully requests that the Commission consider NCLC's Comments on Phase II issues, submitted to help resolve the issues raised in the Commission's Interim Decision. The Commission can implement the above recommendations as cost-effective methods to help reduce the number of customer utility service disconnections by (1) providing customers greater opportunity to timely make utility payments through a choice of billing date and (2) ensuring that the state's most vulnerable customers are not left out of any protection afforded to "sensitive customers."

Respectfully Submitted,

/S/

Darlene R. Wong Staff Attorney

John Howat Senior Policy Analyst

For: National Consumer Law Center 7 Winthrop Square, 4th Floor Boston, MA 02110-1245 (617) 542-8010

DATED: September 15, 2010, in Boston, Massachusetts

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

RE: Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Notion to address the Issue of customers' electric and natural gas service disconnection.

R.10-02-005

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on September 15, 2010, I served the Comments of the National Consumer Law Center on Phase II Issues Pursuant to ALJ Ruling of August 26, 2010, by email and in accordance with 20 CCR §§ 1.9 and 1.10, upon the following persons appearing on the official Service List.

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION Service Lists Proceeding: R1002005 - CPUC - OIR TO ADDRES Filer: CPUC List Name: LIST Last changed: August 31, 2010

Parties

STEPHANIE C. CHEN THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE EMAIL ONLY EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000 FOR: THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

DARLENE R. WONG STAFF ATTORNEY NATIONAL CONSUMER LAW CENTER 7 WINTHROP SQUARE, 4TH FLOOR BOSTON, MA 02110-1245 FOR: NATIONAL CONSUMER LAW CENTER

DONALD L. SODERBERG SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION PO BOX 98510 LAS VEGAS, NV 89193 FOR: SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION JOHN HOWAT NATIONAL CONSUMER LAW CENTER 7 WINTHROP SQUARE, 4TH FLOOR BOSTON, MA 02110 FOR: NATIONAL CONSUMER LAW CENTER

VALERIE J. ONTIVEROZ SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION 5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD LAS VEGAS, NV 89150 FOR: SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION

DEBI GALLO SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION PO BOX 98510 LAS VEGAS, NV 89193-8510 FOR: SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION

DEBRA BOSIEY SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION PO BOX 98510 LAS VEGAS, NV 89193-8510 FOR: SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION

TREVOR DILLARD RAE REGULATORY RELATIONS RAE REGULATORY RELATIONS SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY 6100 NEAL ROAD, MS S4A50 / PO BOX 10100 2241 WALNUT GROVE AVE. / PO BOX 800 RENO, NV 89520-0024 FOR: SIERRA PACIFIC POWER CO.

CHRIS DOMINSKI SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANYSOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE, SUITE 3092244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUEROSEMEAD, CA 91770ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

JIM YEE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

MARYBETH OUINLAN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO. 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

RONALD MOORE SR. REGULATROY ANALYST (U-913-E)

TODD J. CAHILL SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY 8330 CENTURY PARK COURT SAN DIEGO. CA 92123 SAN DIEGO, CA92123SAN DIEGO, CA92123-1548FOR: SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANYFOR: SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC CO.

ELENA MELLO SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY 6100 NEIL ROAD RENO, NV 89520 FOR: SIERRA PACIFIC POWER CO.

AKBAR JAZAYEIRI DIR OF REVENUE & TARIFFS SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (338) ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

DOUG SNOW

JOHN MONTANYE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

MONICA GHATTAS SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

KIM F. HASSAN SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY SR. REGULATROY ANALYSTSAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANYGOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY101 ASH STREET, HQ-12630 EAST FOOTHILL BLVD.SAN DIEGO, CA 92101SAN DIMAS, CA 91773FOR: SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY,FOR: GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANYSOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS

> KATHY WICKWARE SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC CO. 8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP32C

AUSTIN M. YANG AUSTIN M. YANGDENNIS J. HERRERACITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCOCITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCOOFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY, RM. 234CITY HALL, ROOM 2341 DR. CARLTON B. GODDLETT PLACESAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102FOR: CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 FOR: CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

JEANNE SMITH SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 601 VAN NESS AVE. SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 505 VAN NESS AVENUE

MARION PELEO CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION LEGAL DIVISION ROOM 4107 505 VAN NESS AVENUE505 VAN NESS AVENUESAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 FOR: DRA

SARAH J. SMITH SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 601 VAN NESS AVENUE, STE 2040115 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-6310SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY FOR: THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

BERNARD LAM PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 77 BEALE STREET, MAIL CODE B10C SAN FRANCISCO. CA 94105 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

DANIEL F. COOLEY ATTORNEY AT LAW ACCIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 77 BEALE STREET, MC B10A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 FOR PACIFIC GAS AND ELEC ATTORNEY AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 FOR: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

SHILPA RAMAIYA PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANYGOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI RITCHIE245 MARKET STREET, MAIL CODE N3C505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3133 FOR: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR: WILD GOOSE STORAGE

DENNIS J. HERRERA FOR: CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

HARVEY Y. MORRIS CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION LEGAL DIVISION ROOM 5036 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 FOR: DRA

RASHID A. RASHID CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION LEGAL DIVISION ROOM 4107 FOR: DRA

HAYLEY GOODSON THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

BRIAN CHERRY PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (39) 77 BEALE STREET ROOM 1087 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 FOR: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U-39-E/G)

> DAVID POSTER PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

MICHAEL B. DAY GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI RITCHIE & DAY LLP

JOHN DUTCHER MOUNTAIN UTILITIES 3210 CORTE VALENCIA S210 CORTE VALENCIA2001 CENTER STREET, FOORTH FLOORFAIRFIELD, CA 94534-7875BERKELEY, CA 94704-1204FOR: MOUNTAIN UTILITIES (U-906-E)FOR: DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES

THOMAS R. DILL PRESIDENT

WAYNE AMER PRESIDENT

RAYMOND J. CZAHAR, C.P.A. WEST COAST GAS COMPANY 9203 BEATTY DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95826 FOR: WEST COAST GAS COMPANY

CATHIE ALLEN DIR., REGULATORY AFFAIRS PACIFICORP 825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET, SUITE 2000 CALGARY, AB T2P OA7 PORTLAND, OR 97232 FOR: PACIFICORP

Information Only

CASSANDRA SWEET DOW JONES NEWSWIRES EMAIL ONLY EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000

KRISTIEN TARY STATE REGULATORY AFFAIRS SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION 5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD LAS VEGAS, NV 89150

MELISSA W. KASNITZ DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES 2001 CENTER STREET, FOURTH FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94704-1204

MICHAEL LAMOND PRESIDENTALPINE NATURAL GAS OPERATING CONTINUELODI GAS STORAGE, LLCPO BOX 55023265 N. STATE RT. 99 W. FRONTAGE RD15 ST. ANDREWS ROAD, SUITE 7ACAMPO, CA 95220VALLEY SPRINGS, CA 95252FOR: LODI GAS STORAGE, LLC (U-912-G)FOR: ALPINE NATURAL GAS OPERATING CO.NO. 1. LLC (U-909-G) ALPINE NATURAL GAS OPERATING COMPANY NO. 1, LLC (U-909-G)

JAMES HODGES 1069 45TH STREET MOUNTAIN UTILITIES (906)SACRAMENTO, CA 95819PO BOX 205FOR: ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIAKIRKWOOD, CA 95646COMMUNITY AND ENERGY SERVICES (ACCES);FOR: MOUNTAIN UTILITIES (U-906-E)THE EAST L.A. COMMUNITY UNION; THEMARAVILLA FOUNDATIONMARAVILLA FOUNDATION

> ARIEL SON PACIFICORP 825 N.E. MULTNOMAH, SUITE 300 PORTLAND, OR 97232 FOR: PACIFICORP

JASON A. DUBCHAK WILD GOOSE STORAGE LLC 607 8TH AVENUE S.W., SUITE 400 CANADA FOR: WILD GOOSE STORAGE LLC

HOLLY LLOYD SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION 5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD LAS VEGAS, NV 89150

CATHERINE MAZZEO SOUTHWEST GAS CORFORMED 5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD LAS VEGAS, NV 89150-0002 GREGORY HEALY

TIMOTHY J. RYAN

JENNIFER M. TSAO SHIGEKAWA JENNIFER M. TSAO SHIGEKAWA SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1550

MICHAEL A. BAILEY

THERESA BURKE

KAREN FORSGARDCALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETSPACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY425 DIVISADERO ST., SUITE 30377 BEALE STREET, B10A / BOX 770000 B8QSAN FRANCISCO, CA 94117 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS 425 DIVISADERO ST., SUITE 303 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94117

ED LUCHA

DANIEL A. DELL'OSA SOCALGAS/SDG&ESAN GABRIEL VALUEL555 WEST FIFTH STREET, GT14D611142 GARVEY AVE., PO BOX 6010562 ANORUESCA 90013563 ANORUESCA 90013 SAN GABRIEL VALLEY WATER COMPANY

CASE ADMINISTRATION TIMOTHY J. RYANCASE ADMINIStrationSAN GABRIEL VALLEY WATER CO.SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY11142 GARVEY AVE., PO BOX 60102244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. / PO BOX 800FL MONTE. CA 91733-2425ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

CENTRAL FILES

JEANNE M. SOLE 25801 MARGUERITE PARKWAY, NO. 103CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCOMISSION VIEJO, CA 92692CITY HALL, RM 234 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLET PLACE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-4682

BONNIE TAM THERESA BURKEDONNIE IAMSAN FRANCISCO PUCPACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY1155 MARKET STREET, 4TH FLOOR77 BEALE STREET, MC B10A, PO BOX 770000SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

MICHELLE L. WILSON PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 7442, LAW DEPT. SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94120

CASE ADMINISTRATIONDAREN CHANPACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANYPACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANYPO BOX 770000; MC B9APO BOX 770000, MAIL CODE B9ASAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177

ALICIA MILLER PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANYTHE GREENLINING INSTITUTEPO BOX 770000, MAIL CODE B9A1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLOORSAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177BERKELEY, CA 94704

JEAN CHUNG THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE BERKELEY, CA 94704

JACK KRIEG MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT 1231 11TH STREET MODESTO, CA 95354

LINDA FISHER MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT 1231 11TH STREET MODESTO, CA 95354

LORENZON TRAN-HAGOS 885 EMBARCADERO DR. SACRAMENTO, CA 95605

BARB COUGHLIN PACIFICORP 825 NE MULTNOMAH, SUITE 800 PORTLAND, OR 97232

MICHELLE R. MISHOE PACIFICORP 825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET, SUITE 1800 PORTLAND, OR 97232

State Service

TORY FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION EMAIL ONLY EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000

BRUCE DEBERRY CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES ROOM 5043 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

SAMUEL S. KANG THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE 1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SECOND FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94704

> JOY A. WARREN MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT 1231 11TH STREET MODESTO, CA 95354

LOU HAMPEL MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTICT 1231 11TH STREET MODESTO, CA 95354

RON AKER PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 885 EMBARCADERO DR., ROOM 1113 SACRAMENTO, CA 95606

MARISA DECRISTOFORO PACIFICORP 825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET, SUITE 800 PORTLAND, OR 97232

AVA N. TRAN ENERGY DIVISION AREA 4-A 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

DONALD J. LAFRENZ CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION ENERGY DIVISION AREA 4-A 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

KAREN WATTS-ZAGHA CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION ROOM 4104 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

MATTHEW DEAL CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION POLICY & PLANNING DIVISION ROOM 5119 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

Email Service

stephaniec@greenlining.org jhowat@nclc.org darlenewong@nclc.org valerie.ontiveroz@swgas.com Don.soderberg@swgas.com debra.gallo@swgas.com

emello@sppc.com tdillard@sppc.com akbar.jazayeri@sce.com chris.dominski@sce.com

james.yee@sce.com John.Montanye@sce.com Marybeth.quinlan@sce.com monica.ghattas@sce.com rkmoore@gswater.com KHassan@SempraUtilities.com TCahill@SempraUtilities.com KWickware@SempraUtilities.com austin.yang@sfgov.org

jeanne.smith@sce.com hym@cpuc.ca.gov map@cpuc.ca.gov rhd@cpuc.ca.gov smithsj@sce.com hayley@turn.org bxlc@pge.com

dfc2@pge.com DxPU@pge.com

LEE-WHEI TAN CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION ENERGY PRICING AND CUSTOMER PROGRAMS BRA ENERGY PRICING AND CUSTOMER PROGRAMS BRA ROOM 4102 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 FOR: DRA

> ZAIDA AMAYA-PINEDA CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION ENERGY DIVISION 770 L STREET, SUITE 1050 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

SRRd@pge.com mday@goodinmacbride.com ralf1241a@cs.com pucservice@dralegal.org trdill@westernhubs.com mike@alpinenaturalgas.com wamer@kirkwood.com hodgesjl@surewest.net westgas@aol.com Ariel.Son@PacifiCorp.com californiadockets@pacificorp.com jason.dubchak@niskags.com cassandra.sweet@dowjones.com holly.lloyd@swgas.com kristien.tary@swgas.com catherine.mazzeo@swgas.com GHealy@SempraUtilities.com dadellosa@sqvwater.com tjryan@sqvwater.com case.admin@sce.com Jennifer.Shigekawa@sce.com CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com michaelebailey@cox.net jeanne.sole@sfgov.org tburke@sfwater.org BWT4@pge.com kaf4@pge.com cem@newsdata.com cem@newsdata.com MLW3@pge.com regrelcpuccases@pge.com dlct@pge.com ELL5@pge.com aliciam@greenlining.org jeanc@greenlining.org samuelk@greenlining.org jackk@mid.org joyw@mid.org lindaf@mid.org louh@mid.org llsm@pge.com rla40pge.com Barb.Coughlin@PacifiCorp.com Marisa.Decristoforo@PacifiCorp.com michelle.mishoe@pacificorp.com TNF@cpuc.ca.gov atr@cpuc.ca.gov bmd@cpuc.ca.gov dlf@cpuc.ca.gov kwz@cpuc.ca.gov

lwt@cpuc.ca.gov
mjd@cpuc.ca.gov
zca@cpuc.ca.gov

Executed on September 15, 2010, in Boston, Massachusetts.

/S/

Darlene R. Wong Staff Attorney

National Consumer Law Center 7 Winthrop Square, 4th Floor Boston, MA 02110-1245 (617) 542-8010 (phone) darlenewong@nclc.org