

From: Ramaiya, Shilpa R  
Sent: 9/16/2010 5:28:45 AM  
To: don.arambula@sce.com (don.arambula@sce.com); Besa, Athena (ABesa@semprautilities.com); 'Baker, Simon' (simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc: Fogel, Cathleen A. (cathleen.fogel@cpuc.ca.gov); Clinton, Jeanne (jeanne.clinton@cpuc.ca.gov); Osman, Ayat E. (ayat.osman@cpuc.ca.gov); Tapawan-Conway, Zenaída G. (zenaida.tapawan-conway@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
[Redacted] 'Michelle.Thomas@sce.com'  
(Michelle.Thomas@sce.com)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Transmittal of Preliminary ED-proposed PPMs to IOUs for feedback

Simon,

We look forward to reviewing this before Monday's meeting. I can see a lot of time has been put into this document.

I expect one of the first questions I will receive is regarding baseline studies. I would expect funding for these studies would come from EM&V and that the study needs to be done under ED's EM&V approval and protocols. Is that your understanding as well?

I apologize if this question is answered somewhere in the document.

Thanks.  
Shilpa

**From:** Baker, Simon [mailto:simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2010 1:10 AM  
**To:** Besa, Athena; Don.Arambula@sce.com; Ramaiya, Shilpa R  
**Cc:** Clinton, Jeanne; Tapawan-Conway, Zenaída G.; Fogel, Cathleen A.; Osman, Ayat E.  
**Subject:** Transmittal of Preliminary ED-proposed PPMs to IOUs for feedback  
**Importance:** High

Hi All,

Attached please find two documents that Energy Division prepared in advance of Mon-Tue meetings to discuss PPMs. We apologize for the delay and for not getting this to you sooner. This has been a monumental task!

- 1. Transmittal memo** - This provides context for the accompanying document with ED-proposed PPMs
- 2. Preliminary ED-proposed PPMs and MT Indicators** - This is the complete set of PPMs that ED staff have developed. You will note that it is now in Word format rather than Excel. We made slight modifications to the PPM template that the IOUs used in their filing, but I think you will find the revised version substantially consistent. You will also see that the document still needs some refinements, so

we welcome your feedback on any errors, inconsistencies, ambiguities, redundancies, etc. Please also refer to the Table of Contents and Color Coding / Categorization of Metrics to assist in your review.

We look forward to our discussions next week!

Best,  
Simon