

PPM IOU/ED Meeting
September 20/21, 2010 9:30 am - 5:30pm

AGENDA

A. Opening Remarks (15 min, ED staff)

1. Where we are in the process

i. This is the beginning of a process to further refine and determine which PPMs and MT indicators are most critical.

2. Clarifications on potential use of PPMs for RRIM

i. This process of identifying Commission-adopted PPMs pursuant to D.09-09-047 does not prejudice the outcome of the 2010-2012 RRIM decision in R.09-01-019. If that decision adopts a RRIM structure tied to PPMs, then the Commission would need to consider which specific metrics that may be appropriate for RRIM. It would be Energy Division's recommendation that any final adopted PPMs in the resolution NOT be considered for adoption "whole cloth" into any RRIM decision.

3. Clarification on relevance of program logic models to today's discussion

i. Where relevant, ED staff used the LMs as an input to their development of proposed PPMs. The AL filing was incomplete with regard to revised LMs ordered by D.09-09-047. ED staff is not recommending that the resolution adopt revised LMs. LMs are not a focal point of today's conversation

4. Structure of meeting and ground rules

i. ED staff to facilitate

ii. Notetaking (by ED or IOU staff) and real-time edits/comments in PPM document. Note consensus and/or points of disagreement, as necessary to keep agenda moving

5. Meeting outcome and next steps

i. Meeting deliverable is a redline/comment version of PPM doc

ii. IOUs will caucus and submit to ED a single redlined/comment copy of PPM doc (if necessary) by COB Wed 9/29

B. Discussion of Various PPMs and MT indicators

1. Specific types of feedback sought:

i. Are these appropriate metrics that reflect current program objectives?

ii. How costly are specific metrics to implement? (e.g., are data systems already in place, or would new systems need to be developed?)

iii. Is the reporting frequency (i.e., 2A, 2B) appropriate?

iv. Is the information about need for and availability of baselines accurate?

v. What further refinements to verbiage are needed to clarify any ambiguities?

vi. Fill in specific quantitative objectives (i.e., x=?) as time allows.

2. In priority order for discussion (given time limitations): (1) PPMs and associated objectives, (2) MT indicators and associated objectives, (3) objectives having no associated metrics or MT indicators