

From: Ramaiya, Shilpa R
Sent: 9/30/2010 6:00:29 PM
To: Baker, Simon (simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Program Cycle Extension

Thanks for the response, Simon.

Shilpa

From: Baker, Simon [mailto:simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2010 4:54 PM
To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R; Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G.
Cc: ABesa@semprautilities.com; Don.Arambula@sce.com; [Redacted] Clinton, Jeanne
Subject: Re: Program Cycle Extension

Shilpa,

We will be issuing a staff proposal by ACR in late Oct proposing a 2013 bridge year, and giving parties an opportunity to comment. The Assigned Commissioner has indicated her support for this approach.

The PD that was just released did not surface any new thinking about portfolio timeframe, so therefore it refers to 2013-2015 as the default timeframe.

Best,
Simon

From: Ramaiya, Shilpa R
To: Baker, Simon; Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G.
Cc: [Redacted]; Don.Arambula@sce.com; [Redacted]
Sent: Thu Sep 30 13:52:35 2010
Subject: Program Cycle Extension

Simon, Zeny,

On the last ED/IOU call, you mentioned that the CPUC was considering extending the current cycle to 4 years (2010-2013). Given the recent EM&V PD that just came out Tuesday and discussion of the 2013-2015 planning that will start soon, is the CPUC still considering the 4-year program cycle?

Just wondering if there had been a decision. We're also starting to gear up our planning efforts for the next cycle since we'll have to file mid-next year.

Thanks.

Shilpa