

From: Dietz, Sidney  
Sent: 9/15/2010 3:34:05 PM  
To: 'matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov' (matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: PG&E's Energy Efficiency Savings in 2009

Hmmmmm, I'm out of pocket but will get back to you soon.

yours,

sid

-----  
Do I seem terse? Blame the thumb keyboard.

---

**From:** Tisdale, Matthew <matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov>  
**To:** Dietz, Sidney  
**Sent:** Wed Sep 15 15:02:47 2010  
**Subject:** RE: PG&E's Energy Efficiency Savings in 2009

Sid,

I have not seen PG&E's response to this inquiry.  
Perhaps it slipped through my email cracks? Can we touch base to discuss tomorrow or Friday?

**From:** Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 04, 2010 6:06 PM  
**To:** Tisdale,  
Matthew  
**Subject:** Re: PG&E's Energy Efficiency Savings in 2009

Matthew --

Thanks for the  
note, we will get back to you soon.

yours,

sid

-----  
Do I seem terse? Blame the thumb  
keyboard.

---

**From:** Tisdale, Matthew  
<matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov>  
**To:** Dietz, Sidney  
**Sent:** Wed Aug 04 17:32:58 2010  
**Subject:** PG&E's Energy  
Efficiency Savings in 2009

Hi  
Sid,

I asked staff to put  
together the attached comparison of PG&E's reported savings for 2009  
compared to 2008. I read the comparison to say PG&E's reported  
energy savings in 2009 were 56% of its 2008 savings. This analysis got  
Commissioner Grueneich's attention in a big way. Could that be right? Will you  
have your staff take a look and follow up. If the analysis is right, what the  
drivers?

Thank  
you,

Matthew