

From: Middlekauff, Charles (Law
Sent: 9/7/2010 12:42:32 PM
To: joc@cpuc.ca.gov (joc@cpuc.ca.gov); mflorio@turn.org (mflorio@turn.org); [Redacted]
[Redacted]; Gray, Jeffrey
(JeffreyGray@dwt.com); Marc D. Joseph (mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com)
Cc: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);
Monardi, Marino (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=M3Mt); [Redacted]
[Redacted]

Bcc:

Subject: D.10-09-004 -- CARE Application for Rehearing re Russell City

Jeff, et al.:

I am sure by now that all of you have seen the Application for Rehearing filed by CARE of D.10-09-004 approving the Second Amended PPA for Russell City. A response is due within 15 days, although not required. It is a little unclear as to the procedural status because in the case of multiple applications for rehearing, the 15 days runs from the last day an application for rehearing is filed. In this case, the last date to file is October 4, but by that time our 15 days to comment on CARE's application will have already run. To be safe, I think we ought to go ahead and file a response to CARE's application within the 15 day requirement, which by my calendar would mean filing a response on Monday, September 20.

[Redacted] is out of the office on vacation until September 14, so Jeff I was hoping you could do the drafting on a response to CARE's application -- does that work for you? Just wanted to confirm.
Thanks!

Charles