

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 9/15/2010 3:58:50 PM
To: Stepanian, Raffy (raffy.stepanian@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Stock, William (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=WCS3);
Halligan, Julie (julie.halligan@cpuc.ca.gov); Clark, Richard W.
(richard.clark@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Request for Data

Raffy

I have had a team of engineers working on your Data Request all day. Unfortunately, despite our best efforts, we will not be able to meet the proposed deadline. By now, I assume that you have heard from Sunil Shori about that, this afternoon, the NTSB investigators requested additional answers on a very short schedule. Our NTSB team lead, Bob Fassett, has made the call that we need to focus all available resources in responding to the immediate NTSB requests.

Up to that point, I have had the engineers working on three things in response to your data request (1) An updated "Top 100" list that shows the current status of the various segments (e.g., what segments were completed in 2009, what are scheduled this year, etc.), (2) A description of the individual improvement projects including what line segments are included, what conditions are to be addressed (e.g., seismicity, water crossings, etc.) and what work is planned (e.g., relocation, reconstruction, reducing pressure, etc.) and the planned schedule, and (3) a series of maps showing the location of each project (rather than simply a line-mile designation).

Again, I trust that you will understand the need to focus on NTSB work first. I am committed to provide an updated estimate of our completion date by tomorrow noon and to complete your data request as soon as possible.

Thanks, [Redacted]
[Redacted]

From: Stepanian, Raffy [mailto:raffy.stepanian@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2010 4:15 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Stock, William; Clark, Richard W.; Halligan, Julie
Subject: Request for Data

[Redac],

As we discussed on the phone this afternoon, please provide me, within 24 hours, a list of the "top 100 highest risk line sections in the 2007 Risk Calc" (as referenced in the justification for the replacement of 7481 feet of transmission line 132 with 24" pipe).

Thanks.