Residential Statewide Program

	Mission	IOU Comments
SW Program: Residential	Residential Energy Efficiency Program (REEP) is	
	designed to offer and promote specific and	
	comprehensive energy solutions within the residential	
	retrofit and lighting markets. The residential customer	
	base of California IOUs constitute one of the largest and	
	most challenging groups of electricity consumers in the	
	nation due to its diversity, complexity and size. The	
	residential energy efficiency portfolio has been	
	developed to deliver a wide array of programs and	
	services to increase awareness of energy efficiency and	
	to provide relevant energy efficient solutions. The	
	residential program portfolio is designed to affect up-	
	stream manufacturers, mid-stream retailers and	
	channels, market actors and contractors, home-	
	owners, tenants, and property-owners and managers	
	with the goals to influence their short-term to long-	
	term EE awareness, knowledge, attitude and behavior.	

CA EESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW Program:	CA EESP

	Ref. pp. #
See subprograms.	

Short-term (2010-2012) "SMART" Program Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comments
None proposed at program level. (See subprogram level.)		IOUs recommend adding the following objectives for the Residential EE Program Portfolio: Objective-1: Starting 2010, for each program cycle to increase or maintain program targets' (defined as homeowners, renters, property owners/managers) EE awareness, knowledge and attitude (AK increase program participation behavior from prior program c baseline. If no baseline exists for 2006-2008, a baseline for 20 2012 will be created. For sub-program message-specific AKA-(behavior) objectives, please refer to specific sub-programs be IOU Rationale: This is in agreement with the discussion in the session. The decision was to move the EE AKA-related objectivand metrics to the residential sub-program portfolio level. Sin there are general EE AKA objectives and sub-program/messag specific AKA objectives, the IOUs recommend setting general objectives and PPMs at the portfolio level. For sub-program-specific AKA objectives, such as level of AKA for recycling beneplease refer to the specific sub-programs below.
	2.	

Short-term Program PPMs:	Source (SP,	Metric	Baseline	IOU Comments

	AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Type (2a or 2b)**	Study Required (Y/N)	
None proposed at program level. (See subprogram level.)				IOUs propose adding PPM-1: (For Objective-1)
				By targeted populations (homeowners, renters, property owners/managers): PPM-1a: % increase in level of EE awareness, PPM-1b: % increase in level of EE knowledge, PPM-1c: % increase in level of EE attitude,
				To effectively measure the above three-part PPM list of questions to discern AKA must be agreed to statewide.

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

^{**}Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

Long-Term (2013-2020) "SMART" Program Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comments
 Objective 1: Energy consumption in existing homes will be reduced by 20% by 2015 and 40% by 2020; 25% of existing SF homes have a 70% decrease in purchased energy from 2008 levels 75% of existing SF homes have a 30% decrease in purchased energy from 2008 levels 100% of existing multi-family homes have a 40% decrease in purchased energy from 2008 levels 	SP	ОК.
		IOUs propose adding Objective-2 below: Starting 2010, for each program cycle, increa or maintain program targets' (defined as homeowners, renters, property owners/managers) AKA-B, concerning self-

ascription of responsibility, green/smart-livin and environmental issues. Use 2010-2012 to
create baselines.
IOUs recommend adding the following
Objective-3 from ARP to REEP:
Starting 2012, Increase or maintain the level
California program portfolio's IDSM services
each program cycle. (directional objective)

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

Long-Term MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (3)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	IOU Commen
MT Indicator 2: Average energy use/ft2 in existing homes (kwh, therms, KW)	SP	3	Y (may already be available)	(MT-2 has no objective) This MT indicator must be clear be measurable. At a minimum, separate single family homes from complexes.
MT Indicator 3: Percentage and number of homes where the purchased energy is reduced by 20%, 40% or 70% by 2013, 2017 and 2020 from 2008 baseline	SP	3	Y (may already be available)	(For Objective-1) IOU Comment Perhaps consider establishing to baselines: • Using billing analysis to accounts (single/master achieved 10%, 20%, 30%

of purchased energy by comparison between 20 In 2010, survey these he out how they were able purchased energy reduction important so program do house and whole-apartrobe better informed by do lious' assessment of priority — H
IOUs propose adding MT-4s bel Objective-2:
By targeted population (homeouproperty owners/managers): • MT-4a: % increase in levascription of responsibil • MT4b: % increase in levaliving • MT4c: % increase in levalivin
and a metric table for ED's cons
IOUs' assessment of priority — I
IOUs recommend adding MT-5- Objective-3 above:
% of programs classified as cool

	(Pall)	programs relative to the entire C
		nortfolio
		portions.

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

^{**}Metric type: 3 = data collection, tracking, and reporting [by IOUs, CPUC staff, and/or other entities] to be determined later.

Residential - Home Energy Efficiency Survey -

Comments will be provided by IDSM Team

	Mission	IOU Comments
SW Program: Residential	This program is a continuation of the existing statewide Home	
SIA/ Sub-programs Home France.	Energy Efficiency Survey (HEES) program within the Residential	
SW <u>Sub</u> -program: Home Energy	energy efficiency portfolio. The program provides customers	
Efficiency Survey (HEES)	with information to help them become familiar with ways to	
	control and reduce energy and water usage in their homes by	
	offering customers up to four options (mail-in survey, on-line	
	survey, phone survey, and in-home survey) in multiple languages	
	(English, Spanish, Vietnamese, Chinese and Korean) including an	
	action plan for implementation. The program also provides	
	survey results to enable participants to understand how their	
	energy use varies throughout the year and how their household	
	compares with similar households. This multi-language	
	approach enhances the program's ability to reach California's	
	diverse culture and provides efficiency recommendations based	
	on a whole-house system approach.	

CA EESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW <u>Sub-program</u> :	CA EESP	IOU
	Ref. pp.#	
Goal 2.1: 25% of existing homes have a 70% decrease in purchased energy from 2008 levels; 75% of existing homes have a 30% decrease in purchased energy from 2008 levels.	p. 19	
CA EE SP Goal 2: Home buyers, owners and renovators will implement a whole-house approach to energy consumption that will guide their purchase and use of existing and new homes, home equipment (e.g., HVAC systems), household appliances, lighting, and "plug load" amenities.	p. 11	
<u>CA EE SP Goal 8.3</u> – DSM Coordination and Implementation – Deliver integrated DSM options that include energy efficiency,	p. 72	

demand response, energy management and self-generation measures, through coordinated marketing and regulatory integration. The HEES program will seek partnerships with local water agencies, municipals and other key stakeholders to develop and implement a comprehensive plan to promote water conservation. Further integration strategies will also include DSM (CSI, SDP, Peak Demand, etc.), LIEE and energy efficiency programs.		
CA EE SP Goal 9.2 — Workforce, Education and Training. Ensure that minority, low-income and disadvantage communities fully participate in training and education programs at all levels of the DSM and energy efficiency industry. For IOUs offering in-home surveys, the HEES in-home survey team will be comprised of a contracted (and in some cases utility staff) workforce who will be trained in areas of energy conservation and technologies towards an increased knowledge base of demand-side management and energy efficiency. A comprehensive training curriculum will be implemented to formalize the knowledge base of the survey force. This strategy falls in line with a goal of the WE&T Strategic Plan intended to ensure that minority, low-income and disadvantage individuals fully participate in training and education programs at all levels of demand-side management and energy efficiency.	p. 78	

hort-term (20	10-2012) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU
1. Achieve	annual survey targets as idetified in PIPs. (If not specified in PIPs, IOUs will provide.)	Staff	
	increase HEES program participation among low-income and minority communities by X and vice versa (?). Central objective or not?	Staff	
•	increase understanding of energy efficiency among program participants by X percent to 2006-2008 baseline	Staff	
•	, X% of HEES participants subsequently participate in 1 or more additional programs (e.g. louse Retrofit, LIEE, ARP, o	Staff	
•	, develop a comprehensive plan to promote water conservation in partnership with local rencies, municipals and other key stakeholders	PIP (appended to SP Goal 8.3, as	

Short-term <u>Sub-program</u> PPMs:			Baseline Study	IOU
	or Staff)*	(2a or 2b)**	Required (Y/N)	
1. Percentage of HEES participants with increased AKA of energy efficiency	Staff	2b	Υ	
2. Percentage of HEES participants that;	Staff	2b	N	
a) enroll in another EE program (ie, use incentives); change (IOUs requested to list				

programs where this could be tracked – or not). b) implement a HEES recommendation via behavior PG&E IDSM tool the "Progressive Energy Audit Tool", when developed, will/SHOULD track this and will REPLACE HEES (we think; EM&V study is evaluating "evalualability" of PEAT). Data to track this through HEES may be limited/unavailable. Needed data is customer account number. 3. For the 2b approach, customer interviews should parse out motivation for implementing a recommendation from the audit- was it the audit or some other input.		Suggestion b would be 2b		
More discussion on measurement method needed. Percentage of HEES participants who are members of low-income or minority communities relative to a 2010 baseline. SCE/Sempra use HEES to identify	Staff	2a	Υ	7/2 2/2
LI/minority households and to route to LIEE/CARE. More relevant as metric for LIEE program? Consider deleting? Relevant issue is to ensure that all IOU HEES programs have functionality to route LIEE-CARE qualified customers to those programs.				

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

**Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

Long-Term (2013-2020) "SMART" Sub-program Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*
None proposed.	

Long-Term Sub-program MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP,	Metric Type	Baseline Study
	or Staff)*	(3)**	Required (Y/N)
None proposed.			

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

**Metric type: 3 = data collection, tracking, and reporting [by IOUs, CPUC staff, and/or other entities] to be determined later.

Residential - Basic CFL Subprogram

	Mission	IOU Comments
SW Program: Residential	The Residential Lighting Incentive Program that has run	
	successfully for several years has been separated into two	
SW <u>Sub</u> -program:	programs: the Basic CFL program, and the Advanced	IOUs would like to make the following clarification on the n
D . CEL .	Consumer Lighting Products program. The basic lighting	statement:
Basic CFL subprogram	program aims to increase consumer purchase and installation	(4) D (); () () () ()
	of basic medium screw base (MSB) CFLs in homes (and	(1) Definition of Basic CFLs: Less than 30 Watts, bare spira
	businesses). It does this by rebating MSB bulbs sold by major	dimmable ONLY
	manufacturers in California and enrolling retail outlets to sell	(1) This program will continue to offer appropriate market
	the discounted bulbs.	to support program objectives.

CA EESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW <u>Sub-program</u> :	CA EESP
	Ref. pp. #
Goal 4 (residential): The residential lighting industry will undergo substantial transformation through the deployment of high-efficiency	p. 11
and high-performance lighting technologies, supported by state and national codes and standards.	
Strategy 4-1: Drive continual advances in lighting technology through research programs and competitions (near-term objectives)	p. 24
Strategy 4-3: Continuously strengthen standards. (near-term objectives)"	p. 24
Strategy 4-4: Coordinated phase out of Utility promotions for purchase of CFLs. (near-term objectives)	p. 24
Strategy 4-5: Ensure environmental safety of CFLs and other emerging lighting solutions. (near-term objectives)	p. 24
Goal 3 (commercial): The commercial lighting industry will undergo substantial transformation through the deployment of high-efficiency	
and high-performance lighting technologies, spurred by state, national codes and standards and leading-edge incentive strategies.	
Strategy 3-2: Create demand for improved lighting products through demonstration projects, marketing efforts, and utility programs.	
(near-term objectives)	p. 41
Strategy 3-3: Coordinated phase out of Utility promotions for purchase of CFLs. (near-term objectives)	
	p. 41
Note: CA EESP Goals/Strategies section needs to be updated to final adopted Strategic Lighting Plan	

Short-term (2010-2012) "SMART" Sub-program Objectives:	Source (SP,	IOU Comments
	AL, DR, PIP, or	
	Staff)*	

Objective 1: Achieve annual savings/product targets (add by IOU)	PIP	IOUs propose modifying Objective-1 to the follo
the quantitative program targets (increase participating retailer locations 10% annually; and, increase products sold less than 30 watts 10% annually).		By 2012, achieve activity targets specified in the specified by each IOU. (1) For SCE, see table 5, page 45 of PIP, (2) PG&E, see PG&E activity target PIP filing (3) For SDG&E, see SDG&E activity target fi
Alternate Objective 2: Taking into account NTG ratios, optimize and diversify retailer participation by channel and location in order to increase rebated basic CFL availability for the whole market (by 2012 as compared to 2008 channels/locations) Objective 2: Increase the number of participating retailer locations in lower-moderate income neighborhoods (excluding LIEE targets) by X% relative to 2008 levels. "Low income" from PIP- IOU to do: define. Have 2008 data by retail channel, not low-income neighborhood. 2008 census as data source. Do not target by demographics (SCE). Alternate Objective: Increase (optimize?) the number of participating "discount stores" (would need to be defined by IOUs) Consider quality of bulbs within program; add possible metric. Discussion on raising quality level of products.		IOUs propose modifying Objective-2 to the followard optimizing program net-to-gross ratios and designate increase distribution channels that better serve income and hard-to-reach populations. ("Optimizing" is not measureable but reportable IOU Rationale: Our goal is to maintain and/or increases program activities in lower income and to-reach populations. (directional goal)
		IOUs propose adding Objective-3 below: During 2010-2012, demonstrate increase in pro of advanced lighting program activities (i.e., reb level, kW, kWh) relative to Basic CFLs while opti energy savings and net-to-gross goals. (IOU specificational goal) ("Optimizing" is not measureable but reportable IOU Comment: This objective may be subject to interactive therm effects and limitations.

Short-term <u>Sub-program</u> PPMs:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (2a or 2b)**	Baseli ne Study Requir ed (Y/N)	
PPM 1: Basic CFL: Implement marketing campaign to "install CFLs immediately" or "shelves to sockets" campaign by (date?) as required in D-09-09-047 (Y/N) IOU to do: discuss and agree on specifics; brief ED on plan at monthly meetings and/or share updated plan with ED. Deleted previous	AL	2b	N	IOUs propose modifying PPM-1 to the (matches no objective above, but is a F During 2010-2012, implement marketi and/or campaign to encourage prompt of CFLs as required in D-09-09-047 (Y/F) (2b metric)
delete	Staff	2b	Y	IOUs propose modifying PPM-2 to the (For Objective-2) % of products incented under the Basic by distribution channel (2a metric) delete
PPM 3: Number of retailer locations participating in program, including those in low-income neighborhoods Alternate PPM: Channel type and diversity of location of participating retailers	PIP, Staff	2a	N	IOUs propose modifying PPM-3 to the (For Objective-3) % kW/kWh/Qty of incented products under the CFL program as compared to the Advance of

PPM 4: Number of incented products less than 30 Watts sold in participant retail stores	PIP, Staff 2a	Y	IOUs propose deleting PPM-4.
			IOU rationale: This PPM can be rolled
			3.

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

^{**}Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

Long-Term (2013-2020) "SMART" Sub-program Objectives:	Source (SP,	IOU Comments
	AL, DR,	
	PIP, or	
	Staff)*	
Objective 1:Work with retailers to achieve y% reduction in non-discounted basic CFLs price above 2008-09 levels by 2015.	Staff	OK, but this objective should apply to industry for non-IOU-incented produc
Objective 2: Achieve 60% saturation of the eligible medium screw base (MSB) market in California by the end of Relocate to Advanced Lighting Program/combine with objectives there. Modify to "increasing" saturation? Should be "efficient lighting," not specific to MSB	PIP, Staff	IOUs propose modifying Objective-2 to following: By 2020 60% of "general service" light California will be high-efficiency lighting Definition of "general service": non-spron-exempted

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

Long-Term Sub-program MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (3)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	
MT Indicator 1: Number of basic CFLs sold annually in California	(note: for Staff	3	N	IOUs propose modifying MT-1 to the fol
entire market, not IOU-rebated CFLs)			100 mg	(For Objective-2)

				# of basic CFLs sold annually in the Califo market IOUs' recommended priority: High
MT Indicator 2: Market share of efficient and inefficient lighting (needs defining- align now with advanced lighting definition and revise over time?) Challenge getting data; could also look into collaboration with CEE to get data). Efficacy of lamps and sales data as considerations.	Staff	3		IOUs propose modifying MT-2 to the foll (For Objective-2) Market share of "general service" lightin high efficiency lighting IOUs' recommended priority: High
MT Indicator 3: Price of non-discounted MSB CFLs	Staff	3	N	IOUs recommend deleting MT-3.
				IOU Rationale: A delta change in price is secondary indicator to changes in satura We should focus our resources on prope measurement of saturation in the availal sockets.

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

^{**}Metric type: 3 = data collection, tracking, and reporting [by IOUs, CPUC staff, and/or other entities] to be determined later.

Residential - Advanced Consumer Lighting Subprogram

	Mission	IOU Comments
SW Program: Residential	The Advanced Consumer Lighting Program: The Residential Lighting	
SW <u>Sub</u> -program:	iseparated fillo two programs. The pasic CFL program, and the	Definition: Basic lighting is defined as less than 30
Advanced Consumer Lighting Program	Advanced Consumer Lighting Products program. The Advanced	non-dimmable products, ONLY. Advanced Lighting all else.
	up-stream incentives in the form of buy-downs that reduce the cost of energy-efficient lighting products. The program introduces new and	
	advanced energy-efficient lighting products to the market and strives to influence future purchasing behaviors of customers. The definition	
	of advanced lighting is all lighting products excluding bare spiral bulbs of 30 watts or less bare spiral CFLs, non-dimmable.	

CA EESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW <u>Sub-program</u> :	CA EESP
	Ref. pp.#
Goal 4 (residential): The residential lighting industry will undergo substantial transformation through the deployment of high-	p. 11
efficiency and high-performance lighting technologies, supported by state and national codes and standards. Strategy 4-1: Drive	
continual advances in lighting technology through research programs and competitions (near-term objectives)	p. 24
Strategy 4-2: Create demand for improved lighting products through demonstration projects, marketing efforts, and utility	
programs. (near-term objectives)	p. 24
Strategy 4-3: Continuously strengthen standards. (near-term objectives)"	
Strategy 4-4: Coordinated phase out of Utility promotions for purchase of CFLs. (near-term objectives)	p. 24
Strategy 4-5: Ensure environmental safety of CFLs and other emerging lighting solutions. (near-term objectives)	p. 24
Goal 3 (commercial): The commercial lighting industry will undergo substantial transformation through the deployment of high-	p. 24
efficiency and high-performance lighting technologies, spurred by state, national codes and standards and leading-edge incentive	
strategies.	
Strategy 3-1: Drive continual advances in lighting technology through research programs and competitions (near-term objectives)	p. 31
Strategy 3-2: Create demand for improved lighting products through demonstration projects, marketing efforts, and utility	
programs. (near-term objectives)	p. 41
Strategy 3-3: Coordinated phase out of Utility promotions for purchase of CFLs. (near-term objectives)	
	p. 41
Note: CA EESP Goals/Strategies section needs to be updated to final adopted Strategic Lighting Plan	

Short-term (2010-2012) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comments
Objective 1: Increase percentage of advanced lighting fixtures (see list in PIP) incented by IOUs as compared to all lighting products incented by IOUs from yy% in 2010 to xx% in 2012 (Note: Don, this seems to be the main objective that the program is trying to achieve, maybe focus on the metrics for this).	PIP, D0909047	IOUs propose modifying Objective-1 to the followin During 2010-2012, increase lighting program result that are attributed to advanced lighting program activities (i.e., rebate level, kW, kWh) as compared 2006-2008, while optimizing energy savings. IOU Comment: This objective may be subject to interactive therm effects and limitations.
Objective 2: Increase participation in advanced lighting incentive program among retailers and manufacturers by XX% and YY% by 2012 respectively as compared to 2010 participation levels. Taking into account the need to maintain high NGT ratios, optimize the availability of incented advanced lighting products in retail outlets across the state (IOU service territories). IOUs to do: identify "buckets" of types of retail outlets for which to track participation in program. Reflect in PPM. (Note: Don Arambula thinks this shouldn't be a PPM, Rob Rubin thinks optimizing is key).	AL, PIP	IOUs propose modifying Objective-2 to the following By 2012, Enhance distribution channel mix while optimizing between program net-to-gross ratios and increasing distribution channels that better serve to income and hard-to-reach populations. IOU Comment: Our goal is to maintain and/or increprogram activities in lower income and hard-to-reach populations. ("Optimizing" is not measureable but reportable). (directional goal)
Objective 3: Increase annually via the Plug-in Lamp Exchange Program the number (by location) of customer exchange events of incandescent table, desk and floor lamps for efficient lamps (IOU-incented), for LED light strings during holiday season	PIP	IOUs propose deleting Objective-3. IOU rationale: This objective does not support DEE energy savings assumptions or targets.

Objective 4: Via the Lighting Showroom Store Outreach program, increase customer	PIP	IOUs recommend deleting Objective-4.
purchase of efficient lighting products over 1,100 lumens by xx% by 2012 against 2009		
baseline.		IOU rationale: This objective does not support DEE
		energy savings assumptions or targets.

Short-term <u>Sub-program</u> PPMs:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (2a or 2b)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	IOU Comments
PPM 1: Number/percentage of advanced lamps/products incented under IOU programs as compared to basic CFLs incented by IOUs (3 buckets of IOU incented lighting: basic, advanced, and through other programs such as t8s, Hid, etc.) (Note, Nate, PG&E: advanced fixtures are less cost-effective to incent than basics, so incenting more of them will lower the program's cost-effectiveness)	PIP, D0909047	2a	N	IOUs propose modifying PPM-1 to the following: (For Objective-1) % kW/kWh/Qty of incented products un Advanced Lighting program as compared Basic Lighting program. (2a metric)
PPM 2: Number and percentage of retailers (broken out by retailer "buckets") located in IOU service territories participating in the program; PPM 2a) Percentage of Big Box retail locations of participating Big Box retailers offering incented products	PIP, Staff PIP, Staff	2a 2a	N N	IOUs propose modifying PPM-2 to the following: (For Objective-2) % of products incented under the Advan Lighting Program by distribution channel (2a metric)
PPM 3: Number of manufacturers/percentage of manufacturers selling to California market participating in upstream portion of program	PIP, Staff	2a	N	IOUs propose deleting PPM-3. IOU rationale: This PPM does not suppo objectives above.
PPM 4: Number of products per exchange event for lamps and LED holiday lights held as a result of the Plug-in Lamp Exchange Program (Note, Rich, SCE: concern about growing market share of LED holiday lights growing	PIP, Staff	2a		IOUs propose deleting PPM-4.

during the cycle so IOU participation would decline)				
IOUs concur best to remove since not a significant PPM	PIP, Staff	2a	N	IOUs propose deleting PPM-5.
PPM 6: List of new measures/technologies adopted by the program, as a result of LMT & ETP's efforts (Combine this with LMT PPMs and remove from advanced)	PIPs, Staff, DR (?)	2a	N	IOUs propose moving PPM-6 to LMT.

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

^{**}Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

Long-Term (2013-2020) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comments
Objective 1: Increase sales of LED products xx% by 2015 against 2010 baseline based on number of fixtures	PIP, Staff	IOUs propose modifying Objective-1 to the follow By 2015, double the sales of LED products in the state California, over 2010 baseline.
Objective 2: California Super-CFL program- Increase sales of small, tapered and dimmable CFLs over 2010 baseline by ZZ% by 2015	PIP, Staff	IOUs propose modifying Objective-2 to the follow By 2015, double the sales of small, tapered and dimmable CFLs over 2010 baseline.
Objective 3: Decrease the lighting power density of all residential and commercial lighting applications by XX% and YY% respectively by 2015 over 2010 baseline (enhanced RASS survey needed to get at multiple measures over multiple years, since CLASS and RASS studies don't include these measures would need to start a baseline for this)	SP- Strategic Lighting Plan	By 2015, reduce the lighting power density for the average commercial application from the 2010 lev meet the Strategic Plan Lighting Chapter. IOU Rationale: Residential market sector currently not use lighting power density as a metric.

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

Long-Term Sub-program MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (3)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	IOU Comments
MT Indicator 1: The average lighting power density of residential and commercial lighting applications	SP, Strategic Lighting Plan, Staff	3		OK, (For Objective-3) IOU recommends changing MT-1 to the fo The average lighting power density of com lighting applications. IOU Comment: Residential market sector of
				does not use lighting power density as a m IOU recommended priority: High

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

^{**}Metric type: 3 = data collection, tracking, and reporting [by IOUs, CPUC staff, and/or other entities] to be determined later.

Residential - Appliance Recycling Subprogram

	Mission	IOU Comments
SW Program: Residential	The Appliance Recycling program is well established, having been	
SW <u>Sub</u> -program: Appliance Recycling	Lasii iileiilive ioi recvaliig liieli oberaliig reliigeralois oi rieezers.	IOU Comment: During our joint IOU/ED discussion of 9/20/2010, Mikhail Haramati (MKH) of ED requeste "older" appliances and "inefficient" appliances sinc in the mission statement. The following backgroun clarify the IOU position on providing such definition
		(1) We believe ARP is part of the strategy to reduce purchase for the state of California as part of the Whole Apartment strategy. In addition, ARP costrategy to support appliance early replacement
		(2) ARP is designed to remove older and less efficient freezers from use. The program is designed and energy savings derived from appliances collected (see the latest HIM study results) and is very compositive TRC, calculated using standard procedude finition of "older" and "inefficient" is not improgram is justified under standard energy efficients.
		(3) Appliance degradation is a real phenomenon. I materials are subject to deterioration such as h becoming less pliable thus reducing the efficien despite appliance efficiency rating improvemen This is also demonstrated by the DOE efficiency (source: EM&V Study of 2004-05 Statewide Reside Recycling Program, Final Report).
		In conclusion, IOUS would like to continue operatin program for the following reasons:

	It is a required action to meet deep energy
	CALTEESP,
	It is complementary to the appliance early in the second control of the appliance early in the second control of the second con
	The program is cost-effective.

CA EESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW <u>Sub-program</u> :	CA EESP Ref. pp.#
Goal 2 (residential): Home buyers, owners and renovators will implement a whole-house approach to energy consumption that will guide their purchase and use of existing and new homes, home equipment (e.g., HVAC systems), household appliances, lighting, and "plug load" amenities.	p. 11
Strategy 2-2: Promote effective decision-making to create widespread demand for energy efficiency measures	p. 20
Strategy 2-3: Manage research into new/advanced cost-effective innovations to reduce energy use in existing homes	p. 20

Short-term (2010-2012) "SMART" Sub-program Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comments		
Objective 1: Capture cost-effective energy savings and demand response opportunities for the benefit of all Californians. MKH: #1, 2 and 3 are not quantifiable/SMART.	AL	IOUs recommend moving this overarching 1 to the statewide residential EE portfolio (IOU Rationale: we are not able to find this in the ARP-specific PIP/AL		
Objective 2: Promote support of and compliance with more stringent appliance and building standards.	AL	IOUs recommend moving this overarching 2 to the statewide residential EE portfolio (IOU Rationale: we are unable to find this of the ARP specific PIP/AL.		
Objective 3: Develop public awareness and promote effective decision-making to create widespread demand for high efficiency measures.	AL	IOUs recommend moving this Objective-3 t REEP portfolio. IOU Rationale: We believe general EE AKA s a portfolio objective for all programs.		

_		
Objective 4: Increase consumer awareness and knowledge of and attitudes towards the need to recycle old appliances by X% (from 2008 levels) by 2012 CC: will need baseline. Proposes that we use 2010 as baseline year. Two issues: general ee knowledge across all programs and need to recycle. Some people might not see the need, IOUs will at least educate them of the benefits of doing so. LM: is this two separate metrics: education/awareness component and a metric that measures action among educated customers?	Staff	IOUs propose modifying Objective-4 to foll By 2012, the program will increase and/or AKA towards the need to recycle refrigerators/freezers among homeowners renters by 5% from 2010 baseline levels. (2 IOU Rationale: Same comments as above reconsistent measurement and the level of e AKA. SCE's 2006-2008 process evaluation is that program awareness among disposers improved from 58% (2004-2005) to 70% (2 2008), so the recommended 5% improvem reasonable. The baseline data is necessary other IOUs may not have baseline data from 2008 program cycle.
Objective 5: By 2012, decrease by X% saturation levels of "inefficient, older refrigerators and freezers" (as defined by the program) in IOU customer homes from 2008 levels MKH: IOU feedback requested on definition of "inefficiency, older refrigerators and freezers", which is used in the PIPs. IOUs will do this. CC: will need baseline. Propose using 2010 as baseline year.	Staff	IOUs propose modifying Objective-5 to foll By 2012, meet program activity targets spethe PIP. For SCE, the three-year expected produme is 210,000 units (refrigerators and only). This data will be reported annually a by appliance type, age, and size. (For SDGE volume is 47,166 units for three years, For 2010 volume is 33,000 units) IOU Rationale: IOUs recommend this revise objective for the following reasons: (1) this is much easier to define and measure (2) baseline data is available for 2006-2008

program mission statement.

Short-term <u>Sub-program</u> PPMs:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (2a or 2b)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	IOU Comments
PPM 1: Consumer awareness and knowledge of and attitudes towards the need to recycle old appliances in IOU service territories using 2010 baseline	Staff	2b	Y	IOUs propose modifying PPM-1 to the followir (For Objective-4): Level of program participants' AKA toward the appliance recycling subprogram. IOU Rationale: See comments above, next to Objective-4
PPM 2: Average age, size and efficiency of removed units. CC: we don't have eff. Data. But with age/size we can determine it. Eff. Can be reported now. (Proposal to track # of participants.)	Staff	2a	Y	IOUs propose modifying PPM-2 to the followir (For Objective-5) # of program appliance units by year, appliance type, age, and size. IOU Rationale: please refer to comments next the program mission statement.

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

**Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

Long-Term (2013-2020) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comments
Objective 1: Move the residential market towards coordinated demand-side management, including self-generation and a "smart meter" initiative. MKH: #1, #2, and # are not SMART.	PIP	IOUs recommend moving this objecti Residential EE Program (REEP).
Objective 2: Promote the adoption of comprehensive residential retrofits	PIP	IOUs recommend moving this objecti Residential EE Program (REEP).
Objective 3: Contribute to the ultimate transformation of energy consumption patterns.	PIP	IOUs recommend moving this object

		Residential EE Program (REEP).
Objective 4: Eliminate the stock of "older, inefficient refrigerators and freezers" in IOU customer homes as defined by age, size and efficiency standards MKH: "older, inefficient refrigerators and freezers" needs to be defined/revised. Issue: "Reduce" the stock, as opposed to "eliminate".	Staff	IOUs recommend deleting Objective- IOU Rationale: As indicated above, IO recommend operating this program a is cost-effective since it is part of the energy reduction and appliance early replacement strategy.

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

Long-Term Sub-program MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (3)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	IOU Comments
MT Indicator 1: Saturation levels of "inefficient, older refrigerators and freezers" in California homes as demonstrated through appliance: age, size and efficiency. MKH: "inefficient, older refrigerators and freezers" needs to be defined/revised.	Staff	3		IOUs recommend deleting MT-1, and energy purchase reduction and using of appliance early replacement strate

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

^{**}Metric type: 3 = data collection, tracking, and reporting [by IOUs, CPUC staff, and/or other entities] to be determined later.

Residential - Business and Consumer Electronics Subprogram

	Mission	IOU Comments
SW Program: Residential	The BCE program is a new addition to the residential EE portfolio for	
(ENERGY STAR®) electronic products including computers and monitors, cable and satellite set-top boxes, televisions, and additional	IOUs propose modifying the Mission Statement to	
Business and Consumer Electronics Subprogram	ess and Consumer Electronics monitors, cable and satellite set-top boxes, televisions, and additional	The BCE program is a new addition to the resident 2009 -2012. The BCE Program provides midstream retailers, manufacturers, distributors, and other mincrease the stocking level and promotion activitie (ENERGY STAR® and higher efficiency levels, dependance of the stocking products including computers and satellite set-top boxes, televisions, and additions consumer electronics as they become available in
		IOU Rationale: The mission as described in the PIP reflect the breadth of involvement of multiple man part in the program or acknowledge the fact that, statewide program, there are substantial difference program implementation.

CA EESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW <u>Sub-program</u> :	CA EESP Ref. pp. #	100 (
Goal 3 (residential): Develop comprehensive, innovative initiatives to reverse the growth of plug load energy consumption through technological and behavior solutions.	p. 21	ОК
Strategy 3-1: Drive continual advances in residential energy usage, including plug loads home energy management systems, and appliances.	p. 21	ОК
Milestone 3-1: 10% reduction in plug loads by 2012-2015; 25% reduction in plug loads by 2016-2020	p. 21	ОК
Strategy 3-2: In coordination with Strategy 2-2 above, develop public awareness of and demand for highly efficient products	p. 22	ОК

Strategy 3-3: Create demand for such products through market transformation activities	p. 22	ОК
Strategy 3-4: Continuously strengthen standards, including the expansion of both Title 24 and 20 to codify advances in plug load	p. 22	ОК
<u>management</u>		

Short-term (2010-2012) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comments
Objective 1: 80% of participating retailer stores receiving training and detailing by 2012	DR	IOUs recommend modifying Objective-1 to the for Objective-1a: By 2012, 80% of participating retain receive training Objective-1b: By 2012, 80% of participating retain receive detailing IOU Rationale: To make the objective more precipations.
Objective 2: Increase by XX the number of retailers participating in mid-stream program by 2012 as compared to 2010, including by (a): installing POS capacity; and/or (b) offering upstream rebated products. Modify to match PPM below.	s AL, Staff- modified	IOUs recommend modifying Objecte-2 to the following Increase number of retailers and/or other market participating in the mid-stream program by 2012 compared to 2010: 1. SCE: sign up 5 additional retailers and 5 manufacturers. 2. PG&E: sign up 3 additional market actor. 3. SDG&E: sign up 1 additional retailer. Definition of market actor: a business entity eng some aspect of the supply chain for electronics. actors include manufacturers, distributors, and r various types. IOU Rationale: Growth of the "number of retaile

an accurate indicator of program objectives, since

		participation by other market actors is important a and since the maturity of the BCE program and pr budgets vary considerably by IOU. Note that the t listed above are taken from the PIPs.
Objective 3: By 2012, increase the number of manufacturers participating in upstream CBE rebate program and selling to key accounts or directly to California consumers as compared to 2010	AL	IOUs recommend deletingObjective-3
		IOU Rationale: It is addressed in the proposed Ob
		above.

Short-term <u>Sub-program</u> PPMs:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (2a or 2b)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	IOU Comments
PPM 1: Percent of participating retailer stores receiving training and detailing annually Detailing: people visit stores to make sure point of purchase materials properly identify qualifying products.	DR	2a		IOUs recommend modifying PPM-1 to the following (For Objective-1) PPM 1a: Number of participating retailers an other resellers receiving training. PPM 1b: Number of participating retailers receiving detailing. IOU Rationale: To make PPM-1 align with proposed Objectives-1a and -1b. IOU Suggestion: That IOUs report the data us the proposed table reproduced below (2a metric)
PPM 2a): The number of retailers participating in the BCE mid-stream program by offering mid-stream rebated products look at mission statement for accuracy here. PPM 2b): The percentage of all Big Box retailers located in IOU service territories that this number is estimated to represent BAS: In PG&E	AL, Staff- modified Staff	2a 2a	N	IOUs recommend modifying the PPM 2 series the following (For Objective-2) The numbers and names of specific types of market actors (retailers, buying groups,

territory, we're reaching saturation of participant retailers. DW: funding is limiting the level to which we may increase participant retailers.		1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1		manufacturers, and distributors) participating the program.
Recommendation: suggest IOU-specific targets. CC: SCE PIP has goal of signing up 5 new manufacturers (may sell direct to end-users) and 5 new retailers. Issue: "Big Box" needs to be defined. SCE has list and will circulate for consideration.				IOU Rationale: To align with proposed change to Objective-2.
PPM 2c): number of "big box" retail locations offering rebated products.				IOU Suggestion: That IOUs report these data using the proposed table that is reproduced below.
PPM 3: The number of manufacturers participating in upstream CBE rebate program and selling to key accounts or directly to California	AL	2a	N	(2a metric) IOUs recommend deleting PPM 3.
	AL, Staff- modified	2a	N	IOU Rationale: It is addressed in the prior PPI

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

^{**}Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

Category of Market Actors (business entities engaged in some aspect of the supply chain for electronics)	Market Actor Category Definition	Market Actor Business Entity	Number of stores within Service Territory	Number of stores detailed	Training materials distributed (yes/no)*	Tele	vision	Desktop	Computers	Comput	er Monitors	How double dipping is avoide
						Business	Consumers	Business	Consumers	Business	Consumers	
	An entity which sells goods to					х	Х	х	х	х	х	
	the consumer. Retailers include						X		X		X	
Retailers	large businesses such as Wal-						X X		X X		X X	Only incent products sold directly
re uners	Mart, and also smaller, non-						X					the end-customers
	chain locations run						x					\neg
	independently.											
Buying Groups	An entity that is created to leverage the purchasing power of a group of businesses to obtain discounts from vendors based on the collective buying power of the members. This is a sub-set of Retailers.						х					Do not incent products sold by the Buying Group to any retailers participating in another portion of program.
	An entity that makes a good through a process involving raw materials, components, or assemblies, usually on a large		n/a	n/a		x	x	x	x	x	x	Only incent on products sold to t
Manufacturers	scale. Manufacturers (e.g., H-P, Dell, Sony) sell through many channels, including: direct to		n/a	n/a					х		х	sales or in-person sales (disallow any sales to retailers, distributors of other market actors)
	end customers (business and consumer), to Di											
Distributors	An entity that buys non- competing products or product- lines, warehouses them, and resells them to retailers, value added resellers (VARs) or direct to the end user. Examples include Ingram Micro, SYNNEX and Comcast.											Only incent on products sold to VARs or end customers. Current there are no VARs targeted for participation in the program. If VA are ever included in the incentive program, then sales to VARs would be disallowed.

Note: This matrix will be constantly evolving. New products will be added, some may be taken off once market transformation has occurred. Additionally, the Market Actor categories identified may be expanded. For example, when s top boxes are added to th

* Training materials will be compiled into a portfolio. Materials range from presentations, one-pagers handed to sales associates, on-line training modules, etc.

2019 Term (2013-2020) SWART Subjectives.	Long-Term (2013-2020) "SMART" Sub-program Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, IOU Comments
--	---	------------------------------

	DR, PIP, or Staff)*	
Objective 1: Increase the number of BCE-qualifying units sold as a percentage of total business and consumer electronics product sales. (candidate for quantitative target)	PIP, Staff	By 2020, increase the efficiency in average plug attributable to the use of electronic products the inthe BCE program. IOU Rationale: IOUs believe Objectives-1 and present difficult measurement challenges due to evolving Codes and Standards, economic factor availability/expense of sales data.
Objective 2: ENERGY STAR®-qualifying BCE appliances comprise XX% of purchases annually. DW: ES is in process of expanding product list. CE is limited list currently. Very few products to track right now. Getting sales data for #1 and #2 could be expensive. But we could work with other agencies to acquire data.	PIP, SP, Staff	See comments above.

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

Long-Term Sub-program MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (3)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	IOU Comments
MT Indicator 1: BCE-qualifying units sold as a percentage of total business and consumer electronics product sales 6 month product cycle. Will be difficult to capture because of numerous measurement issues. From ex-ante point of view, we want to drive volume. On ex-post basis, these metrics present different challenges. Not contemplating FR issues. Need to come up with method to measure and track. MT will not be looking at FR. Purpose is to make sure stock is more efficient as time passes.	SP, Staff	3	Y	In alignment with Objective 1 proposed recommend the following: % increase in efficiency level of average attributable to electronic products that a BCE program. IOU assessment of priority: Medium/Log This MT may be difficult and costly to train and program qualification continue to exquickly over the program horizon.
MT Indicator 2: ENERGY STAR®-qualifying BCE appliances as a percent of total business and consumer electronics product sales	SP, Staff	3	N	See comments above.

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

**Metric type: 3 = data collection, tracking, and reporting [by IOUs, CPUC staff, and/or other entities] to be determined later.

Residential - Home Energy Efficiency Rebate Subprogram

	Mission	IOU Comment
SW <u>Sub</u> -program: Home Energy Efficiency Rebate (HEER)	to the customer, the program will offer mid-stream incentives to retailers to increase the stocking of energy efficient appliances. The program also offers customers information on how to use household equipment efficiently. Rebates will be available to customers, depending on the measure, POS, online, and through the mail.	IOUs recommend modifying the mission following way: The program encourages energy efficient purchasing and installing household appropriate the second seco

CA EESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW <u>Sub-program</u> :	CA EESP Ref. pp.#
 CA EE SP Goal (2): Home buyers, owners and renovators will implement a whole-house approach to energy consumption that will guide their purchase and use of existing and new homes, home equipment (e.g., HVAC systems), household appliances, lighting, and "plug load" amenities. 	p. 11
 CA EE SP 2-1.3: Energy consumption in existing homes will be reduced by 20% by 2015 and 40% by 2020 	p. 11
CA EE SP 2-2: Promote effective decision-making to create widespread demand for energy efficiency measures	p. 20
• CA EE SP 2-3: Manage research into new/advanced cost-effective innovations to reduce energy use in existing homes	p. 20
 The goal of the program is to influence purchase behavior and improve and increase awareness, knowledge and attitude concerning benefits of Energy Star and Energy Efficiency. 	

Short-term (2010-2012) "SMART" Sub-program Objectives:	Source (SP,	IOU Comments
	AL, DR,	

	PIP, or Staff)*	
1) Define by 2010 and achieve by 2012 targets for collaborations (definition?) with manufacturers, retailers, distributors, etc.	Staff	IOUs recommend modifying Objective-1 to the follow By 2012, increase and/or maintain optimal level of collaboration with manufacturers and retailers to sup program, using 2010 results as baseline. ("Optimal" is not measureable but reportable). Definition of collaboration: Working with manufacturers and retailers to participathe program
2) By 2012, X% of HEER participants subsequently participate in 1 or more additional programs (e.g. Whole House Retrofit, ARP, or BCE). Delete and move any missing programs to similar objective in HEES program	Staff	IOUs agree to delete this objective and move it to HEI
Participant "mom-and-pop" retailers as a percentage of all participant retailers shall increase (X%) from a 2006-2008 baseline. Objective: maximize market penetration- BROADNESS of availability of rebates; b) minimize delivery costs; C) diversifying into markets serving ethnicisities is an additional metric (see notes in short term PPM section and clarify objective as needed)	Staff	IOUs recommend modifying Objective-3 to the follow By 2012, increase and/or maintain optimal level of restore participation located in the lower income and/oto-reach zip-codes. ("Optimal" is not measureable but reportable). IOU Rationale: IOUs do not believe using "mom-and-pagood way to reach the lower-income and hard-to-repopulations. IOUs would prefer to use zip-codes serv lower income and minority populations to track store participation. The definition of lower-income and minopopulation will be based on 300% federal poverty level and/or more than 50% minority population areas, usi census data.
Participant "big-box" retailers as a percentage of all participant retailers shall increase (X%) from a 2006-2008 baseline (see PPM notes and clarify objective as needed)	Staff	IOUs recommend deleting Objective-4.

		IOU Rationale: Nearly all big-box stores are participate the program already.
Increase number of participant retail locations using POS system relative to 2006-2008 baseline (SDG&E- this is costly for this IOU, so has problem with "increase").	Staff	IOUs recommend modifying Objective-5 to the follow By end of 2012, increase POS # of rebates by 10% from baseline

Short-term <u>Sub-program</u> PPMs:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (2a or 2b)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	IOU Comments
1) Percentage of HEER participants who subsequently participate in additional HEER measures; 1a) and 1 or more additional programs (e.g. Whole House Retrofit, ARP). Is this soley a HEES metric? Consider deleting, and move to HEES programs any missing there.	Staff	2a (2b- lag time)	N	IOUs recommend deleting PPM-3 Objective-2 IOU Rationale: See comments ab next to Objective-2.
2) Number of participating retailers using POS system (SDG&E- 45% of customers doing POS, so tracking on #1 hard). (SCE- 50% customers purchasing via POS) (PG&E- ~5% retailers POS). Is a better metric: Percent of HEER applications coming through as POS; or, percentage of incentives paid to customers participating via POS.	Staff	26	N	IOUs recommend modifying PPM the following(For Objective-5) % of program rebates made throupOS mode relative to all rebates (2a metric)
3) Participant "mom-and-pop" (define; provide list of agreed "Big Box;" retailers not on list considered "mom & pop" — and/or "mid-size") stores as a percentage of all participant retailers; is this a proxy for increasing sales? Hard-to-reach locations? Should this metric be: number of participating "mom and pop" stores and percentage of total mom and pop stores this represents. 3b) Participation of retailers in ethnically diverse/lower income (?)/hard-to-reach (?) locations ("mom and pop" does not necessarily equate to "lower income". SCE-suggests standardizing definitions of "Mom and Pop" "low income" and "Hard to	Staff	2a	Y	IOUs recommend modifying PPM the following(For Objective-3) % of stores located in the lower-in and/or hard-to-reach zip-codes reto all program participating locations.

4) Number of "big box" participants and percentage of "big box" stores within	Staff	2a	Υ	IOUs recommend deleting PPM-4
IOU service territories that this represents.				Objective-4)
Comment that for CFL program NTG was low for Big Box customers, so this should				
be considered when establishing objectives.				
Is Energy Star shipment data relevant here; Answer is that haven't typically been				
able to track this to state level.				

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

To do's::

IOUs to include in red-lined version revised objectives and metrics, including all definitions of terms as agreed on SW basis as much as possible. Please also provide justification for where IOUs land.

Long-Term (2013-2020) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comments
Increase saturation of ENERGY STAR-rated appliance to represent X% of the CA market by 2020 (needs to take into account ES updates; see shift downward of penetration whenever standards rise).	Staff	OK. IOU Comment: We recommend to CLASS study to report the latest level. Also IOUs recommend expanding study to cover homeowners and well as multi-dwelling complexes common area appliances and fix

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

Long-Term Sub-program MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (3)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	
Statewide market penetration of ENERGY STAR appliances sold at retail level across various store sizes (saturation?).	Staff	3	Y	OK (For Objective-1).
Median age of in-home appliances statewide in single-family and multi-family homes	Staff	3		OK. (For Objective-1). IOU assessment of priority

^{**}Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

**Metric type: 3 = data collection, tracking, and reporting [by IOUs, CPUC staff, and/or other entities] to be determined later.

Residential - Multifamily Energy Efficiency Rebate Subprogram

	Mission	IOU Comments
SW Program: Residential	"Multifamily property owners and managers are a historically	
	unresponsive market to energy efficiency efforts. As one of	
SW <u>Sub</u> -program: Multi-Family	California's largest industries, this unique customer segment	
Energy Efficiency Rebate (MFEER)	warrants additional attention and effort to motivate property	IOU Comment: The mission statement cites a statistic th
	aurnors and managers to actively participate in apergy officional	inial ket penetration of the ividitiality chergy chiclency
	programs After some recent years of concerted energy	publication about 1270. We believe that this statist
	officionsy offerts to target this sector, there are still areas with	based on an See program estimate nom 2002 2004. Th
	large concentrations of multifamily bouseholds that have not yet	illethodology used for this estimate is not clear at this ti
	received energy efficiency installations as noted in the 2002	the 2010-2012 filed PIP, we could not find such languag
	EM8: V report for this sector. Market studies have noted that	document. Consequently we request that this sentence
	there are over 1.0 million multifamily units in Southern	deleted from the mission statement.
	California Edison's service territory contained in approximately	
	145,000 multifamily buildings. Having experienced only modest	
	participation in utility programs to date, the multifamily	
	segment holds tremendous savings potential. In SCE's service	
	area, the multifamily market sector has a consumption base well	
	over 2 billion annual kilowatt hours generated by roughly	
	682,000 multifamily (tenant) service accounts (five or more	
	units). Although participation levels have depleted program	
	funding over several years, market penetration remains only	
	about 12%. This program targets property owners and mangers	
	of multifamily complexes of 2 or more dwelling units, including	
	mobile home parks and condominium complexes with common	
	areas.	

CA EESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW <u>Sub-program</u> :		IOU
	Ref. pp.#	
CA EE SP Goal (2): Home buyers, owners and renovators will implement a whole-house approach to energy consumption that will guide their purchase and use of existing and new homes, home equipment (e.g., HVAC systems), household appliances, lighting, and "plug load" amenities.	p. 11	

CA EE SP 2-1: By 2020, 100% of existing multi-family homes have a 40% decrease in purchased energy from 2008 levels	p. 19	'
CA EE SP 2-2: Promote effective decision-making to create widespread demand for energy efficiency measures	p. 20	
CA EE SP 2-3: Manage research into new/advanced cost-effective innovations to reduce energy use in existing homes	p. 20	Not a prograi strategy

Short-term (2010-2012) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comments
1) Diversify energy efficiency measures such that non-lighting measures represent X% of program savings (BTUs? KW/Kwh?) by 2012	Staff	IOUs recommend modifying Objective-1 to the following:
		By 2012, diversify energy efficiency measures such that non-lighting measures represent at least 5% of program BTU savings. (2a type)
		IOU Rationale: IOUs would prefer this objective be phrased from t perspective of BTUs since this is a common metric that kWh and the converted to. This objective would apply to both gas and elect measures in the program.
2) Increase participation in LIEE, CARE and ARP programs subsequent to MFEER participation to represent X% of programs by 2012	Staff	IOUs recommend moving Objective-2 to the LIEE program IOU Rationale: The MFEER program is designed to leave behind CA brochures to the property owners/managers and to provide targe Internet content, but the program does not solicit CARE/LIEE parti directly (i.e., renters). It would be much more cost effective to asl and how did the CARE/LIEE participants learn about their program
3) Increase energy efficiency understanding among MF property owners	Staff	IOUs recommending moving Objective-3 to the REEP portfolio, but this same objective also at the sub-program level, and modify it to following: Improve program participants' AKA level as compared to program targets' AKA level. IOU Rationale: A Comparison between AKA level between program targets and proparticipant would be possible.

4) Increase participation in MFEER program from xx% of MF complexes in IOU services territories in 2010 to yy% in 2012	Staff	IOUs recommend deleting Objective-4 from the MFEER program a it into the Whole Apartment Program.
		IOU Rationale: Please see comments at mission statement above. good objective but difficult and expensive to measure. We need tup with a way to define apartments/condo complexes since they always be correctly identified by "account type." Also, we need to on how to treat repeat program visits for different measure treatrest.
		IOUs recommend adding Objective-5 as follows:
		By 2012, maintain and/or improve customer satisfaction of prograparticipants (i.e., property owners/managers) from 2010 baseline
		IOU Rationale: Maintaining customer satisfaction was an importar objective for SCE's MFEER program. In 2006-2008, the program experienced declining satisfaction due to program participants rel payments prematurely to the contractors, and later experienced satisfactory project experience. We recommend measuring custor satisfaction in lieu of measuring participant workshops to get at thunderlying program issue.
		Satisfaction would be measured from the perspective of program (i.e., program sign-up, rebate processing), interaction with progra and program as a whole. (Please refer to suite of questions from Sprocess evaluation as illustrations).

Short-term <u>Sub-program</u> PPMs:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (2a or 2b)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	IOU Comments
1) Percentage increase in energy efficiency awareness/knowledge (attitude?) among MFEER participants (defined at subprogram level as property managers/owners, not renters) (move all res subprogram "awareness" PPMs up to res program level) (need to define and operationalize survey questions jointly) by 2012 as compared to 2010	Staff	2 b	Y	IOUs recommend modifying I the following: (For Objective- % of improvement in AKA lev program targets and program participants (2b metric)
2) Percentage of MFEER unit dwellers participants who subsequently enroll in an additional IOU program (e.g. LIEE, ARP, and CARE) (SCE- tracking capability for this does not currently exist; could be tested for future consideration) (SDGE: Is OK with PPM, so can transfer any leads to LIEE and track. Low income database has this capacity (?). LIEE targets customers by zipcode whereas MFEER is open to all; MFEER program should review LIEE targeting approach to see if replicable. LIEE database is used in MFEER program). (SCE: consider tracking this under LIEE program; MFEER is a "leave behind" of brochures). PG&E—similar tracking challenges as SCE.	Staff	2 a	N	IOUs recommend deleting PP (For Objective-2) IOU Rationale: There are nur measurement difficulties asso with this measure (e.g., track capability does not currently family program targets owne other programs target reside
3) Non-lighting measures (BTUs) as a percentage of total energy efficiency measures adopted under MFEER (# units; BTUs). Track lighting as percentage of Kwh/ estimate non-lighting measure savings based on exante deemed savings (evaluation will give additional data) This allows both to be "2a"). (Most IOUs prefer Kwh/KW as metric)	Staff	2 a	N	IOUs recommend modifying I the following (For Objective-: % of non-lighting measures a compared to % of total EE meadopted in the MFEER prografor single commodity IOU and mixed commodity IOU.
4) Number of workshops (IOUs will define) for participating property owners/managers to understand program requirements and procedures	DR/IOU	1	N	IOUs recommend modifying the following: (For Objective-

(tie-in to EE loading order). Track by # of units that participating property owner/manager owns/manages. Attendance in person. Target should be smaller property owners because larger ones are already well aware of program (?). Intent of this PPM is to address survey's suggesting dissatisfaction of participating property owner/managers. PPM was designed to make sure that participants understand requirements. Contractors are also participant		For program participants, lev customer satisfaction (%) as o to prior program cycle baselin baseline. (2b metric) Delete
5) Total number of MFEER participants (property managers/owners) in IOU service territories, and estimated percent of all MF units/complexes in IOU service territories that this represents. Track cumulatively? Operationalize definitions to break out repeat participants.	2a or 2b Y -establis baseline us existing da	ing Objective 4)

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

^{**}Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

Long-Term (2013-2020) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comments
1) By 2020, 100% of existing multi-family homes have a 40% decrease in purchased energy from 2008 levels Note: Attribution is difficult	SP (p. 19)	IOU Comments: This objective should pertain to 20 rather than 2008, if we also need to discern the reas behind these energy savings (i.e., appliance upgrade behavior, increase/decrease in household size) to inform program design. A comparison of current purchased energy to 2008 baseline is possible from billing history, but we may
2) Increase penetration of ENERGY STAR rated appliances in MF properties (note: not all ES appliances are rebated)	Staff	OK. OK. IOU Comments: Objective-2, is a strategy to Object 1. If necessary, this needs to be part of a new satura

		study such as CLASS (CA Lighting and Appliance Saturation Study). An updated CLASS study would not be expanded to include multi-family residences. It that CLASS was last fielded in 2005 and included sing family residences only). Also, we need to clearly derivate appliances are included in this tracking. These should be appliances under property owners/manage control (i.e., refrigerators, comer clothes washer/dricentral water heater, central A/C, etc.). Baselines with the property of the property of the property owners/manage control (i.e., refrigerators, comer clothes washer/dricentral water heater, central A/C, etc.).
3) Increase average efficiency of fixtures (non-ES appliances, controllers, etc., central building water heaters; need to identify specific appliances) in MF properties. Replicate SF baseline study for MF.	Staff	Ok

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

Long-Term Sub-program MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (3)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	5.00
1) Percentage of MF Homes having a 40% decrease in purchased energy from 2008 levels	SP (p. 19)	3	Y	IOUs recommend mod the following (For Obj
				% of multi-family build purchased energy red or 20% or 30% or 40%
				IOU assessment of pri
2) Average efficiency of common area fixtures in MF properties	Staff	3	Y	IOUs recommend mod the following: (For Ob
				Average efficiency lev area appliances and fi properties

				IOU assessment of price
3) Penetration levels of ENERGY STAR rated appliances in MF properties (only	Staff	3	Υ	IOUs recommend dele
those within control of property owner/managers- need to define); couldn't track ES appliances that fall off list. Note that this is a MT indicator, so not only caused				
by IOU programs.				

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

^{**}Metric type: 3 = data collection, tracking, and reporting [by IOUs, CPUC staff, and/or other entities] to be determined later.

Residential - Whole House Retrofit Subprogram

	Mission	IOU Comments
SW Program: Residential	The Whole House Prescriptive Sub Program is a new addition to the	
SW Program: Residential SW Sub-program: Whole House "Energy Upgrade California-Advanced and Basic Programs"	The Whole House Prescriptive Sub Program is a new addition to the 2010-2012 statewide residential portfolio. The Whole House Performance Program is a third party local program for each of the IOUs. Both Whole House programs are designed to offer customers and contractors an easy entry point on the path to home performance. The prescriptive program will allow customers to reduce energy usage while increasing the energy performance and comfort of their existing homes and minimizing lost opportunities for future comprehensive retrofit options. The programs will also educate contractors and customers on the benefits of implementing comprehensive whole house retrofits on existing buildings that will provide systematic reductions in energy use. Both of these programs will leverage and contribute to the pool of qualifying contractors, provide linkage to the local government programs to support financing (i.e., AB811 financing options, etc.) and support a cohesive integrated marketing and outreach efforts. An important goal for both programs (as adopted in D0909047) is to achieve 20% energy reduction for all treated homes.	IOUs recommend modifying the Mission Stateme The Whole House Prescriptive Sub Program is a n 2010-2012 statewide residential portfolio. The program is designed to offer customers an entry point to the path of home performance. But programs are designed to offer customers and contry point on the path to home performance. The program will allow customers to reduce energy upon the energy performance and comfort of their exist minimizing lost opportunities for future comprehenestist of implementing comprehensive whole we wisting buildings that will provide systematic red Both of these programs will leverage and contribut qualifying contractors, provide linkage to the local programs to support financing (i.e., AB811 financing support a cohesive integrated marketing and out important goal for both programs (as adopted in achieve a 10% energy reduction for all treated ho prescriptive program. IOU Rationale: There are a few inaccuracies in the The existing statement does not reflect accurately being implemented. The modified statement is consulted Petition to Modify the PIP. Most importance Program has submitted a Petition to Modify the energy reduction goal to for the prescriptive program.

performance program.

CA EESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW <u>Sub-program</u> :	CA EESP
	Ref. pp.#
Goal 2: Home buyers, owners and renovators will implement a whole-house approach to energy consumption that will guide their	p. 11
purchase and use of existing and new homes, home equipment (e.g., HVAC systems), household appliances, lighting, and "plug	
load" amenities.	
Strategy 2-1: Deploy full scale whole house programs.	p. 19
Milestone 2-1: By 2020:	p. 19
 25% of existing homes have a 70% decrease in purchased energy from 2008 levels 	
 75% of existing homes have a 30% decrease in purchased energy from 2008 levels 	
 100% of existing multi-family homes have a 40% decrease in purchased energy from 2008 levels 	
Goal Result 2: Energy consumption in existing homes will be reduced by 20% by 2015 and 40% by 2020 through universal demand	p. 11
for highly efficiency homes and products	
Strategy 2-2: Promote effective decision-making to create widespread demand for energy efficiency measures	p. 20
Strategy 2-3: Manage research into new/advanced cost-effective innovations to reduce energy use in existing homes	p. 20
Strategy 2-4: Develop financial products and programs such as on-bill financing to encourage demand for energy efficiency building products, home systems, and appliances	p. 20

Short-term (2010-2012) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comments
Objective 1: Enroll and complete upgrade work on the following number of homes by 2012: SCE - 11,820; SCG - 10,644; PG&E - 15,500; SDG&E's - 3,606. (Goals will be adjusted based on the actual program roll-out date) Rolled-up performance and prescriptive.	DR, PIP	OK.
Objective 2: Enroll an increasing number contractor firms to participate in program each year (Targets for 2012 are: PG&E- 73; SCE- 63; SCG- 57; SDG&E- 17)	DR, PIP	IOUs recommend modifying Objective-2 to the formal an increasing number of contractor firms to eligible to participate in either prescriptive and/operformance programs each year. (Targets for 20 PG&E- 73; SCE- 63; SCG- 57; SDG&E- 17)

		IOU Rationale: This goal is for performance and prescriptive programs combined.
Objective 3: Increase contractors' and customers' awareness and knowledge of benefits of comprehensive whole house retrofits and the "house as a system"	PIP	IOUs recommend modifying Objective-3 to the fo
comprehensive whole house retroites and the mouse as a system		Leverage ARRA funding for promotion of the who program, increase contractors' and homeowners awareness of benefits of comprehensive whole herofits 25% over existing 2010 baseline.
		IOU Rationale: "House as a system" is a new and untested marketing concept. (Source for 2010 badata: SW Whole House Program Survey Report, J 2010, Hiner and Partners Inc.)
Objective 4: Decrease percentage of participating homes not passing QA/QC review	PIP	IOUs recommend modifying Objective-4 to the formula By 2012, optimize the proportion of homes inspet that pass QA/QC review.
		("Optimal" is not measureable but reportable). IOU Rationale: Given the anticipated growth in so this program, the number of new contractors will significantly. As a result of growth, the IOUs anticipated some new contractors may be eliminated expecause of QA/QC issues. Consequently, we anticipated that, throughout the program cycle, the proportion homes not passing may grow initially and then delious recruit new and trim ineffective contractors.
Objective 5: Energy savings per home for prescriptive and performance program participants achieve adopted target savings levels	PIP, D0909047	IOUs recommend modifying Objective-5 to the for Energy savings per home for prescriptive and performance program participants achieve adoptarget savings levels (an average of 10% for prescriptive)

		programs and an average of 10%-20% for perform programs).
Objective 6: By 2012, incorporate radiant cooling, ductless systems, ground source heat pumps,	SP, p. 63 (also	IOUs recommend deleting Objective-6.
etc into 5% of participating "performance" program homes	applies to new	
	construction)	IOU Rationale:
		(1) This objective is not specific to our current prodesign.
		(2) The cost of implementing these measures is to beyond the average cost of current Whole Homeasures.

Short-term <u>Sub-program</u> PPMs:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (2a or 2b)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	IOU Comments
PPM 1: Number of homes treated in the program for 2010-2012 (prescriptive and performance participation indicated distinctly)	AL, DR, PIP	2a	N	OK. (For Objective-1)
PPM 2: Number of enrolled contracting firms participating in the program	AL, DR, PIP	2a	N	OK IOUs recommend a minor change to PPM-2 (FO) Objective-2): Number of enrolled contracting firms particip in the program.
PPM 3: Average Ex-ante savings per home as reported (average, kwh, therms, KW) Most likely prescriptive. Will need to be tracked by CZ. Performance tracked by test-in/test-out.	D0909047; Staff	2a	N	IOUs recommend a minor change to PPM-3 (FO) Objective-5): Average Ex-ante savings per home as reported (average, kWh, therms, kW) for both perform and prescriptive programs by climate zone.

PPM 4: Average and range of evaluated energy savings per home (prescriptive and performance programs)	D0909047, Staff	2b	Y, Recommende d- 2010	OK. (For Objectie-5)
PPM 5: Number/percentage of homes not passing QA/QC review, by IOU	Staff	2a	N	OK. (For Objective-4)
stick with percentage. But useful to know number/no targets.				

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

^{**}Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

Long-Term (2013-2020) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comments
Objective 2: By 2013, 2017 and 2020, costs to customers of whole house retrofits are decreased as compared to 2010 levels issue: yes we want to track, but don't want to force ourselves to drive costs lower. Want to track if they are going down/up. CF: just a measure of how market is changing over time. JC: cost per unit of energy to be saved? Normalized method to be determined.	Staff	We suggest modifying Objective-2 to the following: By 2013, 2017 and 2020, costs to customers of whole house retrofits are decreased as compared to 2010 lever for identical measures, when controlling for measures implemented and economic factors, including costs of goods and labor. IOU Rationale: Our concerns with this objective included are not easily forecasted or under IOU control. 2) Measure selection may vary over time, and measures drive cost. Moreover, measure selection not easily forecasted.
Objective 3: Customers have increasing financing options for whole house retrofits, including options leveraged by and coordinated with IOU programs and those offered by other entities. Comment: if costs go down, financing would also decrease. Let's not have 2 and 3 at cross-purposes. JC: metric could be # of participants not able to proceed due to unavailable financing. ED gap analysis will identify which options exist or are lacking.	SP, Staff	IOUs recommend deleting this as a utility goal. IOU Rationale: Financing availability and costs are not under IOU control.

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

Long-Term <u>Sub-program</u> MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (3)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	
MT Indicator 1: Increased consumer awareness and knowledge of and attitudes towards levels of home as a system by consumers and contractors	SP	3	Y	IOUs recommend modifying MT-1 to the following (pertaining to no goal):
				Increased consumer and contractor AKA towards the marketing concept of "hom a system."
				IOU assessment of priority: High.
MT Indicator 4: Average total cost of whole house energy efficiency retrofit to consumers (sorted by level of energy savings or type of job)	Staff	3	Y	IOUs recommend deleting MT-4 (For Objective-2)
MT Indicator 5: Average amount of financing used for whole house retrofits and types of sources	Staff	3	Y	IOUs recommend changing MT-5 to the following:
				The proportion (%) of households that e not to perform comprehensive energy upgrades due to lack of available financi
				IOU Rationale: Consumer use of financi will vary due to factors including financi availability, economic conditions, and the number and cost of measures implemen
		9		IOU assessment of priority: Low.

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

^{**}Metric type: 3 = data collection, tracking, and reporting [by IOUs, CPUC staff, and/or other entities] to be determined later.

Lighting Market Transformation Program

	Mission	IOU Comments
SW Program: Lighting Market	Statewide Lighting Market Transformation Program (LMT) establishes	
Transformation (LMT)	processes through which the IOUS can develop and test market	
SW <u>Sub</u> -programs:	transformation strategies for both emerging and existing lighting technologies	IOU Comments: After the joint LMT PPM m
Lighting Technology Advancement;	across all market sectors. LMT, operating through funding leverage, includes	additional emails and communications took
Lighting Education and Information;	market research and coordination activities, and an educational component	clarifications from IOUs, ED and consultants
Lighting Market Transformation		latest thoughts and suggestions based on tl
	market actors. A major part of the program involves design and planning that	
	can be transferred to pilots and market analyses in the context of product life	· management of the control of the c
	cycle and existing programs. The program also seeks to advance	1. Developing and revising pipeline plant.
	development of increasingly efficient lighting technologies. LMT is a non-	measure), and
	resource program.	2. Leveraging IOU programs, governm
		industry partners to implement the
		pipeline plans.
		The Pipeline Plans are the core of the Lighti
		Transformation Program. All the collaborat
		leveraging decisions and activities support t
		Pipeline Plans.
		The pipeline plan describes the following in
		sectors:
		 The best practice technology or sys
		 The opportunities associated with t
		technology or system,
		The barriers to the best practice tec
		market sector
		"The Strategy" for the best practice
		The estimated timeline for "the Strands"
		information at the time,
		 The pipeline plan is not a st

adapt to changing conditio

regulations, etc.

***Draft fo	or Discu	ssion P	urposes	***
-------------	----------	---------	---------	-----

"The Strategy" describes the necessary coo
statewide IOU programs, ED/CPUC, govern
industry partners.
Example, LMT to leverage and coor
o ET to evaluate technology v
technology assessments, so
etc.,
o EE to develop pilot progran
o WE&T to develop and deliv
technologies,
o Energy Centers to develop
technology fact sheets, and
o Industry to present and dis
presentations or white pap

CA EESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW <u>Program</u> :	CA EESP
	Ref. pp. #
Goal 1. Develop and implement coordinated policies, procedures, and other market interventions that eliminate barriers,	Section 13, p.3
accelerate lighting market transformation* in California and provide incentives for best practice lighting technologies and systems	
Goal Results: By 2020, existing policies and procedures in California will enable lighting technologies to contribute to zero net	
energy (ZNE)* consumption with negligible negative impacts on the environment.	
Goal 2. Define and advance best practices for design, installation, operation and maintenance of integrated systems* to achieve	Section 13, p.3
sustainable* lighting solutions for all spaces.	
Goal Results: By 2020, 100% of new and retrofit lighting installations will meet best practice standards and are optimally	
maintained throughout their useful lives.	
Goal 3. Create widespread end user desire for, purchase of, and use of best practice lighting technologies, and systems.	Section 13, p.3
Goal Results: By 2020, transform consumers' lighting preferences to best practices as demonstrated by an 80% decrease in	
perceived barriers to adoption and a 50% decrease in sales of inefficient lighting products in key market segments (over 2010	
baselines).	
Goal 4. Develop research, development and demonstration (RD&D) networks to create, test, and deliver the lighting solutions	Section 13, p.3
needed to transform California's lighting market achieve ZNE goals.	
Goal Results: Create a broad RD&D portfolio of technologies that will support a 60-80% statewide reduction in electrical lighting	
energy consumption by 2020.	

Short-term (2010-2012) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comn
Objective 1: Formalize a process – via the selection and piloting of technologies - by which the IOUs can rapidly introduce advanced lighting solutions and emerging technologies to the marketplace, improve existing lighting programs, and develop new program strategies; (notes: "indirect influence" a goal of program and hard to measure; "sub –programs" really activities) Note: is this the definition of Pipeline Plan?	AL (IOU PPM spreadsheet)	IOUs propose modifying Object Formalize a process by which introduce advanced lighting so technologies to the marketpla lighting programs in the 2011
Objective 2: Address the pressing need for better and more accessible information on lighting technologies through proposing new strategies for core programs. This sub-program will cooperate with and leverage the resources of other programs to provide assess the need for and facilitate the development of improved acces to information and education regarding existing and emerging lighting technologies among end-users, IOU staff, equipment suppliers, lighting designers, and equipment installation contractors. (Notes: specific budget towards this not identified in Adv. Lighting so far; could add lighting emphasis to OBG).		By 2012 or before, address the better and more accessible inf technologies., including developments of technology roadmap (i.e., who when (MM/YY), using available IOU and external parties) bupdate bi-annually. IOU Rationale: This key activity access to information and edu existing and emerging lighting end-users (i.e., leveraging exist access/WET/MEO, etc.), IOU suppliers, lighting designers, a installation contractors. Milestones: (1) Develop a lighting technol what's new and available using available information external parties) by Janual annually.

	For example, the purpose of t is to develop an at-a-glance up technologies/measures could when, in the context of the proof (2) Develop a communication lighting technology roadm available to all concerned annually in the LMT June (3) Leverage available on-line MEO/OBG/CPUC portal signiformation such as patter practices for general acceptance in annual LMT June Report
Objective 3: 1. (1) Clearly define "market transformation" for each technology by reviewing existing research (especially with regard to market data on technology saturation), identify research gaps, and propose/conduct additional research and data collection as appropriate to increase understanding of the technology (including rate of technology adoption); and (2) Develop appropriate metrics and guidelines for determining when market transformation has occurred and publicly-funded intervention is no longer appropriate, so as to define an end-point for strategies and set the course for new programs and goals. Notes: review D-0909047 language on this; this activity is a step in rethinking stages of MT. This IOU program activity can propose when a targeted market will be transformed, but refer to D0909047 for Commission expectation of its role in "confirming" MT.	 IOUs propose modifying Object (1) Identify strategic options a reviewing existing research regard to market data on identify research gaps, an additional research and data appropriate to increase untechnology (including rate adoption); and (2) Develop appropriate metrodetermining when market occurred and publicly-fun longer appropriate, so as for strategies and set the programs and goals.
	Milestones: (1) Identify strategic options and make them available plan, and report in the an

Identify the unique condi
market saturation) for ea
determine when program
longer be necessary, and
June Report.

Short-term <u>Sub-program</u> PPMs:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*			IOU Commo
PPM 1: ED-proposal: Number of Best Practice technology, systems, and approaches program helps identify;	Staff	2 b	Y	IOUs propose modifying PPM-1 Objectives-1, -2, and -3:
IOU AL proposal: Number of new technology projects, studies or analyses completed and summarized in: a) workpapers, b) white paper, and c) pilot projects proposals to partner programs.				Complete milestones described Objective-2, and Objective-3 about the annual LMT June Report.
Draft Objectives around program's awareness- building activities PPM1a) Leveraging partner program's increasing of awareness and use of these (this leveraging and AKA-building assessed in these partner programs- move PPM Advanced Lighting, all non-res, basic lighting, some partnerships, 3 rd party programs). LMT staff will facilitate communication internally and externally on promising technologies.				(2a metric)
PPM1b) Building AKA amongst market actors (via white papers, etc).				
PPM 2: Degree of advancement of program selected technologies along their LMT pipeline plans	Staff	2a 2 b	N	IOUs propose modifying PPM-2 Objective-1):
Creation of "pipelines plan/strategic direction documents," facilitation of launch of associated pipeline plan/strategic direction project implementation, and stage of implementation of pipeline plans/strategic direction. IOU to do: define key terms such as: 1) Pipeline plan; 2) Strategic direction; 3) White		2b		Number of recommended proje completed, with findings and re- this is a tracking of lighting relat- Advanced Lighting and 3 rd partie
paper; 4) Pilot project				(2a metric)

Getting rebates into areas of high potential for new technologies (one def of Pipeline plan)				IOU rationale: These projects m period of time to complete as de process. It makes more sense to overtime.
PPM 3: Length of time from when a technology graduates from the ET program to when it's integrated into a core IOU program.	Staff	2b	N	IOUs recommend deleting PPM-IOU Rationale: We would like to once the LMT program is fully de implemented. IOUs will like to reED for 2013-2015.
PPM 4: Number of new program delivery strategies identified by the program Alternate PPM?: Impact to portfolio of strategies developed by program Core function is analysis function (proposed by Nate/PG&E Lela, SDG&E disagrees. Needs more discussion) Alternate PPM? Number of analyses that translated into a core program (proposed by Caroline, SCE).	Staff	2a	N	IOUs recommend deleting PPM-IOU Rationale: We would like to once the LMT program is fully deimplemented. IOUs would like twith ED for 2013-2015.
PPM 5: Number of products introduced into the lighting market via the LMT program	Staff	2a	N	IOUs recommend modifying PPN Objective-1): # of EE lighting measures added as a result of LMT activities and annual LMT June Report. (2a metric)
PPM 6: Degree of market availability of technologies selected by the program. IOUs state that this is an indirect output; other programs would have fuller responsibility for this.	Staff	2b	N	IOUs recommend deleting PPM-IOU Rationale: We would like to once the LMT program is fully deimplemented. IOUs will like to reED for 2013-2015. LMT will work and other programs, but LMT is rather than program implement

Notes: ED question: can IOUs modify and then live with these draft PPMs? May be too	19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (Please refer to IOU comments n
narrow as is; IOUs need to revisit key objectives and work up related PPMs. IOUs need to			<u>statemen</u>
caucus and blend updated program plans and ED feedback.			

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

**Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

Long-Term (2013-2020) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comm
Objective 1: By 2020, 100% of new and retrofit lighting installations will meet best practices.	SP (Lighting chapter, Goal 2 Result, p.3)	IOU Comments: This objective definition so it can be operated definitions may differ from consumer applications so the beat the sector level. It is best to identify the short that we would like to advance measurement.
Objective 2: By 2020, transform consumer's lighting preferences to best practices as demonstrated by an 80% decrease in perceived barriers to adoption and a 50% decrease in sales of inefficient lighting products in key market segments (over 2010 baselines).	SP (Lighting chapter, Goal 3 Result, p.3)	IOU Comment: Same comme lighting preferences and best over time. Again, These sweet be clearly defined before mean place.
Objective 3: Create a broad RD&D portfolio of technologies that will support a 60-80% reduction in electrical lighting energy consumption by 2020.	SP (Lighting chapter, Goal 4 Result, p.3)	

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

Long-Term Sub-program MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL,	Metric Type	Baseline	IOU Cor
	DR, PIP, or	(3)**	Study	
	Staff)*		Required	
			(Y/N)	

MT Indicator 1: Percentage of total lighting sales comprised of Best Practice technologies (by sector)	Staff	3	Y	IOU Comments: We reco definitions for "Best Pra changing environment." developing definition fo IOU assessment of prior
MT Indicator 2: Number of technologies (by sector) for which market transformation is achieved (as defined by the program)	Staff	3	N	OK IOU Comments: IOUs re definition for "sector." IOU assessment of prior
MT Indicator 3: Number of technologies by sector that no longer require IOU program interventions	Staff	3	N	IOU assessment of prior We may wish to focus m elsewhere for now.

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

Workforce, Education, and Training - Centergies Subprogram

	Mission	IOU Comments

^{**}Metric type: 3 = data collection, tracking, and reporting [by IOUs, CPUC staff, and/or other entities] to be determined later.

SW Program: WE&T	The Statewide IOU Workforce Education and Training (WE&T) Program	OK.
	represents a portfolio of education, training, and workforce development	
	planning and implementation funded by or coordinated with the Investor-	
	Owned Utilities. Education and training are vital components of each of	
	the IOU's energy efficiency portfolio filings for 2009-2011 and are integral	
	in supporting the achievement of IOU energy savings targets and the	
	workforce objectives set forth in the California Long-Term Energy Efficiency	
	Strategic Plan (Strategic Plan). Workforce Education and Training has	
	become an important crosscutting activity for the IOUs in an effort to not	
	only educate and train current workers, but to prepare future workers to	
	be better able to successfully perform the jobs needed to help achieve	
	increased energy savings targets for the IOUs and California's clean energy	
	goals.	
SW <u>Sub</u> -program:	The WE&T Centergies Sub-Program is generally organized around market	OK.
Centergies	sectors and cross-cutting segments to facilitate workforce education and	
	training appropriate for achieving the energy savings, demand reductions	
	and related energy initiatives required of the IOUs. The Energy Centers	
	represent the largest component of this Sub-Program and provide WE&T	
	curriculum and related deliverables—training courses, seminars,	
	workshops, clean energy technology demonstration, equipment efficiency	
	testing, interactive training exhibits and lectures—to promote industry	
	trends and developments for advancing energy efficiency as a professional	
	discipline.	

CA EESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW <u>Sub-program</u> :	CA EESP Ref. pp.#
Goal 1: Establish energy Efficiency education and training at all levels of California's educational systems.	p. 74
Goal 2: Ensure that all minority, low-income, and disadvantaged communities fully participate in training and education programs at all levels of the demand side energy management industry.	p. 74
<u>Strategy 1-2:</u> Support the community college and adult education efforts to support students to develop their education based on visible career paths in energy efficiency and related fields.	p. 77

Strategy 1-3: Incorporate EE and demand side energy management skills into traditional contractor and technician training.	p. 77
Strategy 1-4: Create or expand college and university programs with EE focus and foster green campus efforts to apply this	p. 77
knowledge in clear view of students and faculty	

Sh	ort-term (2010-2012) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comments
1.	By 2012, the number of Centergies program educational partnerships within all adult education levels (CCC, CSU, UC, Trade & Labor, Adult Education) increases by X% (identify proportion of partnerships that address low-income participants / communities). (SC1-minority and disadvantaged communities are addressed in #2)	WE&T PIP p. 22 23	IOUs recommend modifying Objective-1 to following: Using 2011 as the baseline year, by 2012, the IOUs will of educational collaboration with partners by 10%. IOU Rationale: Definition of educational collaboration i outreach events and consultations are needed. These include exchanges of monetary or in-kind support and sharing meeting facilities, marketing/promotional servi
2.	By 2012, the number of identified low-income (pre-determined criteria) Centergies program participants increases by X%.	above) / Statewide WE&T PIP p. 22	IOUs recommend changing Objective-2 to following: Using 2011 as the baseline year, by 2012, the IOUs will collaboration with organizations that offer educational disadvantaged communities by 10%. IOU Rationale: Definition of collaboration is the same a We also need to define "organizations serving education disadvantaged communities."
3.	By 2012, the number of identified low-income (pre-determined criteria) Centergies program participants increases by X%.	,	IOUs recommend moving Objective-3 to WET Connecti discussed and agreed to on 9/21/2010 ED workshop.
4.	By 2012, a significant number of Centergies training courses includes the subject of Integrated Demand Side Energy Management skillsets (EE, DR, DG) in a way that promotes understanding of all these resources and how they interact as well as the	CEESP (see above) /	IOUs recommend modifying Objective-4 to the following By 2012, the IOUs will offer IDSM educational services

career and educational paths associated with them (Yes / No Metric)	WE&T PIP p.	IDSM content. (Y/N metric)
-The number of Centergies training courses offered that include substantial coverage (ie	39	
approximately 50% or more) IDSM subject matter (EE, DR, DG).		Definition of "substantial" is that approximately 50% or
-ED wants to include technical. IOUs are concerned about funding; caveats to technical are		content must address IDSM subject matter, such as inte
"subject to funding." IOUs should be working towards collaboration.		design.
-SCE doesn't think "substantial" is appropriate for WE&T Connections. ED thinks removing		
"substantial" represents opportunities lost. "Significant" is defined as 25% in		
Connections.		

hort-term <u>Sub-program</u> PPMs:	Source (SP,	Metric	Baseline	IOU Comments
	AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Type (2a or	Study Require	
	or starry	2b)**	d (Y/N)	
 Number of Centergies program educational partnerships collaboration (in kind or monetary support) within all adult education levels (CCC, CSU,	CEESP (see above) / Statewide WE&T PIP p. 22 - 23	2B	N	IOUs recommend modifying PPM-1 to the follow Objective-1) % increase in educational collaboration with par 2011 baseline (2b metric)
 Number of outreach events, technical consultations, and seminars targeted to disadvantaged communities or done in partnership with disadvantaged organizations identified low-income (pre-determined-criteria) Centergies program participants -Pre-determined criteria: Low-income should be defined 	CEESP (see above) / Statewide WET PIP p. 22	2A		IOUs recommend modifying PPM-2 to the follow Objective-2) % increase in educational collaboration with org serving disadvantaged communities (2b metric)
 Number of high school level continuing education outreach partnerships the IOU Centergies program supports Not called out in PIPs; may be more appropriate to be placed in WE&T Connections 	CEESP (see above) / IOU - Stakeholder workshops	2A	N	IOUs recommend deleting PPM-3 (For no object
4. Number of Centergies training courses that include the subject of Integrated Demand Side Energy Management skillsets (EE, DR, DG) in a	CEESP (see above) /	2A	N	IOUs recommend modifying PPM-4 to the follow Objective-4)

way that promotes understanding of all these resources and how they	Statewide		
interact as well as the career and educational paths associated with	WET PIP p.		# of IDSM educational classes with substantial ID
them (Y/N)	39		
-Need definition of significant (listed in objective, not metric)			(2b metric)
-Definition of integrated/integration: Integration can be used in more than	10.00		
one way		10 mm (10 mm)	
-Need to consider how this fits in with the IDSM piece			

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

^{**}Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

Long-Term (2013-2020) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comments
By 2015, X% of Centergies past program participants state an interest in pursuing green careers as a result of program participation (identify figures for low-income participants).	CEESP (see above) / Statewide WE&T PIP p. 30	IOUs recommend modifying Objective-1 to the By 2015, increase or maintain (use 2010as bas participants stating an interest in pursuing gre result of program participation (directional) IOU Rationale: This objective, as stated by ED measurement problems since past participant and costly to track and monitor. IOUs would li LT-Objectives with ED once the WET needs as published.
2. By 2015, utilized job knowledge and skill sets that past participants received by participating in the Centergies program represents X%. (identify figures for low-income participants)	CEESP (see above) / Statewide WE&T PIP p. 30	IOUs recommend modifying Objective-2 to the By 2015, increase and/or maintain (use 2010 a program participants reporting utilization of k received from the program (directional)

			program participants to report their income lev believe this is appropriate to implement due to nature. In addition, tracking past participants could be
3.	By 201,5 the number of Centergies participants that credit participation in the program as a significant reason they are currently working in a clean energy job represents X%. (identify figures for low-income participants).	CEESP (see above) / Statewide WE&T PIP p. 30	IOUs recommend deleting Objective-3. IOU Rationale: It can be rolled up into objective the definition of "clean energy job" would need
4.	By 2015, most of the IOU WE&T portfolio of training programs address the gaps identified in the statewide WE&T Needs Assessment (includes low-income) and are significantly partnering with all levels of outside educational organizations to address these gaps (Yes / No Metric)	CEESP (see above) Statewide PIP p. 5, 14, 69–74, 87	IOUs recommend modifying Objective-4 to the By 2015, the IOU WE&T portfolio of training progaps identified in the statewide WE&T Needs Allow-income) and are partnering with appropriateducational organizations (Yes/No)

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

ong-Term Sub-program MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (3)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	
	CEESP (see above) / Statewide WE&T PIP p. 30	3		IOUs recommend modifying MT-1 Objective-1) % program participants stating an green careers as a result of program relative to baseline
the job knowledge and skill sets they currently use in their job. (identify figures for low-income participants)	CEESP (see above) / Statewide WE&T PIP p. 30	3		IOUs recommend modifying MT-2 to Objective-2) % program participants reporting to knowledge and skills received from relative to baseline

 Percent of past Centergies participants that attribute the program as a significant reason they are currently working in a clean energy job. (identify figures for low-income participants) 	CEESP (see above) / Statewide WE&T PIP p. 30	3	N	IOUs recommending deleting MT-3
4. IOU WE&T portfolio of training programs address the gaps identified in the statewide WE&T Needs Assessment (includes low-income) and are significantly partnering with all levels of outside educational organizations to address these gaps (Y/N)	CEESP (see above) Statewide PIP p. 5, 14, 69–74, 87	3		IOUs recommend modifying MT-4 t Objective-4) Can WET Centergies Program demo changes to address gaps identified WET Needs Assessment Study? (Y/I

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

^{**}Metric type: 3 = data collection, tracking, and reporting [by IOUs, CPUC staff, and/or other entities] to be determined later.

Workforce, Education, and Training - Connections Subprogram

	Mission	IOU Comments
SW Program: WE&T	The Statewide IOU Workforce Education and Training (WE&T) Program	OK.
	represents a portfolio of education, training, and workforce	
	development planning and implementation funded by or coordinated	
	with the Investor-Owned Utilities. Education and training are vital	
	components of each of the IOU's energy efficiency portfolio filings for	
	2009-2011 and are integral in supporting the achievement of IOU	
	energy savings targets and the workforce objectives set forth in the	
	California Long-Term Energy Efficiency Strategic Plan (Strategic Plan).	
	Workforce Education and Training has become an important	
	crosscutting activity for the IOUs in an effort to not only educate and	
	train current workers, but to prepare future workers to be better able	
	to successfully perform the jobs needed to help achieve increased	
	energy savings targets for the IOUs and California's clean energy goals.	
SW <u>Sub</u> -program:	The WE&T Connections Sub-Program is organized around downstream	OK.
Connections	and upstream relationships between the IOUs and the educational	
	sector, entry and intro-level community-based training efforts that	
	support workforce development in energy efficiency, energy	
	management, and new emerging green careers. This Sub-Program	
	emphasizes education curriculum and related activities that inspire	
	interest in energy careers, new and emerging technology, and future	
	skills development to advance the energy initiatives and goals of the	
	state. This Sub-Program involves expanded relationship-building to	
	foster curriculum development and related training that result from	
	existing and expanding industry needs. IOUs will work with education	
	institutions, labor and communities to nurture interest in green careers	
	by K-12, community college, occupational, vocational, and major	
	university students, as well as assist in the growth of low-income and	
	transitional workforce targeted clean energy training programs.	

CA EESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW Sub-program:	CA EESP
	Ref. pp. #

Goal 1: Establish energy Efficiency education and training at all levels of California's educational systems.	p. 74
<u>Goal 2:</u> Ensure that all minority, low-income, and disadvantaged communities fully participate in training and education programs at all levels of the demand side energy management industry.	p. 74
<u>Strategy 1-2:</u> Support the community college and adult education efforts to support students to develop their education based on visible career paths in energy efficiency and related fields.	p. 77
Strategy 1-3: Incorporate EE and demand side energy management skills into traditional contractor and technician training.	p. 77
<u>Strategy 1-4:</u> Create or expand college and university programs with EE focus and foster green campus efforts to apply this knowledge in clear view of students and faculty	p. 77
Strategy 1-5: Develop K- 12 curriculum to include EE fundamentals (e.g. math, science, behavior) and identify career options in energy-related fields.	

hort	-term (2010-2012) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comm
1.	By 2012, the number of Connections program educational partnerships (pre-determined criteria) within all adult education levels (CCC, CSU, UC, Trade & Labor, Adult Education, K - 12) increases by X% (identify proportion of partnerships that address low-income participants / communities).	CEESP (see above) / Statewide WE&T PIP p. 47	IOUs recommend modifying of following: Using 2011 as the baseline ye will increase the level of education with partners by 10%. IOU Comment: Definition of ecollaboration includes seminal and consultations. These coll include exchanges of monetal and services (i.e., sharing meaning marketing/promotional services)
2.	By 2012, the number of identified low-income (pre-determined criteria) Connections program participants / Schools in low-income communities increases by X%.	CEESP p. 74	IOUs recommend modifying of following: By 2012, for k-12 WET Connectionly, increase the # of title-1:

			50% of all participants.
3.	By 2012, the number of high school level continuing education outreach partnerships the IOU Connections program supports increase by X%.	CEESP (see above) / IOU – Stakeholder workshops / Statewide WE&T PIP p. 47	IOUs recommend modifying Offollowing: By 2012, increase the number continuing education outreach WET Connection Program by 1 IOU Comment: Milestone: 1) By the end of 2011, IOUs of current number of partner weak Schools subcommit build these relationships sinformation will be used a Objective-3.
4.	By 2012, a significant number of Connections educational courses includes the subject of Integrated Demand Side Energy Management knowledge and awareness (EE, DR, DG) in a way that promotes understanding of how all these resources interact and mitigate GHG environmental impacts as well as the career paths associated with them (Y/N)	CEESP (see above) / Statewide WE&T PIP p. 67	IOUs recommend modifying Offollowing: By 2012, the Connections edu include educational content formatter to increase awareness IOU Rationale: As we have disworkshop on 9/21, it is more a substantive IDSM classes to be Centergies Program. In WET Owill focus on integration of IDS into the training material.

Short-term <u>Sub-program</u> PPMs:	Source (SP,	Metric Type	Baseline	IOU Comme
	AL, DR, PIP, or	(2a or 2b)**	Study	
	Staff)*		Required	

			(Y/N)	
Number of Connections program educational collaborations partnerships (in kind or monetary support) (pre-determined criteria) within all adult education levels (CCC, CSU, UC, Trade & Labor, Adult Education, K - 12) (identify proportion of partnerships that address law income participants / communities).	CEESP (see above) / Statewide WE&T PIP p.	2B	N	IOUs recommend modifying PPI (For Objective-1)
partnerships that address low-income participants / communities). Number of high school level continuing education outreach partnerships the IOU centergies program supports (this was moved from Centergies and is more appropriately) Educational collaborations are aimed to influence minds	47			By 2012, % increase in educatio partners from 2011 baseline (2b metric)
2. Number of identified low-income (pre-determined criteria) Connections program participants / schools in low-income neighborhoods Ways of determining low-income individuals:	CEESP p. 74	2A	N	IOUs recommend modifying PPI (For Objective-2)
-Title 1: 40% of the residents in the community are considered low-income (low-income is defined by Federal poverty definition) -Number of individuals who qualify for school lunches "Minority" and "low-income" should not be used interchangeably				% K-12 WET Connection programms from title-1 schools (2a metric)
Number of high school level continuing education outreach partnerships the IOU Connection program supports -Partnerships issue should be addressed similarly to how it will be addressed in Centergies	CEESP (see above) / IOU – Stakeholder workshops /	2A	N	IOUs recommend modifying PPI (For Objective-3) PPM-3a: Complete identified m
	PIP p. 47			PPM-3b: # of high school conting outreach partnerships in WET C baseline (2a & b metric)
4. Number of Connections educational courses that include the subject of IDSM (EE, DR, DG) in a way that promotes understanding of how all these resources interact and mitigate GHG environmental impacts as well as the career paths associated		2A	N	IOUs recommend modifying PPI (For Objective-4)
with them.				Did WET Connection Program ir matter into its educational mate (2b metric)
				(ZD IIIEUIL)

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

^{**}Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

ong	-Term (2013-2020) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Comm
1.	By 2015, X% of past participating schools have continued to offer the programs and curricula originally developed via IOU / educational organization partnerships without continued IOU support.	CEESP (see above)	IOUs recommend modify the following: By 2012 the % of prior preparticipating schools that the WET Connection trainwithout program supportions are recommended in the scope of this objective progress from program of cycle.
2.	By 2015, X% of trainers at all educational levels that participated in Connections sponsored train-the-trainer programs continue to utilize this knowledge in their current teaching positions.	Statewide PIP p. 37, 45, 76, 92	IOUs recommend deleting IOU Rationale: This objective-1 above
3.	By 2015, most of the IOU WE&T portfolio of training programs address the gaps identified in the statewide WE&T Needs Assessment (includes low-income) and are significantly partnering with all levels of outside educational organizations to address these gaps (Y/N)	CEESP (see above) Statewide PIP p. 5, 14, 69–74, 87	IOUs recommend modifying the following: By 2015, the IOU WE&T per programs address the gap statewide WE&T Needs As (includes low-income) and with appropriate levels of educational organizations

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

Long-Term Sub-program MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP,	Metric	Baseline Study IOU Con
	or Staff)*	Type	Required (Y/N)

		(3)**		
Percent of past participating schools that have continued to offer the programs and curricula originally developed via IOU / educational organization partnerships without continued IOU support.	CEESP (see above)	3	N	IOUs recommend me the following: (For Owner of prior program schools that have connection training program support. IOU Rationale: (2b revery costly and difficulty of prior program of prior program of prior of prior program of prior
 Percent of trainers at all educational levels that participated in Connections sponsored train-the-trainer programs that continue to utilize this knowledge in their current teaching positions. 	Statewide PIP p. 37, 45, 76, 92	3	N	IOUs recommend de Objective-2)
3. IOU WE&T portfolio of training programs address the gaps identified in the statewide WE&T Needs Assessment (includes low-income) and are significantly partnering with all levels of outside educational organizations to address these gaps (Y/N)	CEESP (see above) Statewide PIP p. 5, 14, 69–74, 87	3	N I	IOUs recommend mo the following: (For Ol WET Connection Prog demonstrate progran
				address gaps identific WET Needs Assessme IOUs assessment of p

^{*}SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

^{**}Metric type: 3 = data collection, tracking, and reporting [by IOUs, CPUC staff, and/or other entities] to be determined later.